

## Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics

http://jipam.vu.edu.au/

Volume 6, Issue 1, Article 23, 2005

## ON THE ABSOLUTE CONVERGENCE OF SMALL GAPS FOURIER SERIES OF FUNCTIONS OF $\bigwedge BV^{(p)}$

R.G. VYAS

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, THE MAHARAJA SAYAJIRAO UNIVERSITY OF BARODA, VADODARA-390002, GUJARAT, INDIA. drrgvyas@yahoo.com

Received 18 October, 2004; accepted 29 November, 2004 Communicated by L. Leindler

ABSTRACT. Let f be a  $2\pi$  periodic function in  $L^1[0, 2\pi]$  and  $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(n_k)e^{in_kx}$  be its Fourier series with 'small' gaps  $n_{k+1} - n_k \ge q \ge 1$ . Here we have obtained sufficiency conditions for the absolute convergence of such series if f is of  $\bigwedge BV^{(p)}$  locally. We have also obtained a beautiful interconnection between lacunary and non-lacunary Fourier series.

Key words and phrases: Fourier series with small gaps, Absolute convergence of Fourier series and p-A-bounded variation.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 42Axx.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let f be a  $2\pi$  periodic function in  $L^1[0, 2\pi]$  and  $\hat{f}(n), n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , be its Fourier coefficients. The series

(1.1) 
$$\sum_{k\in Z} \widehat{f}(n_k) e^{in_k x},$$

wherein  $\{n_k\}_1^\infty$  is a strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers and  $n_{-k} = -n_k$ , for all k, satisfy an inequality

(1.2) 
$$(n_{k+1} - n_k) \ge q \ge 1$$
 for all  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$ 

is called the Fourier series of f with 'small' gaps.

Obviously, if  $n_k = k$ , for all k, (i.e.  $n_{k+1} - n_k = q = 1$ , for all k), then we get non-lacunary Fourier series and if  $\{n_k\}$  is such that

(1.3) 
$$(n_{k+1}-n_k) \to \infty \text{ as } k \to \infty,$$

then (1.1) is said to be the lacunary Fourier series.

ISSN (electronic): 1443-5756

<sup>© 2005</sup> Victoria University. All rights reserved.

<sup>196-04</sup> 

By applying the Wiener-Ingham result [1, Vol. I, p. 222] for the finite trigonometric sums with small gap (1.2) we have studied the sufficiency condition for the convergence of the series  $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \hat{f}(n_k) \right|^{\beta}$  (0 <  $\beta \leq 2$ ) in terms of  $\bigwedge BV$  and the modulus of continuity [2, Theorem 3]. Here we have generalized this result and we have also obtained a sufficiency condition if function f is of  $\bigwedge BV^{(p)}$ . In 1980 Shiba [4] generalized the class  $\bigwedge BV$ . He introduced the class  $\bigwedge BV^{(p)}$ .

**Definition 1.1.** Given an interval I, a sequence of non-decreasing positive real numbers  $\bigwedge = \{\lambda_m\}$  (m = 1, 2, ...) such that  $\sum_m \frac{1}{\lambda_m}$  diverges and  $1 \le p < \infty$  we say that  $f \in \bigwedge BV^{(p)}$  (that is f is a function of  $p - \bigwedge$ -bounded variation over (I)) if

$$V_{\Lambda_p}(f, I) = \sup_{\{I_m\}} \{V_{\Lambda_p}(\{I_m\}, f, I)\} < \infty,$$

where

$$V_{\Lambda_p}(\{I_m\}, f, I) = \left(\sum_m \frac{|f(b_m) - f(a_m)|^p}{\lambda_m}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

and  $\{I_m\}$  is a sequence of non-overlapping subintervals  $I_m = [a_m, b_m] \subset I = [a, b]$ .

Note that, if p = 1, one gets the class  $\bigwedge BV(I)$ ; if  $\lambda_m \equiv 1$  for all m, one gets the class  $BV^{(p)}$ ; if p = 1 and  $\lambda_m \equiv m$  for all m, one gets the class Harmonic BV(I). if p = 1 and  $\lambda_m \equiv 1$  for all m, one gets the class BV(I).

**Definition 1.2.** For  $p \ge 1$ , the *p*-integral modulus of continuity  $\omega^{(p)}(\delta, f, I)$  of f over I is defined as

$$\omega^{(p)}(\delta, f, I) = \sup_{0 \le h \le \delta} \left\| (T_h f - f)(x) \right\|_{p, I},$$

where  $T_h f(x) = f(x+h)$  for all x and  $\|(\cdot)\|_{p,I} = \|(\cdot)\chi_I\|_p$  in which  $\chi_I$  is the characteristic function of I and  $\|(\cdot)\|_p$  denotes the  $L^p$ -norm.  $p = \infty$  gives the modulus of continuity  $\omega(\delta, f, I)$ .

We prove the following theorems.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $f \in L[-\pi, \pi]$  possess a Fourier series with 'small' gaps (1.2) and I be a subinterval of length  $\delta_1 > \frac{2\pi}{q}$ . If  $f \in \bigwedge BV(I)$  and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\omega(\frac{1}{n_k}, f, I)}{k\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_k} \frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right)} \right)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} < \infty,$$

then

(1.4) 
$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \widehat{f}(n_k) \right|^{\beta} < \infty \qquad (0 < \beta \le 2).$$

Since  $\{\lambda_j\}$  is non-decreasing, one gets  $\sum_{j=1}^{n_k} \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \ge \frac{n_k}{\lambda_{n_k}}$  and hence our earlier theorem [2, Theorem 3] follows from Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.1 with  $\beta = 1$  and  $\lambda_n \equiv 1$  shows that the Fourier series of f with 'small' gaps condition (1.2) (respectively (1.3)) converges absolutely if the hypothesis of the Stechkin theorem [5, Vol. II, p. 196] is satisfied only in a subinterval of  $[0, 2\pi]$  of length  $> \frac{2\pi}{q}$  (respectively of arbitrary positive length).

**Theorem 1.2.** Let f and I be as in Theorem 1.1. If  $f \in \bigwedge BV^{(p)}(I)$ ,  $1 \le p < 2r$ ,  $1 < r < \infty$  and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\left( \omega^{((2-p)s+p)}\left(\frac{1}{n_k}, f, I\right) \right)^{2-p/r}}{k \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right) \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} < \infty,$$

where  $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$ , then (1.4) holds.

Theorem 1.2 with  $\beta = 1$  is a 'small' gaps analogue of the Schramm and Waterman result [3, Theorem 1].

We need the following lemmas to prove the theorems.

**Lemma 1.3** ([2, Lemma 4]). Let f and I be as in Theorem 1.1. If  $f \in L^2(I)$  then

(1.5) 
$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \widehat{f}(n_k) \right|^2 \le A_{\delta} \left| I \right|^{-1} \left\| f \right\|_{2,I}^2,$$

where  $A_{\delta}$  depends only on  $\delta$ .

**Lemma 1.4.** If  $|n_k| > p$  then for  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  one has

$$\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{p}} \sin^{2t} |n_k| h \, dh \ge \frac{\pi}{2^{t+1}p}.$$

Proof. Obvious.

**Lemma 1.5** (Stechkin, refer to [6]). If  $u_n \ge 0$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $u_n \ne 0$  and a function F(u) is concave, increasing, and F(0) = 0, then

$$\sum_{1}^{\infty} F(u_n) \le 2\sum_{1}^{\infty} F\left(\frac{u_n + u_{n+1} + \cdots}{n}\right)$$

**Lemma 1.6.** If  $f \in \bigwedge BV^{(p)}(I)$  implies f is bounded over I.

Proof. Observe that

$$|f(x)|^{p} \leq 2^{p} \left( |f(a)|^{p} + \lambda_{1} \frac{|f(x) - f(a)|^{p}}{\lambda_{1}} + \lambda_{2} \frac{|f(b) - f(x)|^{p}}{\lambda_{2}} \right)$$
  
$$\leq 2^{p} \left( |f(a)|^{p} + \lambda_{2} V_{\wedge_{p}}(f, I) \right)$$

Hence the lemma follows.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let  $I = \left[x_0 - \frac{\delta_1}{2}, x_0 + \frac{\delta_1}{2}\right]$  for some  $x_0$  and  $\delta_2$  be such that  $0 < \frac{2\pi}{q} < \delta_2 < \delta_1$ . Put  $\delta_3 = \delta_1 - \delta_2$  and  $J = \left[x_0 - \frac{\delta_2}{2}, x_0 + \frac{\delta_2}{2}\right]$ . Suppose integers T and j satisfy

(1.6) 
$$|n_T| > \frac{4\pi}{\delta_3} \text{ and } 0 \le j \le \frac{\delta_3 |n_T|}{4\pi}$$

Since  $f \in \bigwedge BV(I)$  implies f is bounded over I by Lemma 1.6 (for p = 1), we have  $f \in L^2(I)$ , so that (1.5) holds and  $f \in L^2[-\pi, \pi]$ . If we put  $f_j = (T_{2jh}f - T_{(2j-1)h}f)$  then  $f_j \in L^2(I)$  and the Fourier series of  $f_j$  also possesses gaps (1.2). Hence by Lemma 1.3 we get

(1.7) 
$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \hat{f}(n_k) \right|^2 \sin^2 \left( \frac{n_k h}{2} \right) = O\left( \|f_j\|_{2,J}^2 \right)$$

because

$$\hat{f}_j(n_k) = 2i\hat{f}(n_k)e^{in_k(2j-\frac{1}{2}h)}\sin\left(\frac{n_kh}{2}\right)$$

Integrating both the sides of (1.7) over  $(0, \frac{\pi}{n_T})$  with respect to h and using Lemma 1.4, we get

(1.8) 
$$\sum_{|n_k| \ge n_T}^{\infty} \left| \hat{f}(n_k) \right|^2 = O(n_T) \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{n_T}} \left( \| f_j \|_{2,J}^2 \right) dh$$

Multiplying both the sides of the equation by  $\frac{1}{\lambda_j}$  and then taking summation over j, we get

(1.9) 
$$\left(\sum_{j}\frac{1}{\lambda_{j}}\right)\left(\sum_{|n_{k}|\geq n_{T}}^{\infty}\left|\hat{f}(n_{k})\right|^{2}\right)=O(n_{T})\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{n_{T}}}\left(\left\|\sum_{j}\frac{|f_{j}|^{2}}{\lambda_{j}}\right\|_{1,J}\right)dh.$$

Now, since  $x \in J$  and  $h \in (0, \frac{\pi}{n_T})$  we have  $|f_j(x)| = O(\omega(\frac{1}{n_T}, f, I))$ , for each j of the summation; since  $x \in J$  and  $f \in \bigwedge BV(I)$  we have  $\sum_j \frac{|f_j(x)|}{\lambda_j} = O(1)$  because for each j the points x + 2jh and x + (2j - 1)h lie in I for  $h \in (0, \frac{\pi}{n_T})$  and  $x \in J \subset I$ . Therefore

$$\left(\sum_{j} \frac{\left|f_{j}(x)\right|^{2}}{\lambda_{j}}\right) = O\left(\omega\left(\frac{1}{n_{T}}, f, I\right)\right)\left(\sum_{j} \frac{\left|f_{j}(x)\right|}{\lambda_{j}}\right)$$
$$= O\left(\omega\left(\frac{1}{n_{T}}, f, I\right)\right).$$

It follows now from (1.9) that

$$R_{n_T} = \sum_{|n_k \ge n_T} \left| \hat{f}(n_k) \right|^2 = O\left(\frac{\omega\left(\frac{1}{n_T}, f, I\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^{n_T} \frac{1}{\lambda_j}}\right).$$

Finally, Lemma 1.5 with  $u_k = \left| \hat{f}(n_k) \right|^2 (k \in \mathbb{Z})$  and  $F(u) = u^{\beta/2}$  gives

$$\sum_{k|=1}^{\infty} \left| \hat{f}(n_k) \right|^{\beta} = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} F\left( \left| \hat{f}(n_k) \right|^2 \right)$$
$$\leq 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} F\left( \frac{R_{n_k}}{k} \right)$$
$$\leq 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{R_{n_k}}{k} \right)^{\beta/2}$$
$$= O(1) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\omega(\frac{1}{n_k}, f, I)}{k(\sum_{j=1}^{n_k} \frac{1}{\lambda_j})} \right)^{(\beta/2)} \right).$$

This proves the theorem.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Since  $f \in \bigwedge BV^{(p)}(I)$ , Lemma 1.6 implies f is bounded over I. Therefore  $f \in L^2(I)$ , and hence (1.5) holds so that  $f \in L^2[-\pi, \pi]$ . Using the notations and procedure of Theorem 1.1 we get (1.9). Since  $2 = \frac{(2-p)s+p}{s} + \frac{p}{r}$ , by using Hölder's inequality, we get from

5

(1.9)

$$\int_{J} |f_{j}(x)|^{2} dx \leq \left( \int_{J} |f_{j}(x)|^{(2-p)s+p} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \left( \int_{J} |f_{j}(x)|^{p} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ \leq \Omega_{h,J}^{1/r} \left( \int_{J} |f_{j}(x)|^{p} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}},$$

where  $\Omega_{h,J} = (\omega^{(2-p)s+p}(h, f, J))^{2r-p}$ .

This together with (1.9) implies, putting

$$B = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \widehat{f}(n_k) \right|^2 \sin^2 \left( \frac{n_k h}{2} \right),$$

that

$$B \le \Omega_{h,J}^{1/r} \left( \int_J \left| f_j(x) \right|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

Thus

$$B^r \leq \Omega_{h,J}\left(\int_J |f_j(x)|^p dx\right).$$

Now multiplying both the sides of the equation by  $\frac{1}{\lambda_j}$  and then taking the summation over j = 1 to  $n_T$   $(T \in \mathbb{N})$  we get

$$B^{r} \leq \frac{\Omega_{h,J}\left(\int_{J}\left(\sum_{j}\frac{|f_{j}(x)|^{p}}{\lambda_{j}}\right)dx\right)}{\sum_{j}\frac{1}{\lambda_{j}}}.$$

Therefore

$$B \le \left(\frac{\Omega_{h,J}}{\sum_j \frac{1}{\lambda_j}}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \left(\int_J \left(\sum_j \frac{|f_j(x)|^p}{\lambda_j}\right) dx\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

Substituting back the value of B and then integrating both the sides of the equation with respect to h over  $(0, \frac{\pi}{n_T})$ , we get

(1.10) 
$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \left|\widehat{f}(n_k)\right|^2 \int_0^{\pi/n_T} \left(\sin^2\left(\frac{|n_k|h}{2}\right)\right) dh$$
$$= O\left(\frac{\Omega_{1/n_T,J}}{\left(\sum_j \frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \int_0^{\pi/n_T} \left(\int_J \left(\sum_j \frac{|f_j(x)|^p}{\lambda_j}\right) dx\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} dh.$$

Observe that for x in J, h in  $(0, \frac{\pi}{n_T})$  and for each j of the summation the points x + 2jh and x + (2j - 1)h lie in I; moreover,  $f \in \bigwedge BV^{(p)}(I)$  implies

$$\sum_{j} \frac{|f_j(x)|^p}{\lambda_j} = O(1).$$

Therefore, it follows from (1.10) and Lemma 1.4 that

$$R_{n_T} \equiv \sum_{|n_k| \ge n_T} \left| \widehat{f}(n_k) \right|^2 = O\left( \frac{\Omega_{1/n_T,I}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n_T} \frac{1}{\lambda_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

Thus

$$R_{n_T} = O\left(\frac{\omega^{(2-p)s+p}\left(\frac{1}{n_T}, f, I\right)^{2-p/r}}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_T} \frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}}\right).$$

Now proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, the theorem is proved using Lemma 1.5.  $\Box$ 

## **References**

- [1] A. ZYMUND, Trigonometric Series, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1979 (reprint).
- [2] J.R. PATADIA AND R.G. VYAS, Fourier series with small gaps and functions of generalized variations, *J. Math. Anal. and Appl.*, **182**(1) (1994), 113–126.
- [3] M. SCHRAM AND D. WATERMAN, Absolute convergence of Fourier series of functions of  $\bigwedge BV^{(p)}$  and  $\Phi \bigwedge BV$ , Acta. Math. Hungar, 40 (1982), 273–276.
- [4] M. SHIBA, On the absolute convergence of Fourier series of functions of class  $\bigwedge BV^{(p)}$ , Sci. Rep. Fukushima Univ., **30** (1980), 7–10.
- [5] N.K. BARRY, A Treatise on Trigonometric Series, Pergamon, New York, 1964.
- [6] N.V. PATEL AND V.M. SHAH, A note on the absolute convergence of lacunary Fourier series, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **93** (1985), 433–439.