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#### Abstract

In this paper, by using one of Chen's theorems, combining the method of mathematical analysis and nonlinear algebraic equation system, Mircea's Inequality involving the area, circumradius and inradius of the triangle is sharpened.
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## 1. Introduction and Main Results

Let $S$ be the area, $R$ the circumradius, $r$ the inradius and $p$ the semi-perimeter of a triangle. The following laconic and beautiful inequality is the so-called Mircea inequality in [1]

$$
R+\frac{r}{2}>\sqrt{S} .
$$

In 1991, D. S. Mitrinović et al. [2] noted a Mircea-type inequality obtained by D.M. Milošević

$$
\begin{equation*}
R+\frac{r}{2} \geq \frac{5}{6} \sqrt[4]{3} \sqrt{S} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [4], L. Carliz and F. Leuenberger strengthened inequality (1.1) as follows (see also [3])

$$
\begin{equation*}
R+r \geq \sqrt[4]{3} \sqrt{S} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

since (1.2) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R+\frac{r}{2} \geq \frac{5}{6} \sqrt[4]{3} \sqrt{S}+\frac{1}{6}(R-2 r) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from the well-known Euler inequality $R \geq 2 r$.
The main purpose of this article is to give a generalization of inequalities (1.1) and (1.2) or (1.3).

Theorem 1.1. If $k \leq k_{0}$, then for any triangle, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R+\frac{r}{2} \geq \frac{5}{6} \sqrt[4]{3} \sqrt{S}+k(R-2 r) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{0}$ is the root on the interval $\left(\frac{11}{20}, \frac{4}{7}\right)$ of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
2304 k^{4}-896 k^{3}-2336 k^{2}-856 k+1159=0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equality in (1.4) is valid if and only if the triangle is isosceles and the of ratio of its sides is $2: x_{0}: x_{0}$, where $x_{0}$ is the positive root of the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{4}+28 x^{3}-120 x^{2}+80 x-16=0 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Theorem 1.1, we can make the following remarks.
Remark 1.2. $k_{0}$ is the best constant which makes (1.4) hold, and $k_{0}=0.5660532114 \ldots$.
Remark 1.3. The function

$$
f(k)=R+\frac{r}{2}-\frac{5}{6} \sqrt[4]{3} \sqrt{S}-k(R-2 r)
$$

is a monotone increasing function on $\left(-\infty, k_{0}\right]$.
Remark 1.4. For $k=\frac{1}{2}$ in (1.4), the inequality

$$
R+3 r \geq \frac{5}{3} \sqrt[4]{3} \sqrt{S}
$$

holds.

Remark 1.5. $x_{0}=3.079485433 \ldots$

## 2. Some Lemmas

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we require several lemmas.
Lemma 2.1 ([5, 6], see also [12]).
(i) If the homogeneous inequality $p \geq(>) f_{1}(R, r)$ holds for any isosceles triangle whose top angle is greater than or equal to $60^{\circ}$, then the inequality $p \geq(>) f_{1}(R, r)$ holds for any triangle.
(ii) If the homogeneous inequality $p \leq(<) f_{1}(R, r)$ holds for any isosceles triangle whose top angle is less than or equal to $60^{\circ}$, then the inequality $p \leq(<) f_{1}(R, r)$ holds for any triangle.

Lemma 2.2 ([7]). Denote

$$
f(x)=a_{0} x^{n}+a_{1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{n}
$$

and

$$
g(x)=b_{0} x^{m}+b_{1} x^{m-1}+\cdots+b_{m} .
$$

If $a_{0} \neq 0$ or $b_{0} \neq 0$, then the polynomials $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ have common roots if and only if

$$
R(f, g)=\left|\begin{array}{cccccccc}
a_{0} & a_{1} & a_{2} & \cdots & a_{n} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & a_{0} & a_{1} & \cdots & a_{n-1} & a_{n} & \cdots & \cdots \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{0} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & a_{n} \\
b_{0} & b_{1} & b_{2} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & b_{0} & b_{1} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & b_{0} & b_{1} & \cdots & b_{m}
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

where $R(f, g)$ is Sylvester's resultant of $f(x)$ and $g(x)$.
Lemma 2.3 ([7, 8]). For a given polynomial $f(x)$ with real coefficients

$$
f(x)=a_{0} x^{n}+a_{1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{n}
$$

if the number of sign changes of the revised sign list of its discriminant sequence

$$
\left\{D_{1}(f), D_{2}(f), \ldots, D_{n}(f)\right\}
$$

is $v$, then, the number of the pairs of distinct conjugate imaginary roots of $f(x)$ equals $v$. Furthermore, if the number of non-vanishing members of the revised sign list is $l$, then, the number of the distinct real roots of $f(x)$ equals $l-2 v$.

## 3. The Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof. It is not difficult to see that the form of the inequality (1.4) is equivalent to $p \leq(<) f_{1}(R, r)$ with the known identity $S=r p$. From Lemma 2.1, we easily see that inequality (1.4) holds if and only if this triangle is an isosceles triangle whose top angle is less than or equal to $60^{\circ}$.

Let $a=2, b=c=x \quad(x \geq 2)$, then (1.4) is equivalent to

$$
\frac{x^{2}}{2 \sqrt{x^{2}-1}}+\frac{\sqrt{x^{2}-1}}{2(x+1)} \geq \frac{5}{6} \sqrt[4]{3\left(x^{2}-1\right)}+k\left(\frac{x^{2}}{2 \sqrt{x^{2}-1}}-\frac{2 \sqrt{x^{2}-1}}{x+1}\right)
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}+x-1 \geq \frac{5}{3} \sqrt[4]{3\left(x^{2}-1\right)^{3}}+k(x-2)^{2} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $x=2$, (3.1) obviously holds. If $x>2$, then (3.1) is equivalent to

$$
k \leq \frac{x^{2}+x-1-\frac{5}{3} \sqrt[4]{3\left(x^{2}-1\right)^{3}}}{(x-2)^{2}}
$$

Define a function

$$
g(x)=\frac{x^{2}+x-1-\frac{5}{3} \sqrt[4]{3\left(x^{2}-1\right)^{3}}}{(x-2)^{2}} \quad(x>2)
$$

Calculating the derivative for $g(x)$, we get

$$
g^{\prime}(x)=\frac{5\left[\sqrt[4]{3}\left(x^{2}+6 x-4\right)-6 x \sqrt[4]{x^{2}-1}\right]}{6(x-2)^{3} \sqrt[4]{x^{2}-1}}
$$

Let $g^{\prime}(x)=0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt[4]{3}\left(x^{2}+6 x-4\right)-6 x \sqrt[4]{x^{2}-1}=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that the roots of equation (3.2) must be the roots of the following equation

$$
\left(x^{4}+28 x^{3}-120 x^{2}+80 x-16\right)(x+2)(x-2)^{3}=0
$$

For the range of roots of equation (3.2) on $(2,+\infty)$, the roots of equation (3.2) must be the roots of equation (1.6).

It shows that equation (1.6) has only one positive real root on the open interval $(2,+\infty)$. Let $x_{0}$ be the positive real root of equation (1.6). Then $x_{0}=3.079485433 \ldots$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
g(x)_{\min }=g\left(x_{0}\right) & =\frac{x_{0}^{2}+x_{0}-1-\frac{5}{3} \sqrt[4]{3\left(x_{0}^{2}-1\right)^{3}}}{\left(x_{0}-2\right)^{2}} \\
& =0.5660532114 \cdots \in\left(\frac{11}{20}, \frac{4}{7}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, the maximum of $k$ is $g\left(x_{0}\right)$.
Now we prove that $g\left(x_{0}\right)$ is the root of equation (1.5).
Consider the nonlinear algebraic equation system as follows

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0}^{4}+28 x_{0}^{3}-120 x_{0}^{2}+80 x_{0}-16=0  \tag{3.4}\\
u_{0}^{4}-3\left(x_{0}^{2}-1\right)^{3}=0 \\
x_{0}^{2}+x_{0}-1-\frac{5}{3} u_{0}-\left(x_{0}-2\right)^{2} t=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

or

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
F\left(x_{0}\right)=0  \tag{3.5}\\
G\left(x_{0}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
F\left(x_{0}\right)=x_{0}^{4}+28 x_{0}^{3}-120 x_{0}^{2}+80 x_{0}-16
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
G\left(x_{0}\right)=81 & (-1+t)^{4} x_{0}{ }^{8}-324(1+4 t)(-1+t)^{3} x_{0}{ }^{7} \\
& +\left(-1713+2592 t+3402 t^{2}-15228 t^{3}+9072 t^{4}\right) x_{0}{ }^{6} \\
& -324(-2+7 t)(-1+t)(1+4 t)^{2} x_{0}{ }^{5} \\
& +\left(5220-6480 t-26730 t^{2}-6480 t^{3}+90720 t^{4}\right) x_{0}{ }^{4} \\
& -324(-2+7 t)(1+4 t)^{3} x_{0}{ }^{3} \\
& +\left(-5463+4212 t+34992 t^{2}+119232 t^{3}+145152 t^{4}\right) x_{0}{ }^{2} \\
& -324(1+4 t)^{4} x_{0}+1956+1296 t+7776 t^{2}+20736 t^{3}+20736 t^{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have that $g\left(x_{0}\right)$ is also the solution of the nonlinear algebraic equation system (3.4) or (3.5). From Lemma 2.2, we get

$$
R(F, G)=44079842304 p_{1}(t) p_{2}(t) p_{3}(t)=0
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{1}(t)=2304 t^{4}-896 t^{3}-2336 t^{2}-856 t+1159 \\
p_{2}(t)=2304 t^{4}-46976 t^{3}+51104 t^{2}-35496 t+10939, \\
p_{3}(t)=1327104 t^{8}-27574272 t^{7}+270856192 t^{6}-218763264 t^{5}-111704320 t^{4} \\
+78507776 t^{3}+170893152 t^{2}-164410112 t+62195869 .
\end{gathered}
$$

The revised sign list of the discriminant sequence of $p_{2}(t)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
[1,1,-1,-1] . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The revised sign list of the discriminant sequence of $p_{3}(t)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
[1,-1,-1,-1,1,-1,1,1] \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

So the number of the sign changes of the revised sign list of 3.6 equals 1 , then with Lemma 2.2, the equation $p_{2}(t)=0$ has 2 distinct real roots. And by using the function "realroot()" [10, ,11] in Maple 9.0, we can find that $p_{2}(t)=0$ has 2 distinct real roots in the following intervals

$$
\left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{17}{32}\right],\left[\frac{77}{4}, \frac{617}{32}\right]
$$

and no real root on the interval $\left(\frac{11}{20}, \frac{4}{7}\right)$.
If the number of the sign changes of the revised sign list of 3.7) equals 4 , then from Lemma 2.3. the equation $p_{3}(t)=0$ has 4 pairs distinct conjugate imaginary roots. That is to say, $p_{3}(t)=0$ has no real root.

From (3.3), we easily deduce that $g\left(x_{0}\right)$ is the root of the equation $p_{1}(t)=0$. Namely, $g\left(x_{0}\right)$ is the root of equation (1.5).

Further, considering the proof above, we can easily obtain the required result in (1.4).
Thus, the proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed.

## References

[1] D.S. MITRINOVIĆ, J. E. PEČARIĆ AND V. VOLENEC, Recent Advances in Geometric Inequalities, Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, Boston, London, 1989, 166.
[2] D.S. MITRINOVIĆ, J.E. PEČARIĆ, V. VOLENEC AND JI CHEN, The addendum to the monograph "Recent Advances in Geometric Inequalities", J. Ningbo Univ., 4(2) (1991), 85.
[3] O. BOTTEMA, R.Z. DORDEVIC, R.R. JANIC AND D.S. MITRINOVIĆ, Geometric Inequality, Wolters-Noordhoff Publishing, Groningen, The Netherlands, 1969, 80.
[4] L. CARLIZ AND F. LEUENBERGER, Probleme E1454, Amer. Math. Monthly, 68 (1961), 177 and 68 (1961), 805-806.
[5] SH.-L. CHEN, A new method to prove one kind of inequalities-Equate substitution method, Fu jian High-School Mathematics, 3 (1993), 20-23. (in Chinese)
[6] SH.-L. CHEN, The simplified method to prove inequalities in triangle, Research in Inequalities, Tibet People's Press, 2000, 3-8. (in Chinese)
[7] L. YANG, J.-ZH. ZHANG AND X.-R. HOU, Nonlinear Algebraic Equation System and Automated Theorem Proving, Shanghai Scientific and Technological Education Press, 1996, 23-25. (in Chinese)
[8] L. YANG, X.-R. HOU AND ZH.-B. ZENG, A complete discrimination system for polynomials, Science in China (Series E), 39(6) (1996), 628-646.
[9] L. YANG, The symbolic algorithm for global optimization with finiteness principle, Mathematics and Mathematics-Mechanization, Shandong Education Press, Jinan, 2001, 210-220. (in Chinese)
[10] D.-M. WANG, Selected Lectures in Symbolic Computation, Tsinghua Univ. Press, Beijing, 2003, 110-114. (in Chinese)
[11] D.-M. WANG and B.-C. XIA, Computer Algebra, Tsinghua Univ. Press, Beijing, 2004. (in Chinese)
[12] Y.-D. WU, The best constant for a geometric inequality, J. Ineq. Pure Appl. Math., 6(4) (2005), Art. 111. [ONLINE http://jipam.vu.edu.au/article.php?sid=585].


[^0]:    Key words and phrases: Best constant, Mircea's inequality, Sylvester's resultant, Discriminant sequence, Nonlinear algebraic equation system.

    2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 51M16, 52A40.

