journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

http://jipam.vu.edu.au issn: 1443-5756

Volume 10 (2009), Issue 1, Article 4, 10 pp.



© 2009 Victoria University. All rights reserved.

CLARKSON-MCCARTHY INTERPOLATED INEQUALITIES IN FINSLER NORMS

CRISTIAN CONDE

Instituto de Ciencias
Universidad Nacional de General Sarmiento
J. M. Gutierrez 1150
(1613) Los Polvorines
Buenos Aires, Argentina.
cconde@ungs.edu.ar

Received 29 August, 2008; accepted 14 January, 2009 Communicated by R. Bhatia

ABSTRACT. We apply the complex interpolation method to prove that, given two spaces $B_{p_0,a;s_0}^{(n)}$, $B_{p_1,b;s_1}^{(n)}$ of n-tuples of operators in the p-Schatten class of a Hilbert space H, endowed with weighted norms associated to positive and invertible operators a and b of B(H) then, the curve of interpolation $(B_{p_0,a;s_0}^{(n)},B_{p_1,b;s_1}^{(n)})_{[t]}$ of the pair is given by the space of n-tuples of operators in the p_t -Schatten class of H, with the weighted norm associated to the positive invertible element $\gamma_{a,b}(t)=a^{1/2}(a^{-1/2}ba^{-1/2})^ta^{1/2}$.

Key words and phrases: p-Schatten class, Complex method, Clarkson-McCarthy inequalities.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46B70, 47A30; Secondary 46B20, 47B10.

1. Introduction

In [6], J. Clarkson introduced the concept of uniform convexity in Banach spaces and obtained that spaces L_p (or l_p) are uniformly convex for p > 1 throughout the following inequalities

$$2\left(\|f\|_{p}^{p} + \|g\|_{p}^{p}\right) \le \|f - g\|_{p}^{p} + \|f + g\|_{p}^{p} \le 2^{p-1}\left(\|f\|_{p}^{p} + \|g\|_{p}^{p}\right),$$

Let $(B(H), \|\cdot\|)$ denote the algebra of bounded operators acting on a complex and separable Hilbert space H, Gl(H) the group of invertible elements of B(H) and $Gl(H)^+$ the set of all positive elements of Gl(H).

If $X \in B(H)$ is compact we denote by $\{s_j(X)\}$ the sequence of singular values of X (decreasingly ordered). For 0 , let

$$||X||_p = \left(\sum s_j(X)^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

and the linear space

$$B_p(H) = \{ X \in B(H) : ||X||_p < \infty \}.$$

CRISTIAN CONDE

For $1 \le p < \infty$, this space is called the p-Schatten class of B(H) (to simplify notation we use B_p) and by convention $||X|| = ||X||_{\infty} = s_1(X)$. A reference for this subject is [9].

C. McCarthy proved in [14], among several other results, the following inequalities for *p*-Schatten norms of Hilbert space operators:

(1.1)
$$2(\|A\|_p^p + \|B\|_p^p) \le \|A - B\|_p^p + \|A + B\|_p^p$$
$$\le 2^{p-1}(\|A\|_p^p + \|B\|_p^p),$$

for $2 \le p < \infty$, and

(1.2)
$$2^{p-1}(\|A\|_p^p + \|B\|_p^p) \le \|A - B\|_p^p + \|A + B\|_p^p$$
$$\le 2(\|A\|_p^p + \|B\|_p^p),$$

for $1 \le p \le 2$.

These are non-commutative versions of Clarkson's inequalities. These estimates have been found to be very powerful tools in operator theory (in particular they imply the uniform convexity of B_p for 1) and in mathematical physics (see [16]).

M. Klaus has remarked that there is a simple proof of the Clarkson-McCarthy inequalities which results from mimicking the proof that Boas [4] gave of the Clarkson original inequalities via the complex interpolation method.

In a previous work [7], motivated by [1], we studied the effect of the complex interpolation method on $B_p^{(n)}$ (this set will be defined below) for $p,s\geq 1$ and $n\in\mathbb{N}$ with a Finsler norm associated with $a\in Gl(H)^+$:

$$||X||_{p,a;s} := ||a^{-1/2}Xa^{-1/2}||_p^s$$

From now on, for the sake of simplicity, we denote with lower case letters the elements of $Gl(H)^+$.

As a by-product, we obtain Clarkson type inequalities using the Klaus idea with the linear operator $T_n: B_p^{(n)} \longrightarrow B_p^{(n)}$ given by

$$T_n(\bar{X}) = (T_n(X_1, \dots, X_n)) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n X_j, \sum_{j=1}^n \theta_j^1 X_j, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^n \theta_j^{n-1} X_j\right),$$

where $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n$ are the *n* roots of unity.

Recently, Kissin in [12], motivated by [3], obtained analogues of the Clarkson-McCarthy inequalities for n-tuples of operators from Schatten ideals. In this work the author considers H^n , the orthogonal sum of n copies of the Hilbert space H, and each operator $R \in B(H^n)$ can be represented as an $n \times n$ block-matrix operator $R = (R_{jk})$ with $R_{jk} \in B(H)$, and the linear operator $T_R : B_p^{(n)} \to B_p^{(n)}$ is defined by $T_R(\overline{A}) = R\overline{A}$. Finally we remark that the works [3] and [11] are generalizations of [10].

In these notes we obtain inequalities for the linear operator T_R in the Finsler norm $\|\cdot\|_{p,a;s}$ as by-products of the complex interpolation method and Kissin's inequalities.

2. GEOMETRIC INTERPOLATION

We follow the notation used in [2] and we refer the reader to [13] and [5] for details on the complex interpolation method. For completeness, we recall the classical Calderón-Lions theorem.

Theorem 2.1. Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be two compatible couples. Assume that T is a linear operator from \mathcal{X}_j to \mathcal{Y}_j bounded by M_j , j = 0, 1. Then for $t \in [0, 1]$

$$||T||_{\mathcal{X}_{[t]} \to \mathcal{Y}_{[t]}} \le M_0^{1-t} M_1^t.$$

Here and subsequently, let $1 \le p < \infty$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $s \ge 1$, $a \in Gl(H)^+$ and

$$B_p^{(n)} = \{ \overline{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_n)^t : A_i \in B_p \},$$

(where with t we denote the transpose of the n-tuple) endowed with the norm

$$\|\overline{A}\|_{p,a;s} = (\|A_1\|_{p,a}^s + \dots + \|A_n\|_{p,a}^s)^{1/s},$$

and \mathbb{C}^n endowed with the norm

$$|(a_0,\ldots,a_{n-1})|_s = (|a_0|^s + \cdots + |a_{n-1}|^s)^{1/s}.$$

From now on, we denote with $B_{p,a;s}^{(n)}$ the space $B_p^{(n)}$ endowed with the norm $\|(\cdot,\ldots,\cdot)\|_{p,a;s}$. From Calderón-Lions interpolation theory we get that for $p_0,p_1,s_0,s_1\in[1,\infty)$

(2.1)
$$\left(B_{p_0,1;s_0}^{(n)}, B_{p_1,1;s_1}^{(n)} \right)_{[t]} = B_{p_t,1;s_t}^{(n)},$$

where

$$\frac{1}{p_t} = \frac{1-t}{p_0} + \frac{t}{p_1}$$
 and $\frac{1}{s_t} = \frac{1-t}{s_0} + \frac{t}{s_1}$.

Note that for p = 2, (1.1) and (1.2) both reduce to the *parallelogram law*

$$2(\|A\|_{2}^{2} + \|B\|_{2}^{2}) = \|A - B\|_{2}^{2} + \|A + B\|_{2}^{2},$$

while for the cases $p=1,\infty$ these inequalities follow from the triangle inequality for B_1 and B(H) respectively. Then the inequalities (1.1) and (1.2) can be proved (for n=2 via Theorem 2.1) by interpolation between the previous elementary cases with the linear operator $T_2: B_{p,1;p}^{(2)} \longrightarrow B_{p,1;p}^{(2)}, \ T_2(\overline{A}) = (A_1 + A_2, A_1 - A_2)^t$ as observed by Klaus.

In this section, we generalize (2.1) for the Finsler norms $\|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|_{p,a;s}$. In [7], we have obtained this extension for the particular case when $p_0 = p_1 = p$ and $s_0 = s_1 = s$. For sake of completeness, we recall this result

Theorem 2.2 ([7, Th. 3.1]). Let $a, b \in Gl(H)^+, 1 \le p, s < \infty, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in (0, 1)$. Then

$$\left(B_{p,a;s}^{(n)},B_{p,b;s}^{(n)}\right)_{[t]}=B_{p,\gamma_{a,b}(t);s}^{(n)},$$

where $\gamma_{a,b}(t) = a^{1/2}(a^{-1/2}ba^{-1/2})^t a^{1/2}$.

Remark 1. Note that when a and b commute the curve is given by $\gamma_{a,b}(t) = a^{1-t}b^t$. The previous corollary tells us that the interpolating space, $B_{p,\gamma_{a,b}(t);s}$ can be regarded as a weighted p-Schatten space with weight $a^{1-t}b^t$ (see [2, Th. 5.5.3]).

We observe that the curve $\gamma_{a,b}$ looks formally equal to the geodesic (or shortest curve) between positive definitive matrices ([15]), positive invertible elements of a C^* -algebra ([8]) and positive invertible operators that are perturbations of the p-Schatten class by multiples of the identity ([7]).

There is a natural action of Gl(H) on $B_p^{(n)}$, defined by

(2.2)
$$l: Gl(H) \times B_p^{(n)} \longrightarrow B_p^{(n)}, \quad l_g(\overline{A}) = (gA_1g^*, \dots, gA_ng^*)^t.$$

Proposition 2.3 ([7, Prop. 3.1]). The norm in $B_{p,a;s}^{(n)}$ is invariant for the action of the group of invertible elements. By this we mean that for each $\overline{A} \in B_p^{(n)}$, $a \in Gl(H)^+$ and $g \in Gl(H)$, we have

$$\|\overline{A}\|_{p,q;s} = \|l_g(\overline{A})\|_{p,qqq^*:s}$$
.

Now, we state the main result of this paper, the general case $1 \le p_0, p_1, s_0, s_1 < \infty$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $a, b \in Gl(H)^+, 1 \le p_0, p_1, s_0, s_1 < \infty, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in (0, 1)$. Then

$$\left(B_{p_0,a;s_0}^{(n)},B_{p_1,b;s_1}^{(n)}\right)_{[t]} = B_{p_t,\gamma_{a,b}(t);s_t}^{(n)},$$

where

$$\frac{1}{p_t} = \frac{1-t}{p_0} + \frac{t}{p_1}$$
 and $\frac{1}{s_t} = \frac{1-t}{s_0} + \frac{t}{s_1}$.

Proof. For the sake of simplicity, we will only consider the case n=2 and omit the transpose. The proof below works for n-tuples ($n \ge 3$) with obvious modifications.

By the previous proposition, $\|(X_1,X_2)\|_{[t]}$ is equal to the norm of $a^{-1/2}(X_1,X_2)a^{-1/2}$ interpolated between the norms $\|(\cdot,\cdot)\|_{p_0,1;s_0}$ and $\|(\cdot,\cdot)\|_{p_1,c;s_1}$. Consequently it is sufficient to prove our statement for these two norms.

Let $t \in (0,1)$ and $(X_1, X_2) \in B_{p_t}^{(2)}$ such that $\|(X_1, X_2)\|_{p_t, c^t; s_t} = 1$, and define

$$g(z) = \left(U_1 \left| c^{\frac{z}{2}} c^{-\frac{t}{2}} X_1 c^{\frac{-t}{2}} c^{\frac{z}{2}} \right|^{\lambda(z)}, U_2 \left| c^{\frac{z}{2}} c^{-\frac{t}{2}} X_2 c^{-\frac{t}{2}} c^{\frac{z}{2}} \right|^{\lambda(z)} \right)$$
$$= (g_1(z), g_2(z)),$$

where

$$\lambda(z) = p_t \left(\frac{1-z}{p_0} + \frac{z}{p_1} \right) s_t \left(\frac{1-z}{s_0} + \frac{z}{s_1} \right)$$

and $X_i = U_i |X_i|$ is the polar decomposition of X_i for i = 1, 2.

Then for each $z \in S$, $g(z) \in B_{p_0}^{(2)} + B_{p_1}^{(2)}$ and

$$||g(iy)||_{p_0,1;s_0}^{s_0} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^2 \left\| U_k \left| c^{\frac{iy}{2}} c^{-\frac{t}{2}} X_k c^{-\frac{t}{2}} c^{\frac{iy}{2}} \right|^{\lambda(iy)} \right\|_{p_0}^{s_0} \right)$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^2 \left\| c^{\frac{iy}{2}} c^{-\frac{t}{2}} X_k c^{-\frac{t}{2}} c^{\frac{iy}{2}} \right\|_{p_t}^{p_t} \right)$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^2 ||X_k||_{p_t,c^t}^{p_t} \right) = 1$$

and

$$||g(1+iy)||_{p_1,c;s_1}^{s_1} \le \left(\sum_{k=1}^2 ||X_k||_{p_t,c^t}^{p_t}\right) = 1.$$

Since $g(t) = (X_1, X_2)$ and $g = (g_1, g_2) \in \mathcal{F}\left(B_{p_0, 1; s_0}^{(2)}, B_{p_1, c; s_1}^{(2)}\right)$, we have $\|(X_1, X_2)\|_{[t]} \le 1$. Thus we have shown that

$$\|(X_1, X_2)\|_{[t]} \le \|(X_1, X_2)\|_{p_t, c^t; s_t}$$

To prove the converse inequality, let $f=(f_1,f_2)\in\mathcal{F}\left(B_{p_0,1;s_0}^{(2)},B_{p_1,c;s_1}^{(2)}\right);\ f(t)=(X_1,X_2)$ and $Y_1,Y_2\in B_{0,0}(H)$ (the set of finite-rank operators) with $\|Y_k\|_{q_t}\leq 1$, where q_t is the conjugate exponent for $1< p_t<\infty$ (or a compact operator and $q=\infty$ if p=1). For k=1,2, let

$$g_k(z) = c^{-\frac{z}{2}} Y_k c^{-\frac{z}{2}}.$$

Consider the function $h: S \to (\mathbb{C}^2, |(\cdot, \cdot)|_{s_t})$,

$$h(z) = (tr(f_1(z)g_1(z)), tr(f_2(z)g_2(z))).$$

Since f(z) is analytic in $\overset{\circ}{S}$ and bounded in S, then h is analytic in $\overset{\circ}{S}$ and bounded in S, and

$$h(t) = \left(tr\left(c^{-\frac{t}{2}}X_1c^{-\frac{t}{2}}Y_1\right), tr\left(c^{-\frac{t}{2}}X_2c^{-\frac{t}{2}}Y_2\right)\right) = (h_1(t), h_2(t)).$$

By Hadamard's three line theorem applied to h and the Banach space $(\mathbb{C}^2, |(\cdot, \cdot)|_{s_t})$, we have

$$|h(t)|_{s_t} \le \max \left\{ \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} |h(iy)|_{s_t}, \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} |h(1+iy)|_{s_t} \right\}.$$

For j = 0, 1,

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} |h(j+iy)|_{s_t} = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{2} |tr(f_k(j+iy)g_k(j+iy))|^{s_t} \right)^{\frac{1}{s_t}} \\
= \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{2} |tr(c^{-j/2}f_k(j+iy)c^{-j/2}g_k(iy))|^{s_t} \right)^{\frac{1}{s_t}} \\
\leq \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{2} ||f_k(j+iy)||_{p,c^j}^{s_t} \right)^{\frac{1}{s_t}} \\
\leq ||f||_{\mathcal{F}(B_{p_0,1;s_0}^{(2)},B_{p_1,c;s_1}^{(2)})},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \|X_1\|_{p_t,c^t}^{s_t} + \|X_2\|_{p_t,c^t}^{s_t} &= \sup_{\substack{\|Y_1\|_{q_t} \le 1, Y_1 \in B_{00}(H) \\ \|Y_2\|_{q_t} \le 1, Y_2 \in B_{00}(H)}} \{|h_1(t)|^{s_t} + |h_2(t)|^{s_t}\} \\ &= \sup_{\substack{\|Y_1\|_{q_t} \le 1, Y_1 \in B_{00}(H) \\ \|Y_2\|_{q_t} \le 1, Y_2 \in B_{00}(H)}} |h(t)|_{s_t}^{s_t} &\le \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}\left(B_{p_0,1;s_0}^{(2)}, B_{p_1,c;s_1}^{(2)}\right)}^{s_t}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the previous inequality is valid for each $f \in \mathcal{F}\left(B_{p_0,1;s_0}^{(2)},B_{p_1,c;s_1}^{(2)}\right)$ with $f(t)=(X_1,X_2)$, we have

$$||(X_1, X_2)||_{p_t, c^t; s_t} \le ||(X_1, X_2)||_{[t]}.$$

In the special case that $p_0 = p_1 = p$ and $s_0 = s_1 = s$ we obtain Theorem 2.2.

3. CLARKSON-KISSIN TYPE INEQUALITIES

Bhatia and Kittaneh [3] proved that if $2 \le p < \infty$, then

$$n^{\frac{2}{p}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|A_j\|_p^2 \le \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|B_j\|_p^2 \le n^{2-\frac{2}{p}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|A_j\|_p^2.$$

$$n\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|A_j\|_p^p \le \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|B_j\|_p^p \le n^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|A_j\|_p^p.$$

(for $0 , these two inequalities are reversed) where <math>B_j = \sum_{k=1}^n \theta_k^j A_k$ with $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ the n roots of unity.

If we interpolate these inequalities we obtain that

$$n^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|A_j\|_p^{s_t} \right)^{\frac{1}{s_t}} \le \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|B_j\|_p^{s_t} \right)^{\frac{1}{s_t}} \le n^{\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|A_j\|_p^{s_t} \right)^{\frac{1}{s_t}},$$

where

$$\frac{1}{s_t} = \frac{1-t}{2} + \frac{t}{p}.$$

Dividing by n^{s_t} , we obtain

$$n^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|A_j\|_p^{s_t} \right)^{\frac{1}{s_t}} \le \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|B_j\|_p^{s_t} \right)^{\frac{1}{s_t}}$$
$$\le n^{\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|A_j\|_p^{s_t} \right)^{\frac{1}{s_t}}.$$

This inequality can be rephrased as follows, if $\mu \in [2, p]$ then

$$n^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|A_j\|_p^{\mu} \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \le \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|B_j\|_p^{\mu} \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}}$$
$$\le n^{\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|A_j\|_p^{\mu} \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}}.$$

In each of the following statements $R \in Gl(H^n)$ and we denote by T_R the linear operator

$$T_R: B_p^{(n)} \longrightarrow B_p^{(n)} \qquad T_R(\overline{A}) = R\overline{A} = (B_1, \dots, B_n)^t,$$

with $B_j = \sum_{k=1}^n R_{jk} A_k$ and $\alpha = \|R^{-1}\|$, $\beta = \|R\|$ (we use the same symbol to denote the norm in B(H) and $B(H^n)$).

We observe that if the norm of T_R is at most M when

$$T_R: (B_p^{(n)}, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|_{p,1,s}) \to (B_p^{(n)}, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|_{p,1,r})$$

then if we consider the operator T_R between the spaces

$$T_R: (B_p^{(n)}, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|_{p,a,s}) \to (B_p^{(n)}, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|_{p,b,r}),$$

its norm is at most F(a, b)M with

$$F(a,b) = \begin{cases} \min\{\|b^{-1}\|\|a\|, \|a^{1/2}b^{-1}a^{1/2}\|\|a^{-1}\|\|a\|\} & \text{if } a \neq b, \\ \|a^{-1}\|\|a\| & \text{if } a = b. \end{cases}$$

Remark 2. If $a^{-1/2} \in Gl(H)$ commutes with $R \in B(H^n)$, that is, if $a^{-1/2}$ commutes with R_{jk} for all $1 \le j, k \le n$, then F is reduced to

$$F(a,b) = \begin{cases} \min\{\|b^{-1}\| \|a\|, \|a^{1/2}b^{-1}a^{1/2}\|\} = \|a^{1/2}b^{-1}a^{1/2}\| & \text{if } a \neq b, \\ 1 & \text{if } a = b. \end{cases}$$

In [12], Kissin proved the following Clarkson type inequalities for the *n*-tuples $\overline{A} \in B_p^{(n)}$. If $2 \le p < \infty$ and $\lambda, \mu \in [2, p]$, or if $0 and <math>\lambda, \mu \in [p, 2]$, then

(3.1)
$$n^{-f(p)}\alpha^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|A_j\|_p^{\mu}\right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \leq \left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|B_j\|_p^{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \\ \leq n^{f(p)}\beta \left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|A_j\|_p^{\mu}\right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}},$$

where $f(p) = \left| \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right|$.

Remark 3. This result extends the results of Bhatia and Kittaneh proved for $\mu = \lambda = 2$ or p and $R = (R_{jk})$ where

$$R_{jk} = e^{\left(i\frac{2\pi(j-1)(k-1)}{n}\right)}1.$$

We use the inequalities (3.1) and the interpolation method to obtain the following inequalities.

Theorem 3.1. Let $a, b \in Gl(H)^+, \overline{A} \in B_p^{(n)}, 1 \le p < \infty$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, then

(3.2)
$$\tilde{k} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|A_j\|_{p,a}^{\mu} \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|B_j\|_{p,\gamma_{a,b}(t)}^{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \leq \tilde{K} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|A_j\|_{p,a}^{\mu} \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}}$$

where

$$\tilde{k} = \tilde{k}(p, a, b, t) = F(a, a)^{t-1} F(b, a)^{-t} n^{\frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\mu} - \left| \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right|} \alpha^{-1}.$$

and

$$\tilde{K} = \tilde{K}(p, a, b, t) = F(a, a)^{1-t} F(a, b)^t n^{\frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\mu} + \left| \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right|} \beta,$$

if $2 \leq p$ and $\lambda, \mu \in [2, p]$ or if $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and $\lambda, \mu \in [p, 2]$.

Proof. We will denote by $\gamma(t) = \gamma_{a,b}(t)$, when no confusion can arise.

Consider the space $B_p^{(n)}$ with the norm:

$$\|\overline{A}\|_{p,a;s} = (\|A_1\|_{p,a}^s + \dots + \|A_n\|_{p,a}^s)^{1/s}$$

where $a \in Gl(H)^+$.

By (3.1), the norm of T_R is at most $F(a,a)n^{\frac{1}{\lambda}-\frac{1}{\mu}+\left|\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right|}\beta$ when

$$T_R: \left(B_p^{(n)}, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|_{p,a;\mu}\right) \longrightarrow \left(B_p^{(n)}, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|_{p,a;\lambda}\right),$$

and the norm of T_R is at most $F(a,b)n^{\frac{1}{\lambda}-\frac{1}{\mu}+\left|\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right|}\beta$ when

$$T_R: \left(B_p^{(n)}, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|_{p,a;\mu}\right) \longrightarrow \left(B_p^{(n)}, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|_{p,b;\lambda}\right).$$

Therefore, using the complex interpolation, we obtain the following diagram of interpolation for $t \in [0,1]$

$$(B_{p}^{(n)}, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|_{p,a;\lambda})$$

$$(B_{p}^{(n)}, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|_{p,a;\lambda}) \xrightarrow{T_{R}} (B_{p}^{(n)}, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|_{p,\gamma(t);\lambda})$$

$$(B_{p}^{(n)}, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|_{p,b;\lambda}).$$

By Theorem 2.1, T_R satisfies

(3.3)
$$||T_R(\overline{A})||_{p,\gamma(t);\lambda} \le F(a,a)^{1-t} F(a,b)^t n^{\frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\mu} + \left|\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right|} \beta ||\overline{A}||_{p,a;\mu}.$$

Now applying the Complex method to

$$(B_{p}^{(n)}, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|_{p,a;\lambda})$$

$$(B_{p}^{(n)}, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|_{p,\gamma(t);\lambda}) \xrightarrow{T_{R-1}} (B_{p}^{(n)}, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|_{p,a;\mu})$$

$$(B_{p}^{(n)}, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|_{p,b;\lambda})$$

one obtains

Replacing in (3.4) \overline{A} by $R\overline{A}$ we obtain

(3.5)
$$\|\overline{A}\|_{p,a;\mu} \le F(a,a)^{1-t} F(b,a)^t n^{\frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{\lambda} + \left|\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right|} \alpha \|R\overline{A}\|_{p,\gamma(t);\lambda},$$

or equivalently

$$(3.6) F(a,a)^{t-1}F(b,a)^{-t}n^{\frac{1}{\lambda}-\frac{1}{\mu}-\left|\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right|}\alpha^{-1}\|\overline{A}\|_{p,a;\mu} \le \|T_R(\overline{A})\|_{p,\gamma(t);\lambda}.$$

Finally, the inequalities (3.3) and (3.6) complete the proof.

We remark that the previous statement is a generalization of Th. 4.1 in [7] where $T_n=T_R$ with $R = \left(e^{(i\frac{2\pi(j-1)(k-1)}{n})}1\right)_{1\leq j,k\leq n}$ and $a^{-1/2}$ commutes with R for all $a\in Gl(H)^+$.

On the other hand, it is well known that if x_1, \ldots, x_n are non-negative numbers, $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and we denote $\mathcal{M}_s(\overline{x}) = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^s\right)^{1/s}$ then for 0 < s < s', $\mathcal{M}_s(\overline{x}) \leq \mathcal{M}_{s'}(\overline{x})$.

If we denote $\|\overline{B}\| = (\|B_1\|_p, \dots, \|B_n\|_p)$ and we consider 1 , then it holds for $t \in [0,1]$ and $\frac{1}{s_t} = \frac{1-t}{p} + \frac{t}{q}$ that

$$\mathcal{M}_{s_t}(\|\overline{B}\|) \leq \mathcal{M}_q(\|\overline{B}\|) \leq r^{\frac{2}{p}-1} \beta^{\frac{2}{q}} n^{\frac{-1}{q}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|A_i\|_p^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

or equivalently

(3.7)
$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|B_j\|_p^{s_t}\right)^{\frac{1}{s_t}} \leq r^{\frac{2}{p}-1} \beta^{\frac{2}{q}} n^{\frac{1}{s_t} - \frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|A_j\|_p^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Analogously, for $2 \le p < \infty$ we get

(3.8)
$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|A_j\|_p^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \rho^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \alpha^{\frac{2}{p}} n^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{s_t}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|B_j\|_p^{s_t}\right)^{\frac{1}{s_t}}$$

where $\frac{1}{s_t} = \frac{1-t}{q} + \frac{t}{p}$. Now we can use the interpolation method with the inequalities (3.7) and (3.1) (or (3.8) and (3.1)).

If we consider the following diagram of interpolation with $1 and <math>t \in [0, 1]$,

$$(B_{p}^{(n)}, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|_{p,1;p})$$

$$(B_{p}^{(n)}, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|_{p,1;p}) \xrightarrow{T_{R}} (B_{p}^{(n)}, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|_{p,1;s_{t}})$$

$$(B_{p}^{(n)}, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|_{p,1;q}).$$

By Theorem 2.1 and (3.1), T_R satisfies

(3.9)
$$||T_R(\overline{A})||_{p,1;s_t} \le \left(n^{f(p)}\beta\right)^{1-t} \left(r^{\frac{2}{p}-1}\beta^{\frac{2}{q}}\right)^t ||\overline{A}||_{p,1;p}.$$

Finally, from the inequalities (3.7) and (3.9) we obtain

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|B_j\|_p^{s_t}\right)^{\frac{1}{s_t}} \leq \min\left\{r^{\frac{2}{p}-1}\beta^{\frac{2}{q}}n^{\frac{1}{s_t}-\frac{1}{q}}, n^{f(p)(1-t)}\beta^{1+t(\frac{2}{q}-1)}r^{(\frac{2}{p}-1)t}\right\} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|A_j\|_p^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

We can summarize the previous facts in the following statement.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\overline{A} \in B_p^{(n)}$ and $B = R\overline{A}$, where $R = (R_{jk})$ is invertible. Let $r = \max \|R_{jk}\|$, $\rho = \max \|(R^{-1})_{jk}\|$ and q be the conjugate exponent of p. Then, for $t \in [0, 1]$ we get

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|A_j\|_p^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le \min\left\{\rho^{1-\frac{2}{p}}\alpha^{\frac{2}{p}}n^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{s_t}}, n^{f(p)t}\alpha^{t+(1-t)\frac{2}{p}}\rho^{(1-\frac{2}{p})(1-t)}\right\} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|B_j\|_p^{s_t}\right)^{\frac{1}{s_t}}$$

if
$$2 \le p$$
 and $\frac{1}{s_t} = \frac{1-t}{q} + \frac{t}{p}$, or

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|B_j\|_p^{s_t}\right)^{\frac{1}{s_t}} \leq \min\left\{r^{\frac{2}{p}-1}\beta^{\frac{2}{q}}n^{\frac{1}{s_t}-\frac{1}{q}}, n^{f(p)(1-t)}\beta^{1+t(\frac{2}{q}-1)}r^{(\frac{2}{p}-1)t}\right\} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|A_j\|_p^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

if
$$1 and $\frac{1}{s_t} = \frac{1-t}{p} + \frac{t}{q}$.$$

Finally using the Finsler norm $\|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|_{p,a;s}$, Calderón's method and the previous inequalities we obtain:

Corollary 3.3. Let $a, b \in Gl(H)^+$, $\overline{A} \in B_p^{(n)}$ and $B = R\overline{A}$, where $R = (R_{jk})$ is invertible. Let $r = \max \|R_{jk}\|$, $\rho = \max \|(R^{-1})_{jk}\|$ and q be the conjugate exponent of p. Then, for $t, u \in [0, 1]$ we get

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|A_j\|_{p,a}^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le F(a,a)^{1-u} F(b,a)^u M_1 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|B_j\|_{p,\gamma_{a,b}(u)}^{s_t}\right)^{\frac{1}{s_t}},$$

if $2 \le p$, $\frac{1}{s_t} = \frac{1-t}{q} + \frac{t}{p}$ and

$$M_1 = M_1(R, p, t) = \min \left\{ \rho^{1 - \frac{2}{p}} \alpha^{\frac{2}{p}} n^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{s_t}}, n^{f(p)t} \alpha^{t + (1-t)\frac{2}{p}} \rho^{(1-\frac{2}{p})(1-t)} \right\}$$

or

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|B_j\|_{p,\gamma_{a,b}(u)}^{s_t}\right)^{\frac{1}{s_t}} \le F(a,a)^{1-u}F(a,b)^u M_2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|A_j\|_p^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

10 Cristian Conde

$$\begin{split} \textit{if } 1$$

REFERENCES

- [1] E. ANDRUCHOW, G. CORACH, M. MILMAN AND D. STOJANOFF, Geodesics and interpolation, *Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina*, **40**(3-4) (1997), 83–91.
- [2] J. BERGH AND J. LÖFSTRÖM, *Interpolation Spaces*. *An Introduction*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976.
- [3] R. BHATIA AND F. KITTANEH, Clarkson inequalities with several operators, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, **36**(6) (2004), 820–832.
- [4] R. BOAS, Some uniformly convex spaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 46 (1940), 304–311.
- [5] A. CALDERÓN, Intermediate spaces and interpolation, the complex method, *Studia Math.*, **24** (1964), 113–190.
- [6] J.A. CLARKSON, Uniformly convex spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 40 (1936), 396–414.
- [7] C. CONDE, Geometric interpolation in *p*-Schatten class, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **340**(2) (2008), 920–931.
- [8] G. CORACH, H. PORTA AND L. RECHT, The geometry of the space of selfadjoint invertible elements of a C*-algebra, *Integra. Equ. Oper. Theory*, **16**(3) (1993), 333–359.
- [9] I. GOHBERG AND M. KREIN, *Introduction to the Theory of Linear Nonselfadjoint Operators*, American Mathematical Society, Vol. 18, Providence, R. I., 1969.
- [10] O. HIRZALLAH AND F. KITTANEH, Non-commutative Clarkson inequalities for unitarily invariants norms, *Paciic J. Math.*, **202** (2002), 363–369.
- [11] O. HIRZALLAH AND F. KITTANEH, Non-commutative Clarkson inequalities for *n*-tuples of operators, *Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory*, **60** (2008), 369–379.
- [12] E. KISSIN, On Clarkson-McCarthy inequalities for *n*-tuples of operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **135**(8) (2007), 2483–2495.
- [13] S. KREIN, J. PETUNIN AND E. SEMENOV, *Interpolation of linear operators*. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 54, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R. I., 1982.
- [14] C. MCCARTHY, c_p, Israel J. Math., **5** (1967), 249–271.
- [15] G. MOSTOW, Some new decomposition theorems for semi-simple groups, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **14** (1955), 31–54.
- [16] B. SIMON, *Trace Ideals and their Applications. Second edition*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 120. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.