Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics

A NOTE ON THE BEZIER VARIANT OF CERTAIN BERNSTEIN DURRMEYER OPERATORS

M.K. GUPTA

Department of Mathematics
Ch. Charan Singh University
Meerut-250004, India.

EMail: mkgupta2002@hotmail.com

Abstract

Contents

◀◀   ▶▶

◀   ▶

Home Page

Go Back

Close

Quit

Abstract

In the present note, we introduce a Bezier variant of a new type of Bernstein Durrmeyer operator, which was introduced by Gupta [3]. We estimate the rate of convergence by using the decomposition technique of functions of bounded variation and applying the optimum bound. It is observed that the analysis for our Bezier variant of new Bernstein Durrmeyer operators is different from the usual Bernstein Durrmeyer operators studied by Zeng and Chen [9].

Contents

A Note on the Bezier Variant of Certain Bernstein Durrmeyer Operators

M.K. Gupta

Title Page

Contents

◀◀   ▶▶

◀   ▶

Go Back

Close

Quit

1. Introduction

Durrmeyer [1] introduced the integral modification of Bernstein polynomials to approximate Lebesgue integrable functions on the interval $[0, 1]$. The operators introduced by Durrmeyer are defined by

$$(1.1) \qquad D_n(f, x) = (n + 1) \sum_{k=0}^{n} p_{n,k}(x) \int_0^1 p_{n,k}(t) f(t) dt, \quad x \in [0, 1],$$

where $p_{n,k}(x) = \binom{n}{k} x^k (1 - x)^{n-k}$.

Gupta [3] introduced a different Durrmeyer type modification of the Bernstein polynomials and estimated the rate of convergence for functions of bounded variation. The operators introduced in [3] are defined by

$$(1.2) \qquad B_n(f, x) = n \sum_{k=0}^{n} p_{n,k}(x) \int_0^1 b_{n,k}(t) f(t) dt, \quad x \in [0, 1],$$

where

$$p_{n,k}(x) = (-1)^k \frac{x^k}{k!} \phi_n^{(k)}(x), \quad b_{n,k}(t) = (-1)^{k+1} \frac{t^k}{k!} \phi_n^{(k+1)}(t)$$

and

$$\phi_n(x) = (1 - x)^n.$$

It is easily verified that the values of $p_{n,k}(x)$ used in (1.1) and (1.2) are same. Also it is easily verified that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} p_{n,k}(x) = 1, \quad \int_0^1 b_{n,k}(t) dt = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad b_{n,n}(t) = 0.$$

Title Page

Contents

◀◀　　▶▶

◀　　▶

Go Back

Close

Quit

By considering the integral modification of Bernstein polynomials in the form (1.2) some approximation properties become simpler in the analysis. So it is significant to study further on the different integral modification of Bernstein polynomials introduced by Gupta [3]. For $\alpha \geq 1$, we now define the Bezier variant of the operators (1.2), to approximate Lebesgue integrable functions on the interval $[0, 1]$ as

$$(1.3) \qquad B_{n,\alpha}(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} Q_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) \int_{0}^{1} b_{n,k}(t) f(t) dt, \quad x \in [0, 1],$$

where

$$Q_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) = J_{n,k}^{\alpha}(x) - J_{n,k+1}^{\alpha}(x)$$

and

$$J_{n,k}(x) = \sum_{j=k}^{n} p_{n,j}(x),$$

when $k \leq n$ and 0 otherwise.

Some important properties of $J_{n,k}(x)$ are as follows:

(i) $J_{n,k}(x) - J_{n,k+1}(x) = p_{n,k}(x), k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots$;

(ii) $J_{n,k}'(x) = n p_{n-1,k-1}(x), k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$;

(iii) $J_{n,k}(x) = n \int_{0}^{x} p_{n-1,k-1}(u) du, k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$;

(iv) $J_{n,0}(x) > J_{n,1}(x) > J_{n,2}(x) > \cdots > J_{n,n}(x) > 0, 0 < x < 1$.

J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 6(3) Art. 74, 2005

http://jipam.vu.edu.au

For every natural number k, $J_{n,k}(x)$ increases strictly from 0 to 1 on $[0, 1]$. Alternatively we may rewrite the operators (1.3) as

$$(1.4) \qquad B_{n,\alpha}(f, x) = \int_0^1 K_{n,\alpha}(x, t) f(t) dt, \quad 0 \le x \le 1,$$

where

$$K_{n,\alpha}(x, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} Q_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) b_{n,k}(t).$$

It is easily verified that $B_{n,\alpha}(f, x)$ are linear positive operators, $B_{n,\alpha}(1, x) = 1$ and for $\alpha = 1$, the operators $B_{n,1}(f, x) \equiv B_n(f, x)$, i.e. the operators (1.3) reduce to the operators (1.2). For further properties of $Q_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(x)$, we refer the readers to [3].

Guo [2] studied the rate of convergence for bounded variation functions for Bernstein Durrmeyer operators. Zeng and Chen [9] were the first to estimate the rate of convergence for the Bezier variant of Bernstein Durrmeyer operators. Several other Bezier variants of some summation-integral type operators were studied in [4], [6] and [8] etc. It is well-known that Bezier basis functions play an important role in computer aided design. Moreover the recent work on different Bernstein Bezier type operators motivated us to study further in this direction. The advantage of the operators $B_{n,\alpha}(f, x)$ over the Bernstein Durrmeyer operators considered in [9] is that one does not require the results of the type Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 of [9]. Consequently some approximation formulae become simpler. Further for $\alpha = 1$, these operators provide improved estimates over the main results of [2] and [3]. In the present paper, we estimate

Title Page

Contents

◀◀   ▶▶

◀   ▶

Go Back

Close

Quit

the rate of point wise convergence of the operators $B_{n,\alpha}(f, x)$ at those points $x \in (0, 1)$ at which one sided limits $f(x-)$ and $f(x+)$ exist.

Title Page

Contents

◀◀   ▶▶

◀   ▶

Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 6 of 17

J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. **6**(3) Art. 74, 2005

http://jipam.vu.edu.au

2. Auxiliary Results

In this section we give certain results, which are necessary to prove the main result.

Lemma 2.1 ([3]). *If n is sufficiently large, then*

$$\frac{x(1-x)}{n} \le B_n((t-x)^2, x) \le \frac{2x(1-x)}{n}.$$

Lemma 2.2 ([4]). *For every $0 \le k \le n$, $x \in (0,1)$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$p_{n,k}(x) \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2enx(1-x)}}.$$

Lemma 2.3. *For all $x \in (0,1)$, there holds*

$$Q_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) \le \alpha \cdot p_{n,k}(x) \le \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2enx(1-x)}}.$$

Proof. Using the well known inequality $|a^\alpha - b^\alpha| \le \alpha |a - b|$, $(0 \le a, b \le 1, \alpha \ge 1)$ and by Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$Q_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) \le \alpha p_{n,k}(x) \le \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2enx(1-x)}}.$$

$\square$

Lemma 2.4. *Let $x \in (0,1)$ and $K_{n,\alpha}(x,t)$ be the kernel defined by (1.4). Then for n sufficiently large, we have*

$$(2.1) \qquad \lambda_{n,\alpha}(x,y) := \int_0^y K_{n,\alpha}(x,t)dt \le \frac{2\alpha \cdot x(1-x)}{n(x-y)^2}, \quad 0 \le y < x,$$

Title Page

Contents

◀◀ ▶▶

◀ ▶

Go Back

Close

Quit

and

$$(2.2) \quad 1 - \lambda_{n,\alpha}(x,z) := \int_z^1 K_{n,\alpha}(x,t)dt \le \frac{2\alpha \cdot x(1-x)}{n(z-x)^2}, \quad x < z < 1.$$

Proof. We first prove (2.1), as follows

$$\int_0^y K_{n,\alpha}(x,t)dt \le \int_0^y K_{n,\alpha}(x,t)\frac{(x-t)^2}{(x-y)^2}dt$$

$$\le \frac{1}{(x-y)^2} B_{n,\alpha}((t-x)^2,x)$$

$$\le \frac{\alpha \cdot B_{n,1}((t-x)^2,x)}{(x-y)^2} \le \frac{2\alpha \cdot x(1-x)}{n(x-y)^2},$$

by Lemma 2.1. The proof of (2.2) is similar. □

3. Main Result

In this section we prove the following main theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let f be a function of bounded variation on the interval $[0, 1]$ and suppose $\alpha \geq 1$. Then for every $x \in (0, 1)$ and n sufficiently large, we have*

$$\left| B_{n,\alpha}(f, x) - \left[\frac{1}{\alpha + 1} f(x+) + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1} f(x-) \right] \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2enx(1-x)}} \left| f(x+) - f(x-) \right| + \frac{5\alpha}{nx(1-x)} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \bigvee_{x-x/\sqrt{k}}^{x+(1-x)/\sqrt{k}} (g_x),$$

where

$$g_x(t) = \begin{cases} f(t) - f(x-), & \text{for } 0 \leq t < x \\ 0, & \text{for } t = x \\ f(t) - f(x+), & \text{for } x < t \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

and $\bigvee_a^b(g_x)$ is the total variation of g_x on $[a, b]$.

Proof. Clearly

$$(3.1) \quad \left| B_{n,\alpha}(f, x) - \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha + 1} f(x+) + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1} f(x-) \right\} \right|$$

$$\leq |B_{n,\alpha}(g_x, x)| + \frac{1}{2} \left| B_{n,\alpha}(\operatorname{sgn}(t - x), x) + \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha + 1} \right| |f(x+) - f(x-)|.$$

J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. **6**(3) Art. 74, 2005

http://jipam.vu.edu.au

First, we have

$$B_{n,\alpha}(\text{sgn}(t-x), x) = \int_x^1 K_{n,\alpha}(x,t)dt - \int_0^x K_{n,\alpha}(x,t)dt$$

$$= \int_0^1 K_{n,\alpha}(x,t)dt - 2\int_0^x K_{n,\alpha}(x,t)dt$$

$$= 1 - 2\int_0^x K_{n,\alpha}(x,t)dt = -1 + 2\int_x^1 K_{n,\alpha}(x,t)dt.$$

Using Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.3 and the fact that

$$\sum_{j=0}^k p_{n,j}(x) = \int_x^1 b_{n,k}(t)dt,$$

we have

$$B_{n,\alpha}(\text{sgn}(t-x), x) = -1 + 2\sum_{k=0}^n Q_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) \int_x^1 b_{n,k}(t)dt$$

$$= -1 + 2\sum_{k=0}^n Q_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) \sum_{j=0}^k p_{n,j}(x)$$

$$= -1 + 2\sum_{j=0}^n p_{n,j}(x) \sum_{k=j}^n Q_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(x)$$

$$= -1 + 2\sum_{j=0}^n p_{n,j}(x) J_{n,j}^\alpha(x).$$

Title Page

Contents

◀◀ ▶▶

◀ ▶

Go Back

Close

Quit

Since

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} Q_{n,j}^{(\alpha+1)}(x) = 1,$$

therefore we have

$$B_{n,\alpha}(\operatorname{sgn}(t-x), x) + \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha+1} = 2 \sum_{j=0}^{n} p_{n,j}(x) J_{n,j}^{\alpha}(x) - \frac{2}{\alpha+1} \sum_{j=0}^{n} Q_{n,j}^{(\alpha+1)}(x).$$

By the mean value theorem, it follows

$$Q_{n,j}^{(\alpha+1)}(x) = J_{n,j}^{\alpha+1}(x) - J_{n,j+1}^{\alpha+1}(x) = (\alpha+1) p_{n,j}(x) \gamma_{n,j}^{\alpha}(x),$$

where

$$J_{n,j+1}^{\alpha}(x) < \gamma_{n,j}^{\alpha}(x) < J_{n,j}^{\alpha}(x).$$

Hence

$$\left| B_{n,\alpha}(sgn(t-x), x) + \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha+1} \right| \leq 2 \sum_{j=0}^{n} p_{n,j}(x)(J_{n,j}^{\alpha}(x) - \gamma_{n,j}^{\alpha}(x))$$

$$\leq 2 \sum_{j=0}^{n} p_{n,j}(x)(J_{n,j}^{\alpha}(x) - J_{n,j+1}^{\alpha}(x))$$

$$\leq 2\alpha \sum_{j=0}^{n} p_{n,j}^{2}(x),$$

Title Page

Contents

◀◀   ▶▶

◀   ▶

Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 11 of 17

J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. **6**(3) Art. 74, 2005

http://jipam.vu.edu.au

where we have used the inequality $b^\alpha - a^\alpha < \alpha(b-a)$, $0 \le a, b \le 1$ and $\alpha \ge 1$. Applying Lemma 2.2, we get

$$(3.2) \qquad \left| B_{n,\alpha}(\mathrm{sgn}(t-x), x) + \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha+1} \right| = \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{2enx(1-x)}}, \qquad x \in (0,1).$$

Next we estimate $B_{n,\alpha}(g_x, x)$. By a Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral representation, we have

$$(3.3) \qquad B_{n,\alpha}(g_x, x) = \int_0^1 K_{n,\alpha}(x,t) g_x(t) dt$$
$$= \left(\int_{I_1} + \int_{I_2} + \int_{I_3} \right) K_{n,\alpha}(x,t) g_x(t) dt$$
$$= E_1 + E_2 + E_3, \qquad \text{say,}$$

where $I_1 = [0, x - x/\sqrt{n}]$, $I_2 = [x - x/\sqrt{n}, x + (1-x)/\sqrt{n}]$ and $I_3 = [x + (1-x)/\sqrt{n}, 1]$. We first estimate E_1. Writing $y = x - x/\sqrt{n}$ and using Lebesgue-Stieltjes integration by parts, we have

$$E_1 = \int_0^y g_x(t) d_t(\lambda_{n,\alpha}(x,t)) = g_x(y+) \lambda_{n,\alpha}(x,y) - \int_0^y \lambda_{n,\alpha}(x,t) d_t(g_x(t)).$$

Since $|g_x(y+)| \le \bigvee_{y+}^x (g_x)$, it follows that

$$|E_1| \le \bigvee_{y+}^x (g_x) \lambda_{n,\alpha}(x,y) + \int_0^y \lambda_{n,\alpha}(x,t) d_t \left(- \bigvee_t^x (g_x) \right).$$

By using (2.1) of Lemma 2.4, we get

$$|E_1| \leq \bigvee_{y+}^{x}(g_x)\frac{2\alpha \cdot x(1-x)}{n(x-y)^2} + \frac{2\alpha \cdot x(1-x)}{n}\int_0^y \frac{1}{(x-t)^2}d_t\left(-\bigvee_t^x(g_x)\right).$$

Integrating by parts the last term we have after simple computation

$$|E_1| \leq \frac{2\alpha \cdot x(1-x)}{n}\left[\frac{\bigvee_0^x(g_x)}{x^2} + 2\int_0^y \frac{\bigvee_t^x(g_x)}{(x-t)^3}dt\right].$$

Now replacing the variable y in the last integral by $x - x/\sqrt{t}$, we obtain

$$(3.4) \qquad |E_1| \leq \frac{2\alpha(1-x)}{nx}\left[\bigvee_0^x(g_x) + \sum_{k=1}^n \bigvee_{x-x/\sqrt{k}}^x(g_x)\right]$$

$$\leq \frac{4\alpha}{nx(1-x)}\sum_{k=1}^n \bigvee_{x-x/\sqrt{k}}^x(g_x).$$

Using a similar method and (2.2) of Lemma 2.4, we get

$$(3.5) \qquad |E_3| \leq \frac{4\alpha}{nx(1-x)}\sum_{k=1}^n \bigvee_x^{x+(1-x)/\sqrt{k}}(g_x).$$

Finally we estimate E_2. For $t \in [x - x/\sqrt{n}, x + (1-x)/\sqrt{n}]$, we have

$$|g_x(t)| = |g_x(t) - g_x(x)| \leq \bigvee_{x-x/\sqrt{n}}^{x+(1-x)/\sqrt{n}}(g_x),$$

and therefore

$$|E_2| \leq \bigvee_{x-x/\sqrt{k}}^{x+(1-x)/\sqrt{k}} (g_x) \int_{x-x/\sqrt{k}}^{x+(1-x)/\sqrt{k}} d_t(\lambda_{n,\alpha}(x,t))$$

Since $\int_a^b d_t \lambda_n(x,t) \leq 1$, for all $(a,b) \subseteq [0,1]$, therefore

$$(3.6) \qquad |E_2| \leq \bigvee_{x-x/\sqrt{n}}^{x+(1-x)/\sqrt{n}} (g_x).$$

Collecting the estimates (3.3) – (3.6), we have

$$(3.7) \qquad |B_{n,\alpha}(g_x,x)| \leq \frac{5\alpha}{nx(1-x)} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \bigvee_{x-x/\sqrt{k}}^{x+(1-x)/\sqrt{k}} (g_x).$$

Combining the estimates of (3.1), (3.2) and (3.7), our theorem follows. $\square$

For $\alpha = 1$, we obtain the following corollary, which is an improved estimate over the main results of [2] and [3].

Corollary 3.2. *Let f be a function of bounded variation on the interval* $[0,1]$.

Then for every $x \in (0, 1)$ and n sufficiently large, we have

$$\left| B_n(f, x) - \frac{1}{2}[f(x+) + f(x-)] \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2enx(1-x)}} |f(x+) - f(x-)| + \frac{5}{nx(1-x)} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \bigvee_{x-x/\sqrt{k}}^{x+(1-x)/\sqrt{k}} (g_x).$$

Title Page

Contents

◀◀   ▶▶

◀   ▶

Go Back

Close

Quit

References

[1] J.L. DURRMEYER, Une formule d'inversion de la transformee de Laplace: Application a la Theorie des Moments, These de 3e cycle, Faculte des Sciences de l' Universite de Paris 1967.

[2] S. GUO, On the rate of convergence of Durrmeyer operator for function of bounded variation, *J. Approx. Theory,* **51** (1987), 183–192.

[3] V. GUPTA, A note on the rate of convergence of Durrmeyer type operators for functions of bounded variation, *Soochow J. Math*., **23**(1) (1997), 115–118.

[4] V. GUPTA, Rate of convergence on Baskakov Beta Bezier operators for functions of bounded variation, *Int. J. Math. and Math. Sci.*, **32**(8) (2002), 471–479.

[5] V. GUPTA, Rate of approximation by new sequence of linear positive operators, *Comput. Math. Appl*., **45**(12) (2003), 1895–1904.

[6] V. GUPTA, Degree of approximation to function of bounded variation by Bezier variant of MKZ operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl*., **289**(1) (2004), 292–300.

[7] X.M. ZENG, Bounds for Bernstein basis functions and Meyer- Konig-Zeller basis functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **219** (1998), 364–376.

[8] X.M. ZENG, On the rate of convergence of the generalized Szasz type operators for functions of bounded variation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **226** (1998), 309–325.

Title Page

Contents

◀◀   ▶▶

◀   ▶

Go Back

Close

Quit

J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. **6**(3) Art. 74, 2005

http://jipam.vu.edu.au

[9] X.M. ZENG AND W. CHEN, On the rate of convergence of the generalized Durrmeyer type operators for functions of bounded variation, *J. Approx. Theory,* **102** (2000), 1–12.

Title Page

Contents

◀◀   ▶▶

◀   ▶

Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 17 of 17

J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. **6**(3) Art. 74, 2005

http://jipam.vu.edu.au