

Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics

http://jipam.vu.edu.au/

Volume 6, Issue 2, Article 34, 2005

ON A CONVOLUTION CONJECTURE OF BOUNDED FUNCTIONS

KRZYSZTOF PIEJKO, JANUSZ SOKÓŁ, AND JAN STANKIEWICZ

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS RZESZÓW UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY UL. W. POLA 2, 35-959 RZESZÓW, POLAND. piejko@prz.rzeszow.pl

piejko@prz.rzeszow.pi

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS RZESZÓW UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY UL. W. POLA 2, 35-959 RZESZÓW, POLAND. jsokol@prz.rzeszow.pl

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF RZESZÓW UL. REJTANA 16A 35-959 RZESZÓW, POLAND. jan.stankiewicz@prz.rzeszow.pl

Received 30 November, 2004; accepted 03 March, 2005 Communicated by K. Nikodem

ABSTRACT. We consider the convolution $P(A, B) \star P(C, D)$ of the classes of analytic functions subordinated to the homographies $\frac{1+Az}{1-Bz}$ and $\frac{1+Cz}{1-Dz}$ respectively, where A, B, C, D are some complex numbers. In 1988 J. Stankiewicz and Z. Stankiewicz [11] showed that for certain A, B, C, D there exist X, Y such that $P(A, B) \star P(C, D) \subset P(X, Y)$. In this paper we verify the conjecture that $P(X, Y) \subset (A, B) \star P(C, D)$ for some A, B, C, D, X, Y.

Key words and phrases: Hadamard product, Convolution, Subordination, Bounded functions.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30C45; Secondary 30C55.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ denote the open unit disc and let \mathcal{H} be the class of functions regular in Δ . We will denote by \mathcal{N} the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{H}$ normalized by f(0) = 1. The class of Schwarz functions Ω is the class of functions $\omega \in \mathcal{H}$, such that $\omega(0) = 0$ and $|\omega(z)| < 1$ for $z \in \Delta$. We say that a function f is subordinate to a function g in Δ (and write $f \prec g$ or $f(z) \prec g(z)$) if there exists a function $\omega \in \Omega$ such that $f(z) = g(\omega(z)); z \in \Delta$. If the function g is univalent in Δ , then $f \prec g$ if and only if f(0) = g(0) and $f(\Delta) \subset g(\Delta)$. In this case we have $\omega(z) = g^{-1}(f(z))$.

ISSN (electronic): 1443-5756

^{© 2005} Victoria University. All rights reserved.

²²⁹⁻⁰⁴

Let the functions f and g be of the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \qquad g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

We say that the Hadamard product of f and g is the function $f \star g$ if

$$(f \star g)(z) = f(z) \star g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n$$

J. Hadamard [2] proved, that the radius of convergence of $f \star g$ is the product of the radii of convergence of the corresponding series f and g. The function $f \star g$ is also called the *convolution* of the functions f and g.

For the classes $Q_1 \subset \mathcal{H}$ and $Q_2 \subset \mathcal{H}$ the convolution $Q_1 \star Q_2$ is defined as

$$Q_1 \star Q_2 = \{h \in \mathcal{H}; \ h = f \star g, \ f \in Q_1, \ g \in Q_2\}.$$

The problem of connections between functions f, g and their convolution $f \star g$ or between Q_1, Q_2 and $Q_1 \star Q_2$ has often been investigated. Many conjectures have been given, however, many of them have still not been verified.

In 1958, G. Pólya and I.J. Schoenberg [7] conjectured that the Hadamard product of two convex mappings is a convex mapping. In 1961 H.S. Wilf [12] gave the more general supposition that if F and G are convex mappings in Δ and f is subordinate to F, then the convolution $f \star G$ is subordinate to $F \star G$.

In 1973, S. Rusheweyh and T. Sheil-Small [9] proved both conjectures and more results of this type. Their very important results we may write as:

Theorem A. If $f \in S^c$ and $g \in S^c$, then $f \star g \in S^c$, where S^c is the class of univalent and convex functions. Moreover $S^c \star S^c = S^c$.

Theorem B. If $F \in S^c$, $G \in S^c$ and $f \prec F$, then $f \star G \prec F \star G$.

In 1985, S. Ruscheweyh and J. Stankiewicz [10] proved some generalizations of Theorems A and B:

Theorem C. If the functions F and G are univalent and convex in Δ , then for all functions f and g, if $f \prec F$ and $g \prec G$ then $f \star g \prec F \star G$.

For the given complex numbers A, B such that $A + B \neq 0$ and $|B| \leq 1$ let us denote

$$P(A,B) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{N} : \ f(z) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1-Bz} \right\}$$

W. Janowski introduced the class P(A, B) in [3] and considered it for some real A and B. If A = B = 1 then the class P(1, 1) is the class of functions with positive real part (Carathéodory functions). Note, that for |B| < 1 the class P(A, B) is the class of bounded functions. In 1988 J. Stankiewicz and Z. Stankiewicz [11] investigated the convolution properties of the class P(A, B) and proved the following theorem:

Theorem D. If A, B, C, D are some complex numbers such that $A + B \neq 0$, $C + D \neq 0$, $|B| \leq 1$, $|D| \leq 1$, then

$$P(A, B) \star P(C, D) \subset P(AC + AD + BC, BD),$$

moreover, if |B| = 1 or |D| = 1, then

$$P(A, B) \star P(C, D) = P(AC + AD + BC, BD)$$

The equality between the class $P(A, B) \star P(C, D)$ and the class P(AC + AD + BC, BD)for |B| < 1 and |D| < 1 was an open problem. In [5] K. Piejko, J. Sokół and J. Stankiewicz proved that the above mentioned classes are different. In this paper we give an extension of this result. First we need two theorems.

Theorem E (G. Eneström [1], S. Kakeya [4]). *If* $a_0 > a_1 > \cdots > a_n > 0$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then the polynomial $p(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + \cdots + a_n z^n$ has no roots in $\overline{\Delta} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \le 1\}$.

Theorem F (W. Rogosinski [8]). If the function $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n z^n$ is subordinated to the function $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n z^n$ in Δ , then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_n|^2 \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\beta_n|^2$.

2. MAIN RESULT

We prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. Let A, B, C, D be some complex numbers such that $B + A \neq 0, C + D \neq 0$, |B| < 1, |D| < 1, then there are not complex numbers $X, Y, X + Y \neq 0$, $|Y| \le 1$ such that $P(X,Y) \subset P(A,B) \star P(C,D)$.

Proof. As in [5], the proof will be divided into three steps. First we give a family of bounded functions ω^{ν} ; $\nu = 1, 2, 3...$ having special properties of coefficients. Afterwards we construct, using ω^{ν} , a function belonging to the class P(X, Y) and finally we will show that such a function is not in the class $P(A, B) \star P(C, D)$.

Now we use a method of E. Landau [6] and find some functions ω^{ν} , $\nu = 1, 2, 3, ...$, which are basic in this proof. We observe that

$$\frac{1}{1-z} = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-z}}\right)^2 = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k z^k\right)^2 = 1 + z + z^2 + z^3 + \cdots$$

where

(2.1)
$$p_0 = 1 \text{ and } p_k = \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdots (2k-1)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdots 2k}; \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

For some $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ we set

$$K_{\nu}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\nu} p_k z^k = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + p_3 z^3 + \dots + p_{\nu} z^{\nu}$$

and note that

$$K_{\nu}^{2}(z) = 1 + z + z^{2} + \dots + z^{\nu} + b_{\nu+1}z^{\nu+1} + \dots + b_{2\nu}z^{2\nu},$$

where $b_{\nu+1}, \ldots, b_{2\nu} \in \mathbb{C}$. Let ω^{ν} be given by

(2.2)
$$\omega^{\nu}(z) = \frac{z^{\nu+1}K_{\nu}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)}{K_{\nu}(z)} = z\frac{z^{\nu}+p_{1}z^{\nu-1}+p_{2}z^{\nu-2}+\dots+p_{\nu}}{1+p_{1}z+p_{2}z^{2}+\dots+p_{\nu}z^{\nu}}$$

Since

$$p_k > p_k \frac{2k+1}{2k+2} = p_{k+1}$$
 where $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$,

then for $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $1 > p_1 > p_2 > p_3 > \cdots > p_{\nu} > 0$.

Applying Theorem E to the polynomial K_{ν} we obtain $K_{\nu}(z) \neq 0$ for $|z| \leq 1$, hence the function ω^{ν} is regular in Δ . Moreover $\omega^{\nu}(0) = 0$ and on the circle |z| = 1 we have

I_____I

$$\left|\omega^{\nu}\left(e^{it}\right)\right| = \left|\frac{e^{(\nu+1)it}K_{\nu}\left(e^{-it}\right)}{K_{\nu}\left(e^{it}\right)}\right| = \frac{\left|\overline{K_{\nu}\left(e^{it}\right)}\right|}{\left|K_{\nu}\left(e^{it}\right)\right|} = 1; \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In this way we conclude that for $\nu \in \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$

$$(2.3) \qquad \qquad \omega^{\nu} \in \Omega.$$

Let, for a certain $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, the function ω^{ν} be represented by following power series expansions: $\omega^{\nu}(z) = \gamma_1^{\nu} z + \gamma_2^{\nu} z^2 + \gamma_3^{\nu} z^3 + \cdots$ and let $s_n^{\nu}(z)$ denote the partial sum

$$s_{n}^{\nu}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \gamma_{k}^{\nu} z^{k} = \gamma_{1}^{\nu} z + \gamma_{2}^{\nu} z^{2} + \gamma_{3}^{\nu} z^{3} + \dots + \gamma_{n}^{\nu} z^{n}$$

for all $n \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, \nu + 1\}$.

Now we will estimate $s_n^{\nu}(1) = \gamma_1^{\nu} + \gamma_2^{\nu} + \gamma_3^{\nu} + \dots + \gamma_n^{\nu}$. If we integrate on a circle C : |z| = r in a counterclockwise direction with 0 < r < 1, then we obtain

(2.4)
$$\int_{C} az^{m} dz = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{C} \frac{a}{z} dz = 2\pi ai,$$

for all integers $m \neq -1$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} s_n^{\nu}(1) &= \gamma_1^{\nu} + \gamma_2^{\nu} + \gamma_3^{\nu} + \dots + \gamma_n^{\nu} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \omega^{\nu}(z) \left(\frac{1}{z^2} + \frac{1}{z^3} + \frac{1}{z^4} + \dots + \frac{1}{z^{n+1}} \right) dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{\omega^{\nu}(z)}{z^{n+1}} \left(1 + z + z^2 + z^3 + \dots + z^{n-1} \right) dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{\omega^{\nu}(z)}{z^{n+1}} Q(z) dz, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Q(z) = 1 + z + z^{2} + \dots + z^{n-1} + d_{n}z_{n} + d_{n+1}z^{n+1} + \dots + d_{s}z^{s}$$

is any polynomial whose first n terms are equal to $1 + z + z^2 + \cdots + z^{n-1}$.

If for $n \leq \nu + 1$ we set

$$Q(z) = K_{\nu}^{2}(z) = 1 + z + z^{2} + \dots + z^{n-1} + z^{n} + \dots + z^{\nu} + b_{\nu+1}z^{\nu+1} + \dots + b_{2\nu}z^{2\nu},$$

then we obtain

$$s_n^{\nu}(1) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int\limits_C \frac{\omega^{\nu}(z)}{z^{n+1}} K_{\nu}^2(z) dz.$$

From (2.2) it follows that

$$s_{n}^{\nu}(1) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C} \frac{\frac{z^{\nu+1}K_{\nu}(\frac{1}{z})}{K_{\nu}(z)}}{z^{n+1}} K_{\nu}^{2}(z) dz$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C} z^{\nu-n} K_{\nu}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) K_{\nu}(z) dz$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C} z^{\nu-n} \left(1 + p_{1}\frac{1}{z} + p_{2}\frac{1}{z^{2}} + \dots + p_{\nu}\frac{1}{z^{\nu}}\right) \left(1 + p_{1}z + p_{2}z^{2} + \dots + p_{\nu}z^{\nu}\right) dz.$$

Using (2.4) we get

$$s_n^{\nu}(1) = p_{\nu-n+1} + p_{\nu-n+2}p_1 + p_{\nu-n+3}p_2 + \dots + p_{\nu}p_{n-1}$$

where (p_k) are given by (2.1), and so

(2.5)
$$s_n^{\nu}(1) = \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k^{\nu} = \sum_{k=1}^n p_{\nu-n+k} p_{k-1}.$$

By the above we have $\gamma_1^{\nu} = s_1^{\nu}(1) = p_{\nu}$ and for all $\nu \in \{1, 2, 3, ...\}$ and $n \in \{2, 3, 4, ..., \nu + 1\}$

$$\gamma_n^{\nu} = s_n^{\nu}(1) - s_{n-1}^{\nu}(1) = \sum_{k=1}^n p_{\nu-n+k} p_{k-1} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} p_{\nu-n+1+k} p_{k-1}.$$

Therefore

(2.6)
$$\gamma_n^{\nu} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(p_{\nu-n+k} - p_{\nu-n+1+k} \right) p_{k-1} + p_{\nu} p_{n-1}.$$

The sequence (p_n) is positive and decreasing, then from (2.6) it follows that

(2.7)
$$\gamma_n^{\nu} > 0 \text{ for all } \nu \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } n \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, \nu + 1\}.$$

We conclude from (2.5) that for $n = \nu + 1$

$$s_{\nu+1}^{\nu}(1) = \gamma_1^{\nu} + \gamma_2^{\nu} + \dots + \gamma_{\nu}^{\nu} + \gamma_{\nu+1}^{\nu} = \sum_{k=1}^{\nu+1} p_{k-1}p_{k-1} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} p_k^2.$$

Since $\sum_{k=1}^\infty p_k^2 = \infty$ then

(2.8)
$$\lim_{\nu \to \infty} s_{\nu+1}^{\nu}(1) = \lim_{\nu \to \infty} \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} p_k^2 \right) = +\infty.$$

Now using the properties of ω^{ν} we construct the function belonging to the class P(X, Y) which is not in the class $P(A, B) \star P(C, D)$, where |B| < 1 and |D| < 1.

Since for |x| = 1 we have P(A, B) = P(Ax, Bx), we can assume without loss of generality that $B \in [0; 1)$, $D \in [0; 1)$ and $Y \in [0; 1]$.

For fixed $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ let h^{ν} be given by

(2.9)
$$h^{\nu}(z) = \frac{1 + X\omega^{\nu}(z)}{1 - Y\omega^{\nu}(z)},$$

where $w^{\nu} \in \Omega$ is given by (2.2). It is clearly that $h^{\nu} \in P(X, Y)$. Suppose that there exist the functions $f \in P(A, B), g \in P(C, D)$ such that

(2.10)
$$f(z) \star g(z) = h^{\nu}(z).$$

Let the functions f and g have the following form:

$$f(z) = \frac{1 + A\omega_1(z)}{1 - B\omega_1(z)} = 1 + (A + B)\frac{\omega_1(z)}{1 - B\omega_1(z)}$$

and

$$g(z) = \frac{1 + C\omega_2(z)}{1 - D\omega_2(z)} = 1 + (C + D)\frac{\omega_2(z)}{1 - D\omega_2(z)},$$

where $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega$. For simplicity of notation we write

$$f(z) = 1 + (A+B)\tilde{f}(z), \quad g(z) = 1 + (C+D)\tilde{g}(z),$$

where

(2.11)
$$\tilde{f}(z) = \frac{\omega_1(z)}{1 - B\omega_1(z)} \text{ and } \tilde{g}(z) = \frac{\omega_2(z)}{1 - D\omega_2(z)}.$$

Using these notations we can rewrite (2.10) as

$$\left[1 + (A+B)\tilde{f}(z)\right] \star \left[1 + (C+D)\tilde{g}(z)\right] = \frac{1 + X\omega^{\nu}(z)}{1 - Y\omega^{\nu}(z)}$$

and so

(2.12)
$$\tilde{f}(z) \star \tilde{g}(z) = \frac{X+Y}{(A+B)(C+D)} \tilde{h}^{\nu}(z),$$

where $\tilde{h}^{\nu}(z) = \frac{\omega^{\nu}(z)}{1-BD\omega^{\nu}(z)}$. Let the functions \tilde{h}^{ν} , \tilde{f} and \tilde{g} have the following expansions in Δ :

(2.13)
$$\tilde{h}^{\nu}(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n^{\nu} z^n, \quad \tilde{f}(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad \tilde{g}(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n.$$

From (2.11) it follows, that $\tilde{f}(z) \prec \frac{z}{1-Bz}$ and $\tilde{g}(z) \prec \frac{z}{1-Dz}$, and hence by Theorem F (and since $0 \leq B < 1$ and $0 \leq D < 1$) we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 \le \frac{1}{1-B^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |b_n|^2 \le \frac{1}{1-D^2}$$

Let us note, that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(|a_n|^2 + |b_n|^2 \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\left(|a_n| - |b_n| \right)^2 + 2|a_n||b_n| \right] \le \frac{1}{1 - |B|^2} + \frac{1}{1 - |D|^2}.$$

From (2.12) and (2.13) we obtain

$$a_n b_n = \frac{X+Y}{(A+B)(C+D)} c_n^{\nu}, \quad \text{for} \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

therefore

(2.14)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(|a_n| - |b_n| \right)^2 \le \frac{1}{1 - B^2} + \frac{1}{1 - D^2} - \left| \frac{2(X + Y)}{(A + B)(C + D)} \right| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n^{\nu}|.$$

Now we observe that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n^{\nu} z^n = \tilde{h}^{\nu}(z) = \frac{\omega^{\nu}(z)}{1 - Y\omega^{\nu}(z)}$$

= $\omega^{\nu}(z) + Y [\omega^{\nu}(z)]^2 + Y^2 [\omega^{\nu}(z)]^3 + \cdots$
= $(\gamma_1^{\nu} z + \gamma_2^{\nu} z^2 + \cdots) + Y (\gamma_1^{\nu} z + \gamma_2^{\nu} z^2 + \cdots)^2 + Y^2 (\gamma_1^{\nu} z + \gamma_2^{\nu} z^2 + \cdots)^3 + \cdots$
= $\gamma_1^{\nu} z + (\gamma_2^{\nu} + Y(\gamma_1^{\nu})^2) z^2 + (\gamma_3^{\nu} + 2Y\gamma_1^{\nu}\gamma_2^{\nu} + Y^2(\gamma_1^{\nu})^3) z^3 + \cdots$

Since $Y \in [0, 1]$ and since (2.7) we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n^{\nu}| = |\gamma_1^{\nu}| + |\gamma_2^{\nu} + Y(\gamma_1^{\nu})^2| + |\gamma_3^{\nu} + 2Y\gamma_1^{\nu}\gamma_2^{\nu} + Y^2(\gamma_1^{\nu})^3| + \cdots$$
$$\ge \sum_{n=1}^{\nu+1} |c_n^{\nu}| \ge \gamma_1^{\nu} + \gamma_2^{\nu} + \gamma_3^{\nu} + \dots + \gamma_{\nu+1}^{\nu} = s_{\nu+1}^{\nu}(1).$$

From the above we have for all $\nu \in \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$

(2.15)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n^{\nu}| \ge s_{\nu+1}^{\nu}(1).$$

Combining (2.14) and (2.15) we obtain

(2.16)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(|a_n| - |b_n| \right)^2 \le \frac{1}{1 - B^2} + \frac{1}{1 - D^2} - \left| \frac{2(X + Y)}{(A + B)(C + D)} \right| s_{\nu+1}^{\nu}(1).$$

From (2.8) it follows that we are able to choose a suitable ν such that the right side of (2.16) is negative. In this way (2.16) follows the contradiction and the proof is complete.

From Theorem 2.1 and Theorem D we immediately have the following

Corollary 2.2. *The classes* $P(A, B) \star P(C, D)$ *and* P(AD + AC + BC, BD) *are equal if and only if* |B| = 1 *or* |D| = 1.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. ENESTRÖM, Härledning af en allmä formel för antalet pensionärer som vid en godtycklig tidpunkt förefinnas inom en sluten pensionskassa, *Öfversigt af Kongl. Vetenskaps Akademiens Förhandlingar*, **50** (1893), 405–415.
- [2] J. HADAMARD, Théoréme sur les séries entiéres, Acta Mathematica, 22 (1898), 55-63.
- [3] W. JANOWSKI, Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions, *Ann. Polon. Math.*, 28 (1957), 297–326.
- [4] S. KAKEYA, On the limits of the roots of an algebraic equation with positive coefficients, *Tôhoku Math. J.*, 2 (1912), 140–142.
- [5] K. PIEJKO, J. SOKOŁ AND J. STANKIEWICZ, On some problem of the convolution of bounded functions, *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*, **197** (2004), 229–238.
- [6] E. LANDAU, Darstellung und Begrundung einiger neurer Ergebnise der Funktionentheorie, Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, N.Y. (1946).
- [7] G. PÓLYA AND I.J. SCHOENBERG, Remarks on de la Vallée Pousin means and convex conformal maps of the circle, *Pacific J. Math.*, 8 (1958), 295–334.
- [8] W. ROGOSINSKI, On the coefficients of subordinate functions, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 48(2) (1943), 48–82.
- [9] S. RUSCHEWEYH AND T. SHEIL-SMALL, Hadamard product of schlicht functions and the Pólya-Schoenberg conjecture, *Comtnt. Math. Helv.*, **48** (1973), 119–135.
- [10] S. RUSCHEWEYH AND J. STANKIEWICZ, Subordination under convex univalent functions, Bull. Polon. Acad. Sci. Math., 33 (1985), 499–502.
- [11] J. STANKIEWICZ AND Z. STANKIEWICZ, Convolution of some classes of function, *Folia Sci. Univ. Techn. Resov.*, 48 (1988), 93–101.
- [12] H.S. WILF, Subordintating factor sequences for convex maps of the unit circle, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **12** (1961), 689–693.