

ON CERTAIN INEQUALITIES INVOLVING THE LAMBERT W FUNCTION

SEÁN M. STEWART

Department of Mathematics
College of Arts and Sciences
The Petroleum Institute
PO Box 2533, Abu Dhabi
United Arab Emirates
EMail: [sstewart@pi.ac.ae](mailto:ssewart@pi.ac.ae)

Received: 30 September, 2009

Accepted: 04 November, 2009

Communicated by: **A. Laforgia**

2000 AMS Sub. Class.: 33E20, 26D07.

Key words: Lambert W function, special function, linearly resisted projectile motion.

Abstract: In this note we obtain certain inequalities involving the Lambert W function $W_0(-xe^{-x})$ which has recently been found to arise in the classic problem of a projectile moving through a linearly resisting medium.



Lambert W Function

Seán M. Stewart

vol. 10, iss. 4, art. 96, 2009

[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)



Page 1 of 9

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

journal of **inequalities**
in pure and applied
mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Preliminaries	4
3	Main Results	5



Lambert W Function

Seán M. Stewart

vol. 10, iss. 4, art. 96, 2009

[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)



Page 2 of 9

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

journal of **inequalities**
in pure and applied
mathematics

issn: 1443-5756



[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)



Page 3 of 9

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

1. Introduction

The Lambert W function $W(x)$ is defined by the equation $W(x)e^{W(x)} = x$ for $x \geq -e^{-1}$. When $-e^{-1} \leq x < 0$ the function takes on two real branches. By convention, the branch satisfying $W(x) \geq -1$ is taken to be the principal branch, denoted by $W_0(x)$, while that satisfying $W(x) < -1$ is known as the secondary real branch and is denoted by $W_{-1}(x)$. The history of the function dates back to the mid-eighteenth century and is named in honour of J. H. Lambert (1728–1777) who in 1758 first considered a problem requiring $W(x)$ for its solution. For a brief historical survey, a detailed definition of the function when its argument is complex, important properties of the function, together with an overview of some of the areas where the function has been found to arise, see [1]. More recently, sharp bounds for the function have been considered in [2].

In this note, motivated by the appearance of the Lambert W function in the classic problem of a projectile moving through a linearly resisting medium [6], [4], [3], [5], we consider a number of inequalities involving $W_0(-xe^{-x})$ for $x > 1$.

2. Preliminaries

From the defining equation for the Lambert W function it is readily seen that $W_0(-e^{-1}) = -1$, $W_0(0) = 0$, and $W_0(e) = 1$. Also, implicit differentiation of the defining equation yields

$$\frac{d}{dx}W(x) = \frac{W(x)}{x(1+W(x))} = \frac{e^{-W(x)}}{1+W(x)},$$

where we note that the singularity at the origin is removable. For the principal branch, as $W_0(x) > -1$ and $e^{-W_0(x)} > 0$ for $x > -e^{-1}$, $\frac{d}{dx}W_0(x) > 0$ for $x > -e^{-1}$ and consequently $W_0(x)$ is strictly increasing for $x > -e^{-1}$.



Lambert W Function

Seán M. Stewart

vol. 10, iss. 4, art. 96, 2009

Title Page

Contents



Page 4 of 9

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of **inequalities**
in pure and applied
mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

[Title Page](#)[Contents](#)

Page 5 of 9

[Go Back](#)[Full Screen](#)[Close](#)

3. Main Results

Lemma 3.1. For $x \geq 1$, we have

$$(3.1) \quad -1 \leq W_0(-xe^{-x}) < 0,$$

with equality holding for $x = 1$.

Proof. For $x > 1$, let $g(x) = -xe^{-x}$. Since $e^{-x} > 0$ one has $g(x) < 0$ for $x > 1$ and we need only show $g(x)$ increases for $x > 1$ such that $g(x) > -e^{-1}$ as $W_0(x)$ is strictly increasing for $x > -e^{-1}$. Since $\frac{d}{dx}g(x) = (x-1)e^{-x} > 0$ for $x > 1$, $g(x)$ clearly increases and consequently $g(x) > g(1) = -e^{-1}$. Thus $-e^{-1} < -xe^{-x} < 0$ from which (3.1) follows. Trivially, equality on the left hand side holds only for $x = 1$. \square

Theorem 3.2. For $x \geq 1$, we have

$$(3.2) \quad x - 2 \geq W_0(-xe^{-x}),$$

with equality holding only for $x = 1$.

Proof. For $x > 1$, let $U(x) = (x-2)e^{x-2} + xe^{-x}$ and let $t = x-1$ so that $t > 0$. Then $U(t) = e^{-1}h(t)$ where $h(t) = (t-1)e^t + (t+1)e^{-t}$. Since $\frac{d}{dt}h(t) = 2t \sinh t > 0$ for $t > 0$, one has $h(t) > h(0) = 0$, or, equivalently $(x-2)e^{x-2} > -xe^{-x}$ for $x > 1$. Since $W_0(x)$ is strictly increasing for $x > -e^{-1}$ and as $-xe^{-x} > -e^{-1}$ for $x > 1$ (see Lemma 3.1), it is immediate that $W_0((x-2)e^{x-2}) > W_0(-xe^{-x})$. Finally, since $x-2 > -1$, the desired result follows on recognising the simplification $W_0((x-2)e^{x-2}) = x-2$, with equality at $x = 1$. \square

Theorem 3.3. For $x > 1$, we have

$$(3.3) \quad 1 < \frac{x + W_0(-xe^{-x})}{x-1} < 2.$$

[Title Page](#)[Contents](#)

Page 6 of 9

[Go Back](#)[Full Screen](#)[Close](#)

Proof. For $x > 1$, combining the left hand side of inequality (3.1) with (3.2) gives $-1 < W_0(-xe^{-x}) < x - 2$. Adding x to each term appearing in the inequality before dividing throughout by $x - 1 > 0$ for $x > 1$, yields the desired result. \square

Lemma 3.4. For $x \geq 1$, we have

$$(3.4) \quad xW_0(-xe^{-x}) + 1 \geq 0,$$

with equality holding only for $x = 1$.

Proof. For $x > 1$, let $g(x) = xW_0(-xe^{-x}) + 1$. As

$$\frac{d}{dx}g(x) = -\frac{W_0(-xe^{-x})(x - 2 - W_0(-xe^{-x}))}{1 + W_0(-xe^{-x})},$$

from (3.1) and (3.2), we have $\frac{d}{dx}g(x) > 0$ for $x > 1$ and consequently $g(x) > g(1) = 0$, with equality holding only at $x = 1$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.5. For $x \geq 1$, we have

$$(3.5) \quad 2 \ln x - x \leq W_0(-xe^{-x}) \leq 2 \ln x - 1,$$

with equality holding only for $x = 1$.

Proof. Consider the function $f(x) = 2 \ln x - x - W_0(-xe^{-x})$ for $x \geq 1$. As

$$\frac{d}{dx}f(x) = -\frac{x - 2 - W_0(-xe^{-x})}{x(1 + W_0(-xe^{-x}))},$$

from (3.1) and (3.2) it is clear that $\frac{d}{dx}f(x) < 0$ for $x > 1$ and consequently $f(x) < f(1) = 0$, which gives the left hand side of (3.5). Trivially, equality only holds for $x = 1$.

[Title Page](#)[Contents](#)

Page 7 of 9

[Go Back](#)[Full Screen](#)[Close](#)journal of **inequalities**
in pure and applied
mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

For the right hand side, proceeding in a similar manner, we let $g(x) = 2 \ln x - 1 - W_0(-xe^{-x})$ for $x \geq 1$. Again, since

$$\frac{d}{dx}g(x) = \frac{(xW_0(-xe^{-x}) + 1) + (W_0(-xe^{-x}) + 1)}{x(1 + W_0(-xe^{-x}))},$$

from (3.1) and (3.4), it is immediate that $\frac{d}{dx}g(x) > 0$ for $x > 1$. Thus $g(x) > g(1) = 0$ with equality holding only for $x = 1$, giving the right hand side of (3.5). This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Corollary 3.6. For $x > 1$, we have

$$(3.6) \quad 0 < \frac{x + W_0(-xe^{-x}) - 2 \ln x}{x - 1} < 1.$$

Proof. Rearranging terms in (3.5) followed by dividing throughout by $x - 1 > 0$ for $x > 1$, the result follows. \square

Theorem 3.7. For $x > 1$, we have

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{(x + W_0(-xe^{-x}))^2}{x - 1 - \ln x} > 8.$$

Proof. Consider the function $L(x) = \frac{(x + W_0(-xe^{-x}))^2}{x - 1 - \ln x}$ for $x > 1$. Differentiating and simplifying gives

$$\frac{d}{dx}L(x) = -\frac{1 + xW_0(-xe^{-x}) + 2 \ln x - x - W_0(-xe^{-x})}{x(1 + W_0(-xe^{-x}))} \left(\frac{x + W_0(-xe^{-x})}{x - 1 - \ln x} \right)^2.$$

From the left hand side of (3.1), since $x > 1$ it is clear that $-1 < W_0(-xe^{-x})/x$, or $x + W_0(-xe^{-x}) > 0$ for $x > 1$. Also, trivially, $x - 1 > \ln x$ for $x > 1$. Hence



the squared term appearing in $\frac{d}{dx}L(x)$ is non-zero and therefore always positive. Its denominator is also positive since from (3.1) one has $1 + W_0(-xe^{-x}) > 0$ for $x > 1$. To show that the numerator is negative for $x > 1$, let $g(x) = 1 + xW_0(-xe^{-x}) + 2 \ln x - x - W_0(-xe^{-x})$. As

$$\frac{d}{dx}g(x) = -\frac{(x - 2 - W_0(-xe^{-x}))(xW_0(-xe^{-x}) + 1)}{x(1 + W_0(-xe^{-x}))},$$

from (3.1), (3.2), and (3.4) it is clear that $\frac{d}{dx}g(x) < 0$ for $x > 1$ and consequently $g(x) < g(1) = 0$ as required. Thus $\frac{d}{dx}L(x) > 0$. It follows that $L(x) > \lim_{x \rightarrow 1^+} L(x) = 8$ for $x > 1$. This completes the proof. \square

Title Page

Contents



Page 8 of 9

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

References

- [1] R.M. CORLESS, G.H. GONNET, D.E.G. HARE, D.J. JEFFREY AND D.E. KNUTH, On the Lambert W function, *Adv. Comput. Math.*, **5**(4) (1996), 329–359.
- [2] A. HOORFAR AND M. HASSANI, Inequalities on the Lambert W function and hyperpower function, *J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math.*, **9**(2) (2008), Art. 51. [ONLINE: <http://jipam.vu.edu.au/article.php?sid=983>].
- [3] D.A. MORALES, Exact expressions for the range and optimal angle of a projectile with linear drag, *Canad. J. Phys.*, **83**(1) (2005), 67–83.
- [4] E.W. PACKEL AND D.S. YUEN, Projectile motion with resistance and the Lambert W function, *The College Math. Journal*, **35**(5) (2004), 337–350.
- [5] S.M. STEWART, An analytic approach to projectile motion in a linear resisting medium, *Internat. J. Math. Educ. Sci. Technol.*, **37**(4) (2006), 411–431.
- [6] R.D.H. Warburton and J. Wang, Analysis of asymptotic projectile motion with air resistance using the Lambert W function, *Amer. J. Phys.*, **72**(11) (2004), 1404–1407.



Lambert W Function

Seán M. Stewart

vol. 10, iss. 4, art. 96, 2009

Title Page

Contents



Page 9 of 9

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of **inequalities**
in pure and applied
mathematics

issn: 1443-5756