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ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove that the best constant for the geometric inequality $\frac{11 \sqrt{3}}{5 R+12 r+k(2 r-R)} \leq$ $\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{b}+\frac{1}{c}$ is a root of one polynomial by the method of mathematical analysis and linear algebra.
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## 1. Introduction and Main Results

In 1993, Shi-Chang Shi strengthened the familiar geometric inequality (in triangle)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{b}+\frac{1}{c} \leq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2 r} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{b}+\frac{1}{c} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{R}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in [1]. After several months, Ji Chen obtained the following beautiful and strong inequality chain in [2].

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{11 \sqrt{3}}{5 R+12 r} \leq \frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{b}+\frac{1}{c} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\frac{5}{4 R}+\frac{7}{8 r}\right) . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same year, Xi -Ling Huang posed the following interesting inequality problem in [3].
Problem 1. Determine the best constant $k$ for which the inequality below holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{b}+\frac{1}{c} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left[\frac{1}{R}+\frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{k}\left(\frac{2}{R}-\frac{1}{r}\right)\right] . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$
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In 1996, Sheng-Li Chen solved Problem 1 completely in [4]. He obtained the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. The best constant $k$ for the inequality (1.2) is $2(1+\sqrt[3]{2}+\sqrt[3]{4})$.
In the same year, Xue-Zhi Yang [5] strengthened the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{11 \sqrt{3}}{5 R+12 r} \leq \frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{b}+\frac{1}{c} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{243 \sqrt{3}}{110 R+266 r} \leq \frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{b}+\frac{1}{c} . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper we will determine the best constant for the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{11 \sqrt{3}}{5 R+12 r+k(2 r-R)} \leq \frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{b}+\frac{1}{c}, \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<k<5$. We obtain the following theorem.
Theorem 1.2. The maximum value of $k$ for which the inequality (1.7) holds is the root on the open interval $\left(0, \frac{1}{15}\right)$ of the following equation

$$
405 k^{5}+6705 k^{4}+129586 k^{3}+1050976 k^{2}+2795373 k-62181=0
$$

Its approximation is 0.02206078402 .
In fact, let $k=\frac{5}{243} \approx 0.020576131687<0.02206078402$, we immediately find that the inequality (1.7) is just the inequality (1.6).

## 2. Lemmas

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we require several lemmas. The second was obtained by Sheng-Li Chen in [6] (see also [7]).

Lemma 2.1. If $0<k<5$, then the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{11 \sqrt{3}}{5 R+12 r+k(2 r-R)} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\frac{5}{4 R}+\frac{7}{8 r}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds if and only if $0<k \leq \frac{5}{12}$.
Proof. Since $0<k<5$, it is obvious that $5 R+12 r+k(2 r-R)>0$. Therefore, (2.1) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
7(5-k) R^{2}+(4 k-130) R r+(20 k+120) r^{2} \geq 0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $\frac{R}{2 r}=x$, then with Euler's Inequality $R \geq 2 r$, we have $x \geq 1$. Inequality (2.2) is equivalent to

$$
28(5-k) x^{2}+2(4 k-130) x+20 k+120 \geq 0
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
4(x-1)[(35-7 k) x-5 k-30] \geq 0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering that $x \geq 1$, (2.3) holds if and only if $(35-7 k) x-5 k-30 \geq 0(x \geq 1)$. Namely, $k \leq \frac{5(7 x-6)}{7 x+5}$ or $k \leq \min \frac{5(7 x-6)}{7 x+5}(x \geq 1)$.
Define the function

$$
f(x)=\frac{5(7 x-6)}{7 x+5}(x \geq 1)
$$

Calculating the derivative for $f(x)$, we get

$$
f^{\prime}(x)=-\frac{35(7 x-6)}{(7 x+5)^{2}}+\frac{35}{7 x+5}=\frac{385}{(7 x+5)^{2}}>0
$$

and thus the function $f(x)$ is strictly monotone increasing on the interval $[1,+\infty)$. Then $f(x) \geq$ $f(1)=\frac{5}{12}$. That is $\min f(x)=1$ for $x \geq 1$. So $k \leq \frac{5}{12}$, combining $0<k<5$, we immediately obtain $0<k \leq \frac{5}{12}$. Thus, Lemma 2.1 is proved.

Lemma 2.2. [6] The homogeneous inequality $F(R, r, s) \geq(>) 0$ in triangle which form is equivalent to $p \geq(>) f(R, r)$ holds if and only if it holds by setting $R=2, r=1-x^{2}$, $p=\sqrt{(1-x)(3+x)^{3}}$, where $0 \leq x<1$. And the form which is equivalent to $p \leq(<) f(R, r)$ holds if and only if it holds by setting the same substitution, where $-1<x \leq 0$.

Proof. It is well known that the following two inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{2} \geq 2 R^{2}+10 R r-r^{2}-2(R-2 r) \sqrt{r(R-2 r)} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{2} \leq 2 R^{2}+10 R r-r^{2}+2(R-2 r) \sqrt{r(R-2 r)} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold in any triangle $A B C$.
Now we prove the inequality (2.4) with equality holding if and only if $\triangle A B C$ is an isosceles triangle whose top-angle is greater than or equal to $60^{\circ}$, and the inequality (2.5) with equality holding if and only if $\triangle A B C$ is an isosceles triangle whose top-angle is less than or equal to $60^{\circ}$.
Let $A$ be the top- angle of isosceles triangle $A B C$, and let

$$
t=\sin \frac{A}{2}(=\cos B=\cos C) \in(0,1)
$$

then

$$
\sin \frac{B}{2}=\sin \frac{C}{2}=\sqrt{\frac{1-t}{2}}
$$

With known identities

$$
r=4 R \sin \frac{A}{2} \sin \frac{B}{2} \sin \frac{C}{2}, \quad p=R(\sin A+\sin B+\sin C) .
$$

in triangle, we easily obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=2 R t(1-t), \quad p=2 R(1+t) \sqrt{1-t^{2}} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We put the identities (2.6) into the inequality (2.4) and (2.5), with simple calculations, we can find the inequality (2.4) with equality holding if and only if $t \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$ or $A \geq 60^{\circ}$; the inequality (2.5) with equality holding if and only if $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ or $A \leq 60^{\circ}$.

Then we prove the following two propositions.
Proposition 2.3. For every triangle $A B C$, there are isosceles triangle $A_{1} B_{1} C_{1}$ with top angle $A_{1} \geq 60^{\circ}$ and isosceles triangle $A_{2} B_{2} C_{2}$ with top angle $A_{1} \leq 60^{\circ}$ make

$$
R_{1}=R_{2}=R, \quad r_{1}=r_{2}=r ; \quad p_{1} \leq p \leq p_{2},
$$

with $p=p_{1}$ holding if and only if $\triangle A B C$ is an isosceles triangle with top angle $A \geq 60^{\circ}$, $p=p_{2}$ holding if and only if $\triangle A B C$ is an isosceles triangle with top angle $A \leq 60^{\circ}$.

Proof. Denote $\odot O$ as the circumcircle of $\triangle A B C$, then there are inscribed isosceles triangles $A_{1} B_{1} C_{1}$ and $A_{2} B_{2} C_{2}$ of $\odot O$ which satisfy the next two identities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{A_{1}}{2}=\arcsin \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\sqrt{1-\frac{2 r}{R}}\right) \\
& \frac{A_{2}}{2}=\arcsin \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\sqrt{1-\frac{2 r}{R}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $A_{1} \geq 60^{\circ}, A_{2} \leq 60^{\circ}$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sin \frac{A_{1}}{2}\left(1-\sin \frac{A_{1}}{2}\right)=\frac{r}{2 R}  \tag{2.7}\\
& \sin \frac{A_{2}}{2}\left(1-\sin \frac{A_{2}}{2}\right)=\frac{r}{2 R} \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

For isosceles triangles $A_{1} B_{1} C_{1}$ where the top-angle is $A_{1}$ and $A_{2} B_{2} C_{2}$ where the top-angle is $A_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sin \frac{A_{1}}{2}\left(1-\sin \frac{A_{1}}{2}\right)=\frac{r_{1}}{2 R_{1}},  \tag{2.9}\\
& \sin \frac{A_{2}}{2}\left(1-\sin \frac{A_{2}}{2}\right)=\frac{r_{2}}{2 R_{2}} . \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.7) to (2.10), we get $\frac{r}{R}=\frac{r_{1}}{R_{1}}=\frac{r_{2}}{R_{2}}$, and it is easy to see that $R=R_{1}=R_{2}$, so $r_{1}=r_{2}=r$. Denote $\varphi(R, r)$ to be the right of (2.4), then $p^{2} \geq \varphi(R, r)=\varphi\left(R_{1}, r_{1}\right)=p_{1}^{2}$, so $p \geq p_{1}$. In the same manner, we can prove that $p \leq p_{2}$.

## Proposition 2.4.

(i) If the inequality $p \geq(>) f_{1}(R, r)$ holds for any isosceles triangle whose top-angle is greater than or equal to $60^{\circ}$, then the inequality $p \geq(>) f_{1}(R, r)$ holds for any triangle.
(ii) If the inequality $p \leq(<) f_{1}(R, r)$ holds for any isosceles triangle whose top-angle is less than or equal to $60^{\circ}$, then the inequality $p \leq(<) f_{1}(R, r)$ holds for any triangle.

Proof. For any $\Delta A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C^{\prime}$, with Proposition 2.3, we know there is an isosceles triangle $A_{1} B_{1} C_{1}$ which make

$$
R_{1}=R^{\prime}, \quad r_{1}=r^{\prime}, \quad p_{1} \leq p^{\prime}
$$

Because the inequality $p \geq(>) f_{1}(R, r)$ holds for isosceles triangle $A_{1} B_{1} C_{1}$, we have

$$
p^{\prime} \geq p_{1} \geq(>) f_{1}\left(R_{1}, r_{1}\right)=f_{1}\left(R^{\prime}, r^{\prime}\right)
$$

Thus, the inequality $p \geq(>) f_{1}(R, r)$ holds for $\Delta A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C^{\prime}$. In the same way we can prove (ii).

From Proposition 2.4, the homogeneous inequality in triangle whose form is equivalent to $p \geq(>) f(R, r)$ holds if and only if it holds by setting $R=2, r=4 t(1-t), p=4(1+$ $t) \sqrt{1-t^{2}}$. Taking $t=\frac{x+1}{2}$, we immediately get $r=1-x^{2}, p=\sqrt{(1-x)(3+x)^{3}}$, where $0 \leq$ $x<1$. For the homogeneous inequality in triangle whose form is equivalent to $p \leq(<) f(R, r)$, we only need to change the range of $x$. Namely, we change $0 \leq x<1$ to be $-1<x \leq 0$. Thus, the proof of Lemma[2.2 is completed. (The proof was given by Sheng-Li Chen in [6].)

## Lemma 2.5. [8] Denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x)=a_{0} x^{n}+a_{1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{n} \\
& g(x)=b_{0} x^{m}+b_{1} x^{m-1}+\cdots+b_{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $a_{0} \neq 0$ or $b_{0} \neq 0$, then the polynomials $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ have a common root if and only if

$$
R(f, g)=\left|\begin{array}{cccccccc}
a_{0} & a_{1} & a_{2} & \cdots & a_{n} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & a_{0} & a_{1} & \cdots & a_{n-1} & a_{n} & \cdots & \cdots \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{0} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & a_{n} \\
b_{0} & b_{1} & b_{2} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & b_{0} & b_{1} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & b_{0} & b_{1} & \cdots & b_{m}
\end{array}\right|=0,
$$

where $R(f, g)$ is Sylvester's Resultant of $f(x)$ and $g(x)$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof. With known identities $a b c=4 R r p$ and $a b+b c+c a=p^{2}+4 R r+r^{2}$ in triangle, we easily know the inequality (1.7) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{11 \sqrt{3}}{5 R+12 r+k(2 r-R)} \leq \frac{p^{2}+4 R r+r^{2}}{4 R r p} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality (3.1) is equivalent to the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
[5 R+12 r+k(2 r-R)] p^{2}-44 \sqrt{3} R r p+[5 R+12 r+k(2 r-R)]\left(4 R r+r^{2}\right) \geq 0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(i) If

$$
\Delta(R, r)=(44 \sqrt{3} R r)^{2}-4[5 R+12 r+k(2 r-R)]^{2}\left(4 R r+r^{2}\right)<0
$$

it is obvious that the inequality (3.2) holds.
(ii) If

$$
\Delta(R, r)=(44 \sqrt{3} R r)^{2}-4[5 R+12 r+k(2 r-R)]^{2}\left(4 R r+r^{2}\right) \geq 0,
$$

then the inequality (3.2) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \geq \frac{44 \sqrt{3} R r+\sqrt{\Delta(R, r)}}{2[5 R+12 r+k(2 r-R)]} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \leq \frac{44 \sqrt{3} R r-\sqrt{\Delta(R, r)}}{2[5 R+12 r+k(2 r-R)]} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, the inequality (3.4) does not hold. From (1.3) and (1.7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{11 \sqrt{3}}{5 R+12 r+k(2 r-R)} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\frac{5}{4 R}+\frac{7}{8 r}\right) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.1, we know that $0<k \leq \frac{5}{12}$. It is easy to see that the following inequalities hold

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{44 \sqrt{3} R r-\sqrt{\Delta(R, r)}}{2[5 R+12 r+k(2 r-R)]} & \leq \frac{44 \sqrt{3} R r}{2[5 R+12 r+k(2 r-R)]}  \tag{3.6}\\
& \leq \frac{22 \sqrt{3} R r}{\left[5 R+12 r+\frac{5}{12}(2 r-R)\right]}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we prove the next inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \geq \frac{22 \sqrt{3} R r}{\left[5 R+12 r+\frac{5}{12}(2 r-R)\right]} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality (3.7) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{2} \geq \frac{1452 R^{2} r^{2}}{\left[5 R+12 r+\frac{5}{12}(2 r-R)\right]^{2}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

With Gerretsen's Inequality $p^{2} \geq 16 R r-5 r^{2}$, in order to prove the inequality (3.8), we only need to prove the following inequality.

$$
\begin{equation*}
16 R r-5 r^{2} \geq \frac{1452 R^{2} r^{2}}{\left[5 R+12 r+\frac{5}{12}(2 r-R)\right]^{2}} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality (3.9) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
r\left(400 R^{3}+387 R^{2}+2436 R r-980 r^{3}\right) \geq 0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

With Euler's inequality $R \geq 2 r$, we easily see that the inequality (3.10) holds. So, the inequality (3.7) holds. Then the inequality (3.4) does not hold. Therefore, the inequality (3.2) is equivalent to the inequality $(3.3)$. From Lemma 2.2 , the inequality (3.2) holds if and only if the following inequality holds.

$$
\begin{gather*}
8(1-x)(3+x)\left[(2 x+3)\left(11-6 x^{2}-k x^{2}\right)-11 \sqrt{3}(x+1) \sqrt{(1-x)(3+x)}\right] \geq 0  \tag{3.11}\\
(0 \leq x<1) .
\end{gather*}
$$

The inequality (3.11) holds when $x=0$. When $0<x<1$, the inequality (3.11) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
k \leq \frac{(2 x+3)\left(11-6 x^{2}\right)-11 \sqrt{3}(x+1) \sqrt{(1-x)(3+x)}}{x^{2}(2 x+3)} . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=\frac{(2 x+3)\left(11-6 x^{2}\right)-11 \sqrt{3}(x+1) \sqrt{(1-x)(3+x)}}{x^{2}(2 x+3)}, \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Calculating the derivative for $g(x)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}(x)=\frac{-22\left[\sqrt{3}\left(x^{4}+5 x^{3}+2 x^{2}-9 x-9\right)+(2 x+3)^{2} \sqrt{(1-x)(3+x)}\right]}{x^{3}(2 x+3)^{2} \sqrt{(1-x)(3+x)}} . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $g^{\prime}(x)=0$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 x^{5}+30 x^{4}+103 x^{3}+134 x^{2}+48 x-18=0, \quad(0<x<1) . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that the equation (3.15) has the only one positive root on the open interval $(0,1)$.
Denote $x_{0}$ to be the root of the equation (3.15). Then

$$
g(x)_{\min }=g\left(x_{0}\right)=\frac{\left(2 x_{0}+3\right)\left(11-6 x_{0}^{2}\right)-11 \sqrt{3}\left(x_{0}+1\right) \sqrt{\left(1-x_{0}\right)\left(3+x_{0}\right)}}{x_{0}^{2}\left(2 x_{0}+3\right)} .
$$

Therefore, the maximum of $k$ is $g\left(x_{0}\right)$. Now we prove $g\left(x_{0}\right)$ is the root of the equation

$$
405 k^{5}+6705 k^{4}+129586 k^{3}+1050976 k^{2}+2795373 k-62181=0 .
$$

It is easy to find that $g\left(x_{0}\right)$ is a root of the following equation.

$$
x_{0}^{2}\left(2 x_{0}+3\right)^{2} t^{2}-2\left(2 x_{0}+3\right)^{2}\left(11-6 x_{0}^{2}\right) t+144 x_{0}^{4}+432 x_{0}^{3}+159 x_{0}^{2}-132 x_{0}+22=0 .
$$

We know that

$$
3 x_{0}^{5}+30 x_{0}^{4}+103 x_{0}^{3}+134 x_{0}^{2}+48 x_{0}-18=0 .
$$

Considering the simultaneous equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0}^{2}\left(2 x_{0}+3\right)^{2} t^{2}-2\left(2 x_{0}+3\right)^{2}\left(11-6 x_{0}^{2}\right) t+144 x_{0}^{4}  \tag{3.16}\\
\quad+432 x_{0}^{3}+159 x_{0}^{2}-132 x_{0}+22=0 \\
3 x_{0}^{5}+30 x_{0}^{4}+103 x_{0}^{3}+134 x_{0}^{2}+48 x_{0}-18=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

The simultaneous equations (3.16) can be changed to the simultaneous equations as follows.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{r}
4(t+6)^{2} x_{0}^{4}+12(t+6)^{2} x_{0}^{3}+\left(9 t^{2}+20 t+159\right) x_{0}^{2}  \tag{3.17}\\
-132(2 t+1) x_{0}-198 t+22=0 \\
3 x_{0}^{5}+30 x_{0}^{4}+103 x_{0}^{3}+134 x_{0}^{2}+48 x_{0}-18=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{x_{0}}(f, g)= & \left|\begin{array}{ccccccc}
4(t+6)^{2} & 12(t+6)^{2} & \cdots & 22-198 t & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 4(t+6)^{2} & \cdots & \cdots & 22-198 t & \cdots & 0 \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & 4(t+6)^{2} & \cdots & \cdots & 22-198 t \\
3 & 30 & \cdots & -18 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 3 & 30 & \cdots & -18 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 3 & 30 & \cdots & -18 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 30 & \cdots & -18
\end{array}\right| \\
= & 100\left(405 t^{5}+6705 t^{4}+129586 t^{3}+1050976 t^{2}+2795373 t-62181\right) \\
& \times\left(405 t^{5}-178425 t^{4}-1656374 t^{3}-13317290 t^{2}-100675599 t-330639021\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The solution of the equation $R_{x_{0}}(f, g)=0$ is the union of the solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
405 t^{5}+6705 t^{4}+129586 t^{3}+1050976 t^{2}+2795373 t-62181=0 \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
405 t^{5}-178425 t^{4}-1656374 t^{3}-13317290 t^{2}-100675599 t-330639021=0 \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

With differential calculus, it is easy to see that the equation (3.19) has no root on the interval $[0,1]$. We can get $g\left(x_{0}\right)<1$, with Lemma 2.5, we can conclude that $g\left(x_{0}\right)$ is the real root of the equation (3.18). Define the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=405 t^{5}+6705 t^{4}+129586 t^{3}+1050976 t^{2}+2795373 t-62181 \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $f\left(\frac{1}{15}\right)=\frac{2174963624}{16875}>0$. Therefore, the real root of the equation (3.18) is on the interval ( $0, \frac{1}{15}$ ).
Thus, the proof of Theorem 1.2 is completed.
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