

SUBORDINATION AND SUPERORDINATION RESULTS FOR $\Phi\mbox{-Like}$ Functions

T.N. SHANMUGAM, S. SIVASUBRAMANIAN, AND MASLINA DARUS

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, SALALAH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING SALALAH, SULTANATE OF OMAN drtns2001@yahoo.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, EASWARI ENGINEERING COLLEGE RAMAPURAM, CHENNAI-600 089 INDIA sivasaisastha@rediffmail.com

School Of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty Of Sciences and Technology, UKM, Malaysia

maslina@pkrisc.cc.ukm.my

Received 24 June, 2006; accepted 29 December, 2006 Communicated by N.E. Cho

ABSTRACT. Let q_1 be convex univalent and q_2 be univalent in $\Delta := \{z : |z| < 1\}$ with $q_1(0) = q_2(0) = 1$. Let f be a normalized analytic function in the open unit disk Δ . Let Φ be an analytic function in a domain containing $f(\Delta)$, $\Phi(0) = 0$ and $\Phi'(0) = 1$. We give some applications of first order differential subordination and superordination to obtain sufficient conditions for the function f to satisfy

$$q_1(z) \prec \frac{z(f*g)'(z)}{\Phi(f*g)(z)} \prec q_2(z)$$

where g is a fixed function.

Key words and phrases: Differential subordination, Differential superordination, Convolution, Subordinant.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 30C45.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATIONS

Let \mathcal{A} be the class of all normalized analytic functions f(z) in the open unit disk $\Delta := \{z : |z| < 1\}$ satisfying f(0) = 0 and f'(0) = 1. Let \mathcal{H} be the class of functions analytic in Δ and for any $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{H}[a, n]$ be the subclass of \mathcal{H} consisting of functions of the form

We would like to thank the referee for his insightful suggestions.

¹⁷⁵⁻⁰⁶

 $f(z) = a + a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \cdots$. Let $p, h \in \mathcal{H}$ and let $\phi(r, s, t; z) : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \Delta \to \mathbb{C}$. If p and $\phi(p(z), zp'^2 p''(z); z)$ are univalent and if p satisfies the second order superordination

(1.1)
$$h(z) \prec \phi(p(z), zp'^2 p''(z); z),$$

then p is a solution of the differential superordination (1.1). If f is subordinate to F, then F is called a superordinate of f. An analytic function q is called a subordinant if $q \prec p$ for all p satisfying (1.1). A univalent subordinant \bar{q} that satisfies $q \prec \bar{q}$ for all subordinants q of (1.1) is said to be the best subordinant. Recently Miller and Mocanu [5] obtained conditions on h, q and ϕ for which the following implication holds:

(1.2)
$$h(z) \prec \phi(p(z), zp'^2 p''(z); z) \Rightarrow q(z) \prec p(z).$$

Using the results of Miller and Mocanu [4], Bulboacă [2] considered certain classes of first order differential superordinations as well as superordination-preserving integral operators [1]. In an earlier investigation, Shanmugam et al. [8] obtained sufficient conditions for a normalized analytic function f(z) to satisfy $q_1(z) \prec \frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} \prec q_2(z)$ and $q_1(z) \prec \frac{z^2 f'(z)}{\{f(z)\}^2} \prec q_2(z)$ where q_1 and q_2 are given univalent functions in Δ with $q_1(0) = 1$ and $q_2(0) = 1$. A systematic study of the subordination and superordination has been studied very recently by Shanmugam *et al.* in [9] and [10] (see also the references cited by them).

Let Φ be an analytic function in a domain containing $f(\Delta)$ with $\Phi(0) = 0$ and $\Phi'(0) = 1$. For any two analytic functions $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$, the Hadamard product or convolution of f(z) and g(z), written as (f * g)(z) is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n$$

The function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is called Φ -like if

(1.3)
$$\Re\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{\Phi(f(z))}\right) > 0 \quad (z \in \Delta)$$

The concept of Φ - like functions was introduced by Brickman [3] and he established that a function $f \in A$ is univalent if and only if f is Φ -like for some Φ . For $\Phi(w) = w$, the function f is starlike. In a later investigation, Ruscheweyh [7] introduced and studied the following more general class of Φ -like functions.

Definition 1.1. Let Φ be analytic in a domain containing $f(\Delta)$, $\Phi(0) = 0$, $\Phi'(0) = 1$ and $\Phi(w) \neq 0$ for $w \in f(\Delta) \setminus \{0\}$. Let q(z) be a fixed analytic function in Δ , q(0) = 1. The function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is called Φ -like with respect to q if

(1.4)
$$\frac{zf'(z)}{\Phi(f(z))} \prec q(z) \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

When $\Phi(w) = w$, we denote the class of all Φ -like functions with respect to q by $S^*(q)$. Using the definition of Φ -like functions, we introduce the following class of functions.

Definition 1.2. Let g be a fixed function in \mathcal{A} . Let Φ be analytic in a domain containing $f(\Delta)$, $\Phi(0) = 0$, $\Phi'(0) = 1$ and $\Phi(w) \neq 0$ for $w \in f(\Delta) \setminus \{0\}$. Let q(z) be a fixed analytic function in Δ , q(0) = 1. The function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is called Φ -like with respect to $S_q^*(q)$ if

(1.5)
$$\frac{z(f*g)'(z)}{\Phi(f*g)(z)} \prec q(z) \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

We note that $S^*_{\frac{z}{1-z}}(q) := S^*(q).$

In the present investigation, we obtain sufficient conditions for a normalized analytic function f to satisfy

$$q_1(z) \prec \frac{z(f*g)'(z)}{\Phi(f*g)(z)} \prec q_2(z)$$

We shall need the following definition and results to prove our main results. In this sequel, unless otherwise stated, α and γ are complex numbers.

Definition 1.3 ([4, Definition 2, p. 817]). Let Q be the set of all functions f that are analytic and injective on $\overline{\Delta} - E(f)$, where

$$E(f) = \left\{ \zeta \in \partial \Delta : \lim_{z \to \zeta} f(z) = \infty \right\},\$$

and are such that $f'(\zeta) \neq 0$ for $\zeta \in \partial \Delta - E(f)$.

Lemma 1.1 ([4, Theorem 3.4h, p. 132]). Let q be univalent in the open unit disk Δ and θ and ϕ be analytic in a domain D containing $q(\Delta)$ with $\phi(\omega) \neq 0$ when $\omega \in q(\Delta)$. Set $\xi(z) = zq'(z)\phi(q(z)), h(z) = \theta(q(z)) + \xi(z)$. Suppose that

- (1) $\xi(z)$ is starlike univalent in Δ , and (2) $\Re\left\{\frac{zh'(z)}{\xi(z)}\right\} > 0 \ (z \in \Delta).$

If p is analytic in Δ with $p(\Delta) \subseteq D$ and

(1.6)
$$\theta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\phi(p(z)) \prec \theta(q(z)) + zq'(z)\phi(q(z)),$$

then $p(z) \prec q(z)$ and q is the best dominant.

Lemma 1.2. [2, Corollary 3.1, p. 288] Let q be univalent in Δ , ϑ and φ be analytic in a domain D containing $q(\Delta)$. Suppose that

(1) $\Re \left[\frac{\vartheta'(q(z))}{\varphi(q(z))} \right] > 0$ for $z \in \Delta$, and (2) $\xi(z) = zq'(z)\varphi(q(z))$ is starlike univalent function in Δ . If $p \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q$, with $p(\Delta) \subset D$, and $\vartheta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\varphi(p(z))$ is univalent in Δ , and $\vartheta(q(z)) + zq'(z)\varphi(q(z)) \prec \vartheta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\varphi(p(z)),$ (1.7)

then $q(z) \prec p(z)$ and q is the best subordinant.

2. MAIN RESULTS

By making use of Lemma 1.1, we prove the following result.

Theorem 2.1. Let $q(z) \neq 0$ be analytic and univalent in Δ with q(0) = 1 such that $\frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}$ is starlike univalent in Δ . Let q(z) satisfy

(2.1)
$$\Re \left[1 + \frac{\alpha q(z)}{\gamma} - \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} \right] > 0.$$

Let

(2.2)
$$\Psi(\alpha, \gamma, g; z) := \alpha \left\{ \frac{z(f * g)'(z)}{\Phi(f * g)(z)} \right\} + \gamma \left\{ 1 + \frac{z(f * g)''(z)}{(f * g)'(z)} - \frac{z\left(\Phi(f * g)(z)\right)'}{\Phi(f * g)(z)} \right\}$$

If q satisfies

(2.3)
$$\Psi(\alpha, \gamma, g; z) \prec \alpha q(z) + \frac{\gamma z q'(z)}{q(z)},$$

then

$$\frac{z(f*g)'(z)}{\Phi(f*g)(z)} \prec q(z)$$

and q is the best dominant.

Proof. Let the function p(z) be defined by

(2.4)
$$p(z) := \frac{z(f * g)'(z)}{\Phi(f * g)(z)}.$$

Then the function p(z) is analytic in Δ with p(0) = 1. By a straightforward computation

$$\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} = \left\{ 1 + \frac{z(f*g)''(z)}{(f*g)'(z)} - \frac{z[\Phi(f*g)(z)]'}{\Phi(f*g)(z)} \right\}$$

which, in light of hypothesis (2.3) of Theorem 2.1, yields the following subordination

(2.5)
$$\alpha p(z) + \frac{\gamma z p'(z)}{p(z)} \prec \alpha q(z) + \frac{\gamma z q'(z)}{q(z)}$$

By setting

$$\theta(\omega) := \alpha \omega \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(\omega) := \frac{\gamma}{\omega},$$

it can be easily observed that $\theta(\omega)$ and $\phi(\omega)$ are analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and that

 $\phi(\omega) \neq 0 \quad (\omega \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}) \,.$

Also, by letting

(2.6)
$$\xi(z) = zq'(z)\phi(q(z)) = \frac{\gamma}{q(z)}zq'(z).$$

and

(2.7)
$$h(z) = \theta\{q(z)\} + \xi(z) = \alpha q(z) + \frac{\gamma}{q(z)} z q'(z),$$

we find that $\xi(z)$ is starlike univalent in Δ and that

$$\Re\left[1 + \frac{\alpha q(z)}{\gamma} - \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)}\right] > 0$$

by the hypothesis (2.1). The assertion of Theorem 2.1 now follows by an application of Lemma 1.1. $\hfill \Box$

When $\Phi(\omega) = \omega$ in Theorem 2.1 we get:

Corollary 2.2. Let $q(z) \neq 0$ be univalent in Δ with q(0) = 1. If q satisfies

$$(\alpha - \gamma)\frac{z(f * g)'(z)}{(f * g)(z)} + \gamma \left\{ 1 + \frac{z(f * g)''(z)}{(f * g)'(z)} \right\} \prec \alpha q(z) + \frac{\gamma z q'(z)}{q(z)},$$

then

$$\frac{z(f*g)'(z)}{(f*g)(z)} \prec q(z)$$

and q is the best dominant.

For $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$ and $\Phi(\omega) = \omega$, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3. Let $q(z) \neq 0$ be univalent in Δ with q(0) = 1. If q satisfies

then

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec q(z)$$

and q is the best dominant.

For the choice $\alpha = \gamma = 1$ and $q(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ $(-1 \le B < A \le 1)$ in Corollary 2.3, we have the following result of Ravichandran and Jayamala [6].

Corollary 2.4. *If* $f \in A$ *and*

$$1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} + \frac{(A - B)z}{(1 + Az)(1 + Bz)},$$

then

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$$

and $\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ is the best dominant.

Theorem 2.5. Let $\gamma \neq 0$. Let $q(z) \neq 0$ be convex univalent in Δ with q(0) = 1 such that $\frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}$ is starlike univalent in Δ . Suppose that q(z) satisfies

(2.8)
$$\Re\left[\frac{\alpha q(z)}{\gamma}\right] > 0$$

If $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $\frac{z(f*g)'(z)}{\Phi(f*g)(z)} \in \mathcal{H}[1,1] \cap Q$, $\Psi(\alpha,\gamma,g;z)$ as defined by (2.2) is univalent in Δ and

(2.9)
$$\alpha q(z) + \frac{\gamma z q'(z)}{q(z)} \prec \Psi(\alpha, \gamma, g; z),$$

then

$$q(z) \prec \frac{z(f * g)'(z)}{\Phi(f * g)(z)}$$

and q is the best subordinant.

Proof. By setting

$$\vartheta(w) := \alpha \omega \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(w) := \frac{\gamma}{\omega},$$

it is easily observed that $\vartheta(w)$ is analytic in \mathbb{C} , $\varphi(w)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and that

$$\varphi(w) \neq 0, \quad (w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}).$$

The assertion of Theorem 2.5 follows by an application of Lemma 1.2.

For $\Phi(\omega) = \omega$ in Theorem 2.5, we get

Corollary 2.6. Let $q(z) \neq 0$ be convex univalent in Δ with q(0) = 1. If $f \in A$ and

$$\alpha q(z) + \frac{\gamma z q'(z)}{q(z)} \prec (\alpha - \gamma) \left\{ \frac{z(f * g)'(z)}{(f * g)(z)} \right\} + \gamma \left\{ 1 + \frac{z(f * g)''(z)}{(f * g)'(z)} \right\} ,$$

then

$$q(z) \prec \frac{z(f * g)'(z)}{(f * g)(z)}$$

and q is the best subordinant.

Combining Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.5 we get the following sandwich theorem.

Theorem 2.7. Let q_1 be convex univalent and q_2 be univalent in Δ satisfying (2.8) and (2.1) respectively such that $q_1(0) = 1$, $q_2(0) = 1$, $\frac{zq'_1(z)}{q_1(z)}$ and $\frac{zq'_2(z)}{q_2(z)}$ are starlike univalent in Δ with $q_1(z) \neq 0$ and $q_2(z) \neq 0$.

Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $\frac{z(f*g)'(z)}{\Phi(f*g)(z)} \in \mathcal{H}[1,1] \cap Q$, and $\Psi(\alpha, \gamma, g; z)$ as defined by (2.2) be univalent in Δ . Further, if

$$\alpha q_1(z) + \frac{\gamma z q_1'(z)}{q_1(z)} \prec \Psi(\alpha, \gamma, g; z) \prec \alpha q_2(z) + \frac{\gamma z q_2'(z)}{q_2(z)},$$

then

$$q_1(z) \prec \frac{z(f*g)'(z)}{\Phi(f*g)(z)} \prec q_2(z)$$

and q_1 and q_2 are respectively the best subordinant and best dominant.

REFERENCES

- T. BULBOACĂ, A class of superordination-preserving integral operators, *Indag. Math.* (N.S.), 13(3) (2002), 301–311.
- [2] T. BULBOACĂ, Classes of first-order differential superordinations, *Demonstr. Math.*, **35**(2) (2002), 287–292.
- [3] L. BRICKMAN, Φ-like analytic functions. I, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 79 (1973), 555–558.
- [4] S.S. MILLER AND P.T. MOCANU, Differential Subordinations, Dekker, New York, 2000.
- [5] S.S. MILLER AND P.T. MOCANU, Subordinants of differential superordinations, *Complex Var. Theory Appl.*, **48**(10) (2003), 815–826.
- [6] V. RAVICHANDRAN AND M. JAYAMALA, On sufficient conditions for Caratheodory functions, Far East J. Math. Sci., 12(2) (2004), 191–201.
- [7] St. RUSCHEWEYH, A subordination theorem for *F*-like functions, *J. London Math. Soc.*, 13(2) (1976), 275–280.
- [8] T.N. SHANMUGAM, V. RAVICHANDRAN AND S. SIVASUBRAMANIAN, Differential sandwich theorems for some subclasses of analytic Functions, *Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 3(1) (2006), Art. 8, 11 pp. (electronic).
- [9] T.N. SHANMUGAM, S. SIVASUBRAMANIAN AND H.M. SRIVASTAVA, Differential sandwich theorems for certain subclasses of analytic functions involving multiplier transformations, *Int. Transforms Spec. Functions*, 17(12) (2006), 889–899.
- [10] T.N. SHANMUGAM, S. SIVASUBRAMANIAN AND M. DARUS, On certain subclasses of functions involving a linear Operator, *Far East J. Math. Sci.*, 23(3), (2006), 329–339.
- [11] T.N. SHANMUGAM, S. SIVASUBRAMANIAN AND S. OWA, On sandwich theorems for some subclasses of analytic functions involving a linear operator, to appear in *Integral Transforms and Special functions*.