

Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics

EXPLICIT ESTIMATES ON INTEGRAL INEQUALITIES WITH TIME SCALE

DEEPAK B. PACHPATTE

Department of Mathematics
S.B.E.S Science College, Aurangabad
Maharashtra 431001, India.

EMail: pachpatte@gmail.com

©2000 Victoria University
ISSN (electronic): 1443-5756
156-06



volume 7, issue 4, article 143,
2006.

*Received 6 May, 2006;
accepted 30 May, 2006.*

Communicated by: I. Gavrea

[Abstract](#)

[Contents](#)



[Home Page](#)

[Go Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)

Abstract

The main objective of this paper is to obtain explicit estimates on some integral inequalities on time scale. The obtained inequalities can be used as tools in the study of certain classes of dynamic equations on time scale.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 26D15.

Key words: Explicit estimates, Time scale, Gronwall inequality, Bihari's Inequality.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Preliminaries	4
3	Statement of Results	6
4	Proofs of Theorems 3.1 – 3.3	10
5	Proofs of Theorems 3.4 and 3.5	13
6	Applications	16
	References	



Explicit Estimates on Integral Inequalities with Time Scale

Deepak B. Pachpatte

Title Page

Contents



Go Back


Close

Quit

Page 2 of 20

1. Introduction

In 1988 Stefan Hilger [4] first introduced in the literature calculus on time scales, which unifies continuous and discrete analysis. Motivated by the above paper [4], many authors have extended some fundamental inequalities used in analysis on time scales, see [1] – [3], [5], [9], [10]. In [3], [4], [9], [10] the authors have extended some fundamental integral inequalities used in the theory of differential and integral equations on time scales. The main purpose of this paper is to obtain time scale versions of some more fundamental integral inequalities used in the theory of differential and integral equations. The obtained inequalities can be used as tools in the study of certain properties of dynamic equations on time scales. Some applications are also given to illustrate the usefulness of some of our results.



**Explicit Estimates on Integral
Inequalities with Time Scale**

Deepak B. Pachpatte

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 3 of 20

2. Preliminaries

Let \mathbb{T} be a time scale and σ and ρ be two jump operators as $\sigma, \rho : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\sigma(t) = \inf\{s \in \mathbb{T} | s > t\} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(t) = \sup\{s \in \mathbb{T} | s < t\}.$$

A function $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be rd-continuous if it is continuous at each right dense point and if the left sided limit exists at every left dense point. The set of all rd-continuous functions is denoted by $C_{rd}[\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}]$. Let

$$\mathbb{T}^k := \begin{cases} \mathbb{T} - m & \text{if } \mathbb{T} \text{ has left scattered point in } M \\ \mathbb{T} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$


Let $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$ then we define $f^\Delta(t)$ as: for $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a neighbourhood \mathbb{N} of t with

$$|f(\sigma(t)) - f(s) - f^\Delta(t)(\sigma(t) - s)| \leq \epsilon |\sigma(t) - s|$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and f is called delta-differentiable on \mathbb{T} . A function $F : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called an antiderivative of $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ provided $F^\Delta = f(t)$ holds for all $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$. In this case we define the integral of f by

$$\int_s^t f(\tau) \Delta\tau = F(t) - F(s) \quad \text{where } s, t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

We need the following two lemmas proved in [3].



Explicit Estimates on Integral Inequalities with Time Scale

Deepak B. Pachpatte

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 4 of 20

Lemma 2.1. Let $u, g \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ and $f \in \mathbb{R}^+$. If

$$(2.1) \quad u^\Delta(t) \leq f(t)u(t) + g(t)$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$, then

$$(2.2) \quad u(t) \leq u(a)e_f(t, a) + \int_a^t e_f(t, \sigma(s))g(s) \Delta s,$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$, where $e_f(t, a)$ is a solution of the initial value problem (IVP)

$$(2.3) \quad u^\Delta(t) = f(t)u(t), \quad u(a) = 1$$


Lemma 2.2. Let $u, f, g, p \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ and assume $g, p \geq 0$ and f is nondecreasing on \mathbb{T}

$$(2.4) \quad u(t) \leq f(t) + p(t) \int_a^t g(\tau)u(\tau) \Delta \tau,$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$ then

$$(2.5) \quad u(t) \leq f(t) \left[1 + p(t) \int_a^t g(\tau) e_{gp}(t, \sigma(\tau)) \Delta \tau \right]$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$ where $e_{gp}(t, \cdot)$ is a solution of IVP (2.3) when f is replaced by gp .



Explicit Estimates on Integral Inequalities with Time Scale

Deepak B. Pachpatte

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 5 of 20

3. Statement of Results

Our main results are given in the following theorems.

Theorem 3.1. Let $u, n, f \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}^+)$ and n be a nondecreasing function on \mathbb{T} . If

$$(3.1) \quad u(t) \leq n(t) + \int_a^t f(s)u(s) \Delta s$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$, then

$$(3.2) \quad u(t) \leq n(t)e_f(t, a)$$


for all $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$, where $e_f(t, a)$ is the solution of the initial value problem (2.2).

Remark 1. We note that Theorem 3.1 is a further extension of the inequality first given by Bellman see [6, p. 12]. In the special case if $n(t)$ is a constant say u_0 , then the bound obtained in (3.2) reduces to the bound obtained in Corollary 2.10 given by Bohner, Bohner and Akin in [3].

We next establish the following generalization of the inequality given in Corollary 2.10 of [3] which may be useful in certain new applications.

Theorem 3.2. Let $u, f, p, q \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}^+)$ and $c \geq 0$ be a constant. If

$$(3.3) \quad u(t) \leq c + \int_a^t f(s)[p(s)u(s) + q(s)] \Delta s,$$



Explicit Estimates on Integral
Inequalities with Time Scale

Deepak B. Pachpatte

Title Page

Contents




Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 6 of 20



Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 7 of 20

for all $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$, then

$$(3.4) \quad u(t) \leq \left[c + \int_a^t f(s)q(s) \Delta s \right] e_{pf}(t, a),$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$, where $e_{pf}(t, a)$ is the solution of IVP (2.3) when $f(t)$ is replaced by pf .

Remark 2. By taking $q = 0$ in Theorem 3.2, it is easy to observe that the bound obtained in (3.4) reduces to the bound obtained in Corollary 2.10 given in [3].

The next theorem deals with the time scale version of the inequality due to Sansone and Conti, see [6, p. 86].

Theorem 3.3. Let $u, f, p \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}^+)$ and f be delta-differentiable on \mathbb{T} and $f^\Delta(t) \geq 0$. If

$$(3.5) \quad u(t) \leq f(t) + \int_a^t p(s)u(s) \Delta s$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$, then

$$(3.6) \quad u(t) \leq f(a)e_p(t, a) + \int_a^t f^\Delta(s)e_p(t, \sigma(s)) \Delta s$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$, where $e_p(t, a)$ is a solution of the IVP (2.3) when f is replaced by p .

The following theorem combines both Gronwall and Bihari's inequalities and can be used in more general situations.

Theorem 3.4. Let $u, g, f, h \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}^+)$, $u_0 \geq 0$ is a constant. Let $W(u)$ be a continuous, non-decreasing and submultiplicative function defined on \mathbb{R}^+ and $W(u) > 0$ for $u > 0$. If

$$(3.7) \quad u(t) \leq u_0 + g(t) \int_a^t f(s) u(s) \Delta s + \int_a^t h(s) W(u(s)) \Delta s,$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$, then

$$(3.8) \quad u(t) \leq a(t) G^{-1} \left[G(u_0) + \int_a^t h(s) W(a(s)) \Delta s \right],$$

for $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$, where


$$(3.9) \quad a(t) = 1 + g(t) \int_a^t f(s) e_{fg}(t, \sigma(s)) \Delta s,$$

for $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$ and G is a solution of

$$(3.10) \quad G^\Delta(u(t)) = \frac{u^\Delta(t)}{W(u(t))},$$

G^{-1} is the inverse function of G and $G(u_0) + \int_a^t h(s) W(a(s)) \Delta s$ is in the domain of G^{-1} for $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$.

The following theorem deals with a time scale version of the inequality recently established by Pachpatte in [8].



Explicit Estimates on Integral Inequalities with Time Scale

Deepak B. Pachpatte

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 8 of 20

Theorem 3.5. Let $u, f \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}^+)$ and $h(t, s) : \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ for $0 \leq s \leq t < \infty$ and $c \geq 0, p > 1$ are real constants. Let $g(u)$ be a continuous nondecreasing function of \mathbb{R}^+ and $g(u) > 0$ for $u > 0$. If

$$(3.11) \quad u^p(t) \leq c + \int_a^t \left[f(s) g(u(s)) + \int_a^s h(s, \tau) g(u(\tau)) \Delta\tau \right] \Delta s,$$

for $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$, then

$$(3.12) \quad u(t) \leq [G^{-1} [G(c) + A(t)]]^{\frac{1}{p}},$$


where

$$(3.13) \quad A(t) = \int_a^t \left[f(s) + \int_a^s h(s, \tau) \Delta\tau \right] \Delta s,$$

for $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$, G is a solution of

$$(3.14) \quad G^\Delta(u(t)) = \frac{u^\Delta(t)}{g(u(t))^{\frac{1}{p}}},$$

and G^{-1} is the inverse function on G with $G(c) + A(t)$ in the domain of G^{-1} for $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$.



Explicit Estimates on Integral Inequalities with Time Scale

Deepak B. Pachpatte

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 9 of 20

4. Proofs of Theorems 3.1 – 3.3

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be a small constant. From (3.1) we observe that

$$(4.1) \quad u(t) \leq (n(t) + \epsilon) + \int_a^t f(s) u(s) \Delta s.$$

Define a function $z(t)$ by

$$z(t) = \frac{u(t)}{n(t) + \epsilon}.$$

From (4.1) we have


$$\begin{aligned} z(t) &\leq 1 + \int_a^t \left(f(s) \frac{u(s)}{n(s) + \epsilon} \right) \Delta s \\ &\leq 1 + \int_a^t f(s) \frac{1}{n(s) + \epsilon} u(s) \Delta s \end{aligned}$$

i.e

$$(4.2) \quad z(t) \leq 1 + \int_a^t f(s) z(s) \Delta s.$$

Define $m(t) = 1 + \int_a^t f(s) z(s) \Delta s$, then $m(a) = 1$, $z(t) \leq m(t)$ and

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} m^\Delta(t) &= f(t) z(t) \\ &\leq f(t) m(t). \end{aligned}$$



Explicit Estimates on Integral
Inequalities with Time Scale

Deepak B. Pachpatte

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 10 of 20

Now a suitable application of Lemma 2.1 to (4.3) yields

$$(4.4) \quad m(t) \leq e_f(t, a).$$

Using the fact that $z(t) \leq m(t)$ we get

$$\frac{u(t)}{n(t) + \epsilon} \leq e_f(t, a),$$

$$(4.5) \quad \text{i.e.} \quad u(t) \leq (n(t) + \epsilon) e_f(t, a).$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (4.5), we get the required inequality in (3.2).

In order to prove Theorem 3.2, we rewrite (3.3) as

$$(4.6) \quad u(t) \leq \left[c + \int_a^t f(s)q(s) \Delta s \right] + \int_a^t f(s)p(s)u(s) \Delta s.$$


Define $n(t) = c + \int_a^t f(s)q(s) \Delta s$, then (4.6) can be restated as

$$(4.7) \quad u(t) \leq n(t) + \int_a^t f(s)p(s)u(s) \Delta s.$$

Clearly $n \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}^+)$, $n(t)$ is nonnegative and nondecreasing. Now an application of Theorem 3.1 yields the required inequality in (3.4). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2.

In order to prove Theorem 3.3, define a function $z(t)$ by

$$(4.8) \quad z(t) = f(t) + \int_a^t p(s)u(s) \Delta s,$$



Explicit Estimates on Integral
Inequalities with Time Scale

Deepak B. Pachpatte

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 11 of 20

then $z(a) = f(a)$, $u(t) \leq z(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$ and


$$(4.9) \quad z^\Delta(t) = f^\Delta(t) + p(t)u(t)$$

$$(4.10) \quad \leq f^\Delta(t) + p(t)z(t).$$

Now a suitable application of Lemma 2.1 to (4.8) yields

$$(4.11) \quad z(t) \leq z(a)e_p(t, a) + \int_a^t e_p(t, \sigma(s))f^\Delta(s) \Delta s$$

for $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$. Using (4.11) in $u(t) \leq z(t)$ we get the desired inequality in (3.6).



**Explicit Estimates on Integral
Inequalities with Time Scale**

Deepak B. Pachpatte

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 12 of 20

5. Proofs of Theorems 3.4 and 3.5

To prove Theorem 3.4, we define

$$(5.1) \quad n(t) = u_0 + \int_a^t h(s)W(u(s)) \Delta s.$$

Then (3.7) can be restated as

$$(5.2) \quad u(t) \leq n(t) + g(t) \int_a^t f(s)u(s) \Delta s.$$

Clearly $n(t)$ is a nondecreasing function on \mathbb{T} . Applying Lemma 2.2 to (5.2) we have


$$(5.3) \quad u(t) \leq a(t)n(t),$$

for $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$, where $a(t)$ is given by (3.9). From (5.1), (5.3) and using the assumptions on W , we have

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} n^\Delta(t) &= h(t)W(u(t)) \\ &\leq h(t)W(a(t)n(t)) \\ &\leq h(t)W(a(t)W(n(t))). \end{aligned}$$

From (3.10) and (5.4) we have

$$(5.5) \quad G^\Delta(n(t)) = \frac{n^\Delta(t)}{W(n(t))} \leq h(t)W(a(t)).$$



Explicit Estimates on Integral
Inequalities with Time Scale

Deepak B. Pachpatte

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 13 of 20

Integrating (5.5) from a to $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$ we obtain

$$(5.6) \quad G(n(t)) - G(u_0) \leq \int_a^t h(\tau)W(a(\tau)) \Delta\tau,$$

from (5.6) we observe that

$$(5.7) \quad n(t) \leq G^{-1} \left[G(u_0) + \int_a^t h(\tau)W(a(\tau)) \Delta\tau \right].$$

Using (5.7) in (5.3) we get the desired inequality in (3.8).

In order to prove Theorem 3.5, we first assume that $c > 0$ and define a function $z(t)$ by the right side of (3.11). Then $z(t) > 0$, $z(a) = c$, $u(t) \leq (z(t))^{\frac{1}{p}}$ and

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} z^\Delta(t) &= f(t)g(u(t)) + \int_a^t h(t,\tau)g(u(\tau)) \Delta\tau \\ &\leq f(t)g\left((z(t))^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) + \int_a^t h(t,\tau)g\left((z(t))^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \Delta\tau \\ &\leq g\left((z(t))^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \left[f(t) + \int_a^t h(t,\tau) \Delta\tau \right]. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.14) and (5.8) we have

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{aligned} G^\Delta(z(t)) &= \frac{z^\Delta(t)}{g\left((z(t))^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)} \\ &\leq \left[f(t) + \int_a^t h(t,\tau) \Delta\tau \right]. \end{aligned}$$



Explicit Estimates on Integral Inequalities with Time Scale

Deepak B. Pachpatte

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 14 of 20


Integrating (5.9) from a to $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$ we have

$$(5.10) \quad G(z(t)) \leq G(c) + A(t).$$

From (5.10) we get

$$(5.11) \quad z(t) \leq G^{-1}[G(c) + A(t)].$$

Using (5.11) in $u(t) \leq \left((z(t))^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)$ we have the desired inequality in (3.12). If c is nonnegative we carry out the above procedure with $c + \epsilon$ instead of c , where $\epsilon > 0$ is an arbitrary small constant and by letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we obtain (3.12).



**Explicit Estimates on Integral
Inequalities with Time Scale**

Deepak B. Pachpatte

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 15 of 20

6. Applications

In this section we present some applications of Theorems 3.3 and 3.5 to obtain the explicit estimates on the solutions of certain dynamic equations.

First we consider the following initial value problem

$$(6.1) \quad x^{\Delta\Delta}(t) = f(t, x(t)), \quad x(a) = A, \quad x^{\Delta}(a) = B,$$

where $f \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and A, B are given constants.

The following result gives the bound on the solution of IVP (6.1).

Theorem 6.1. *Suppose that the function f satisfies*

$$(6.2) \quad |(t-s)f(s, x(s))| \leq p(s)|x(s)|,$$

where $p \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}^k, \mathbb{R}^+)$, and assume that

$$(6.3) \quad |A + B(t-a)| \leq m(t),$$


$m \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}^+)$, m is delta differentiable on \mathbb{T}^k and $m^{\Delta}(t) \geq 0$. Then

$$(6.4) \quad |x(t)| \leq m(a)e_p(t, a) + \int_a^t m^{\Delta}(s)e_p(t, \sigma(s))\Delta s,$$

for $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$, where $e_p(t, a)$ is as in Theorem 3.3.

Proof. Let $x(t)$ be a solution of the IVP (6.1). Then it is easy to see that $x(t)$ satisfies the equivalent integral equation

$$(6.5) \quad x(t) = A + B(t-a) + \int_a^t (t-s)f(s, x(s))\Delta s.$$



Explicit Estimates on Integral
Inequalities with Time Scale

Deepak B. Pachpatte

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 16 of 20

From (6.5) and using (6.2), (6.3), we have

$$(6.6) \quad |x(t)| \leq |A + B(t-a)| + \int_a^t |(t-s)f(s, a(s))| \Delta s \\ \leq m(t) + \int_a^t g(t)p(s)|x(s)| \Delta s.$$

Now applying Theorem 3.3 to (6.6) we get

$$|x(t)| \leq m(a) e_p(t, a) + \int_a^t m^\Delta(s) e_p(t, \sigma(s)) \Delta s.$$

This is the required estimate in (6.4). □

Next we consider the following initial value problem

$$(6.7) \quad (r(t)x^p(t))^\Delta = f(t, x(t)), \quad x(a) = c,$$

where $r(t) > 0$ is rd-continuous for $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$, $f \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and $c, p > 1$ are constants.


As an application of the special version of Theorem 3.5 we have the following.

Theorem 6.2. *Suppose that the function f satisfies*

$$(6.8) \quad |f(t, x(t))| \leq q(t)g(|x(t)|),$$

where $q \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}^+)$ and g is as in Theorem 3.5 and assume that

$$(6.9) \quad \left| \frac{1}{r(t)} \right| \leq d,$$



Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 17 of 20

where $d \geq 0$ is a constant. Then

$$(6.10) \quad |x(t)| \leq \left[G^{-1} \left[G(|r(a)c|d) + \int_a^t q(s) \Delta s \right] \right]^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where G, G^{-1} are as in Theorem 3.5.


Proof. Let $x(t)$ be a solution of IVP (6.7). It is easy to see that $x(t)$ satisfies the equivalent integral equation

$$(6.11) \quad x^p(t) = \frac{r(a)}{r(t)}c + \frac{1}{r(t)} \int_a^t f(s, x(s)) \Delta s.$$

From (6.11) and using (6.8), (6.9) we get

$$(6.12) \quad |x(t)|^p \leq |r(a)c|d + \int_a^t dq(s)g(|x(s)|) \Delta s.$$

Now by applying Theorem 3.5 when $h = 0$ to (6.12) we get the required estimates in (6.10) \square



Explicit Estimates on Integral Inequalities with Time Scale

Deepak B. Pachpatte

Title Page

Contents



Go Back


Close

Quit

Page 18 of 20

References

- [1] R.P. AGARWAL, M. BOHNER AND A. PETERSON, Inequalities on time scale. A survey, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, **4** (2001), 535–557.
- [2] M. BOHNER AND A. PETERSON, *Dynamic Equations on Time Scales*, Birkhauser Boston/Berlin, 2001.
- [3] E.A. BOHNER, M. BOHNER AND F. AKIN, Pachpatte inequalities on time scale, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, **6**(1) (2005), Art. 6. [ONLINE: <http://jipam.vu.edu.au/article.php?sid=475>].
- [4] S. HILGER , Analysis on Measure chain-A unified approach to continuous and discrete calculus, *Results Math.*, **18** (1990), 18–56.
- [5] B. KAYMACALAN, S.A. OZGUN AND A. ZAFER, Gronwall-Bihari type inequalities on time scale, *In Conference proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Difference Equations (Veszperm, 1995)*, 481–490, 1997. Gordon and Breach Publishers.
- [6] B.G. PACHPATTE, *Inequalities for Differential and Integral Equations*, Academic Press Inc., San Diego, CA, 1988.
- [7] B.G. PACHPATTE, *Inequalities for Finite Difference Equations*, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 2002.
- [8] B.G. PACHPATTE, Integral inequalities of the Bihari type, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, **5** (2002), 649–657.
- [9] P. REHAK, Hardy inequality on time scales and its application to half linear dynamic equations, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, **5** (2005), 495–507.



Explicit Estimates on Integral
Inequalities with Time Scale

Deepak B. Pachpatte

Title Page

Contents




Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 19 of 20

[10] F. WONG, CHEH-CHI YEH AND CHEN-HUANG HONG, Gronwall inequalities on time scales, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, **1** (2006), 75–86.



Explicit Estimates on Integral Inequalities with Time Scale

Deepak B. Pachpatte

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 20 of 20