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#### Abstract

In this paper, by combining a new maximum principle of fourth-order equations with the theory of eigenline problems, we develop a monotone method in the presence of lower and upper solutions for some fourth-order ordinary differential equation boundary value problem. Our results indicate there is a relation between the existence of solutions of nonlinear fourth-order equation and the first eigenline of linear fourth-order equation.
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## 1. Introduction

This paper consider solutions of the fourth-order boundary value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{(4)}(x)=f\left(x, u(x), u^{\prime \prime}(x)\right), \quad 0<x<1, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0)=u(1)=u^{\prime \prime}(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(1)=0, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.
Many authors [1] - [8], [10], [11], [13] - [17] have studied this problem. In [1, 4, 6, 8, 10, 16], Aftabizadeh et al. showed the existence of positive solution to (1.1) - (1.2) under some growth conditions of $f$ and a non-resonance condition involving a two-parameter linear

[^0]eigenvalue problem. These results are based upon the Leray-Schauder continuation method and topological degree. In [2, 5, 7, 11, 15], Agarwal et al. considered an equation of the form
$$
u^{(4)}(x)=f(x, u(x)),
$$
with diverse kind of boundary conditions by using the lower and upper solution method.
Recently, Bai [3] and Ma et al. [14] developed the monotone method for the problem (1.1) - (1.2) under some monotone conditions of $f$. More recently, with using Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem, Li [13] showed the existence results of positive solutions for the following problem
\[

$$
\begin{gathered}
u^{(4)}+\beta u^{\prime \prime}-\alpha u=f(t, u), \quad 0<t<1, \\
u(0)=u(1)=u^{\prime \prime}(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(1)=0,
\end{gathered}
$$
\]

where $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is continuous, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta<2 \pi^{2}, \alpha \geq-\beta^{2} / 4, \alpha / \pi^{4}+\beta / \pi^{2}<$ 1.

In this paper, by the use of a new maximum principle of fourth-order equation and the theory of the eigenline problem, we intend to further relax the monotone condition of $f$ and get the iteration solution. Our results indicate there exists some relation between the existence of positive solutions of nonlinear fourth-order equation and the first eigenline of linear fourth-order equation.

## 2. Maximum Principle

In this section, we prove a maximum principle for the operator

$$
L: F \longrightarrow C[0,1]
$$

defined by $L u=u^{(4)}-a u^{\prime \prime}+b u$. Here $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a}{\pi^{2}}+\frac{b}{\pi^{4}}+1>0, \quad a^{2}-4 b \geq 0, \quad a>-2 \pi^{2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$u \in F$ and

$$
F=\left\{u \in C^{4}[0,1] \mid u(0)=0, \quad u(1)=0, u^{\prime \prime}(0) \leq 0, u^{\prime \prime}(1) \leq 0\right\}
$$

Lemma 2.1. [12] Let $f(x)$ be continuous for $a \leq x \leq b$ and let $c<\lambda_{1}=\pi^{2} /(b-a)^{2}$. Let $u$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gathered}
u^{\prime \prime}(x)+c u(x)=f(x), \quad \text { for } x \in(a, b), \\
u(a)=u(b)=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Assume that $u\left(x_{1}\right)=u\left(x_{2}\right)=0$ where $a \leq x_{1}<x_{2} \leq b$ and $u(x) \neq 0$ for $x_{1} \leq x \leq x_{2}$. If either $f(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$ or $f(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$ and $f(x)$ is not identically zero on $\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$, then $u(x) f(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$.

Lemma 2.2. If $u(x)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gathered}
u^{\prime \prime}+c u(x) \geq 0, \quad \text { for } x \in(a, b) \\
u(a) \leq 0, \quad u(b) \leq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

where $c<\lambda_{1}=\pi^{2} /(b-a)^{2}$. Then $u(x) \leq 0$, in $[a, b]$.
Proof. It follows by Lemma 2.1.
Lemma 2.3. If $u \in F$ satisfies $L u \geq 0$, then $u \geq 0$ in $[0,1]$.

Proof. Set $A x=x^{\prime \prime}$. As $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy 2.1 , we have that

$$
L u=u^{(4)}-a u^{\prime \prime}+b u=\left(A-r_{2}\right)\left(A-r_{1}\right) u \geq 0
$$

where $r_{1,2}=\left(a \pm \sqrt{a^{2}-4 b}\right) / 2 \geq-\pi^{2}$. In fact, $r_{1}=\left(a+\sqrt{a^{2}-4 b}\right) / 2 \geq r_{2}=(a-$ $\left.\sqrt{a^{2}-4 b}\right) / 2$. By $a / \pi^{2}+b / \pi^{4}+1>0$, we have $a \pi^{2}+b+\pi^{4}>0$, thus $a^{2}+4 a \pi^{2}+4 \pi^{4}>a^{2}-4 b$, because $a^{2}-4 b \geq 0$, so

$$
\left(a+2 \pi^{2}\right)^{2}>\left(\sqrt{a^{2}-4 b}\right)^{2} .
$$

Combining this together with $a>-2 \pi^{2}$, we can conclude

$$
a+2 \pi^{2}>\sqrt{a^{2}-4 b}
$$

Then, $r_{1} \geq r_{2}=\left(a-\sqrt{a^{2}-4 b}\right) / 2>-\pi^{2}$.
Let $y=\left(A-r_{1}\right) u=u^{\prime \prime}-r_{1} u$, then

$$
\left(A-r_{2}\right) y \geq 0
$$

i.e.,

$$
y^{\prime \prime}-r_{2} y \geq 0
$$

On the other hand, $u \in F$ yields that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(0)-r_{1} u(0) \leq 0, \quad y(1)=u^{\prime \prime}(1)-r_{1} u(1) \leq 0 . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by the use of Lemma 2.2, there exists

$$
y(x) \leq 0, \quad x \in[0,1],
$$

i.e.,

$$
u^{\prime \prime}(x)-r_{1} u(x)=y(x) \leq 0 .
$$

This together with Lemma 2.2 and the fact that $u(0)=0, u(1)=0$ implies that $u(x) \geq 0$ in $[0,1]$.

Remark 2.4. Observe that $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfies (2.1) if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
b \leq 0, \quad \frac{a}{\pi^{2}}+\frac{b}{\pi^{4}}+1>0, \quad a>-2 \pi^{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
b>0, \quad a>0, \quad a^{2}-4 b \geq 0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
b>0, \quad 0>a>-2 \pi^{2}, \quad \frac{a}{\pi^{2}}+\frac{b}{\pi^{4}}+1>0, \quad a^{2}-4 b \geq 0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.3) and (2.4), we can easily conclude

$$
r_{1}=\frac{a+\sqrt{a^{2}-4 b}}{2} \geq 0 .
$$

Therefore, 2.2 can be obtained under $u(0) \geq 0, u(1) \geq 0, \quad u^{\prime \prime}(0) \leq 0, \quad u^{\prime \prime}(1) \leq 0$, and $F$ can be defined as

$$
F=\left\{u \in C^{4}[0,1] \mid u(0) \geq 0, u(1) \geq 0, u^{\prime \prime}(0) \leq 0, u^{\prime \prime}(1) \leq 0\right\}
$$

we refer the reader to [3, 13].

Lemma 2.5. [7] Given $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, the following problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{(4)}-a u^{\prime \prime}+b u=0  \tag{2.6}\\
u(0)=u(1)=u^{\prime \prime}(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(1)=0 \tag{2.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

has a non-trivial solution if and only if

$$
\frac{a}{(k \pi)^{2}}+\frac{b}{(k \pi)^{4}}+1=0
$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

## 3. The Monotone Method

In this section, we develop the monotone method for the fourth order two-point boundary value problem (1.1) - (1.2).
For given $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $a / \pi^{2}+b / \pi^{4}+1>0, \quad a^{2}-4 b \geq 0, a>-2 \pi^{2}$ and $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}(x, u, v)=f(x, u, v)+b u-a v \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (1.1) is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
L u=f_{1}\left(x, u, u^{\prime \prime}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 3.1. Letting $\alpha \in C^{4}[0,1]$, we say that $\alpha$ is an upper solution for the problem (1.1) (1.2) if $\alpha$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha^{(4)}(x) & \geq f\left(x, \alpha(x), \alpha^{\prime \prime}(x)\right), \quad \text { for } x \in(0,1) \\
\alpha(0) & =0, \quad \alpha(1)=0 \\
\alpha^{\prime \prime}(0) & \leq 0, \quad \alpha^{\prime \prime}(1) \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 3.2. Letting $\beta \in C^{4}[0,1]$, we say $\beta$ is a lower solution for the problem (1.1) - 1.2 if $\beta$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta^{(4)}(x) & \leq f\left(x, \beta(x), \beta^{\prime \prime}(x)\right), \quad \text { for } x \in(0,1) \\
\beta(0) & =0, \quad \beta(1)=0 \\
\beta^{\prime \prime}(0) & \geq 0, \quad \beta^{\prime \prime}(1) \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 3.1. If $a, b$ satisfy (2.3) or (2.4), the boundary values can be replaced by

$$
\alpha(0) \geq 0, \quad \alpha(1) \geq 0 ; \quad \beta(0) \leq 0, \quad \beta(1) \leq 0
$$

It is clear that if $\alpha, \beta$ are upper and lower solutions of the problem (1.1) - 1.2) respectively, $\alpha, \beta$ are upper and lower solutions of the problem (3.2) - (1.2) respectively, too.
Theorem 3.2. If there exist $\alpha$ and $\beta$, upper and lower solutions, respectively, for the problem (1.1) - (1.2) which satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta \leq \alpha \quad \text { and } \quad \beta^{\prime \prime}+r(\alpha-\beta) \geq \alpha^{\prime \prime} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x, u_{2}, v\right)-f\left(x, u_{1}, v\right) \geq-b\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\beta(x) \leq u_{1} \leq u_{2} \leq \alpha(x), v \in \mathbb{R}$, and $x \in[0,1]$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x, u, v_{2}\right)-f\left(x, u, v_{1}\right) \leq a\left(v_{2}-v_{1}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $v_{2}+r(\alpha-\beta) \geq v_{1}, \alpha^{\prime \prime}-r(\alpha-\beta) \leq v_{1}, v_{2} \leq \beta^{\prime \prime}+r(\alpha-\beta), u \in \mathbb{R}$, and $x \in[0,1]$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy $a / \pi^{2}+b / \pi^{4}+1>0, a^{2}-4 b \geq 0, a>-2 \pi^{2}$ and $r=\left(a-\sqrt{a^{2}-4 b}\right) / 2$,
then there exist two monotone sequences $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$, non-increasing and non-decreasing, respectively, with $\alpha_{0}=\alpha$ and $\beta_{0}=\beta$, which converge uniformly to the extremal solutions in $[\beta, \alpha]$ of the problem (1.1) - (1.2).

Proof. Consider the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{(4)}(x)-a u^{\prime \prime}(x)+b u(x)=f_{1}\left(x, \eta(x), \eta^{\prime \prime}(x)\right), \quad \text { for } x \in(0,1),  \tag{3.6}\\
u(0)=u(1)=u^{\prime \prime}(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(1)=0, \tag{3.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

with $\eta \in C^{2}[0,1]$.
Since $a / \pi^{2}+b / \pi^{4}+1>0$, with the use of Lemma 2.5 and Fredholm Alternative [9], the problem (3.6 - 3.7) has a unique solution $u$. Define $T: C^{2}[0,1] \longrightarrow C^{4}[0,1]$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \eta=u . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we divide the proof into three steps.
Step 1. We show

$$
\begin{equation*}
T C \subseteq C . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $C=\left\{\eta \in C^{2}[0,1] \mid \beta \leq \eta \leq \alpha, \quad \alpha^{\prime \prime}-r(\alpha-\beta) \leq \eta^{\prime \prime} \leq \beta^{\prime \prime}+r(\alpha-\beta)\right\}$ is a nonempty bounded closed subset in $C^{2}[0,1]$.

In fact, for $\zeta \in C$, set $\omega=T \zeta$. By the definition of $\alpha, \beta$ and $C$, combining (3.1), (3.4), and (3.5), we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\alpha-\omega)^{(4)}(x)-a(\alpha-\omega)^{\prime \prime}(x)+b(\alpha-\omega)(x) \\
& \geq f_{1}\left(x, \alpha(x), \alpha^{\prime \prime}(x)\right)-f_{1}\left(x, \zeta(x), \zeta^{\prime \prime}(x)\right) \\
& =f\left(x, \alpha(x), \alpha^{\prime \prime}(x)\right)-f\left(x, \zeta(x), \zeta^{\prime \prime}(x)\right)-a(\alpha-\zeta)^{\prime \prime}(x)+b(\alpha-\zeta)(x) \geq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\alpha-\omega)(0)=0, \quad(\alpha-\omega)(1)=0, \tag{3.1.}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\alpha-\omega)^{\prime \prime}(0) \leq 0, \quad(\alpha-\omega)^{\prime \prime}(1) \leq 0 . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the use of Lemma 2.3, we obtain that $\alpha \geq \omega$. Analogously, there holds $\omega \geq \beta$.
By the proof of Lemma 2.3, combining (3.10), (3.11), and (3.12), we have that

$$
(\alpha-\omega)^{\prime \prime}(x)-r(\alpha-\omega)(x) \leq 0, \quad x \in(0,1)
$$

hence,

$$
\omega^{\prime \prime}(x)+r(\alpha-\beta)(x) \geq \omega^{\prime \prime}(x)+r(\alpha-\omega)(x) \geq \alpha^{\prime \prime}(x), \quad \text { for } x \in(0,1),
$$

i.e.,

$$
\omega^{\prime \prime}(x) \geq \alpha^{\prime \prime}(x)-r(\alpha-\beta)(x), \quad \text { for } x \in(0,1) .
$$

Analogously,

$$
\omega^{\prime \prime}(x) \leq \beta^{\prime \prime}(x)+r(\alpha-\beta)(x), \quad \text { for } x \in(0,1) .
$$

Thus, (3.9) holds.

Step 2. Let $u_{1}=T \eta_{1}, u_{2}=T \eta_{2}$, where $\eta_{1}, \eta_{2} \in C$ satisfy $\eta_{1} \leq \eta_{2}$ and $\eta_{1}^{\prime \prime}+r(\alpha-\beta) \geq \eta_{2}^{\prime \prime}$. We show

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1} \leq u_{2}, \quad u_{1}^{\prime \prime}+r(\alpha-\beta) \geq u_{2}^{\prime \prime} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, by (3.4), (3.5), and the definition of $u_{1}, u_{2}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
L\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)(x)=f_{1}\left(x, \eta_{2}(x), \eta_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right)-f_{1}\left(x, \eta_{1}(x), \eta_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right) \geq 0, \\
\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)(0)=\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)(1)=0, \\
\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)^{\prime \prime}(0)=\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)^{\prime \prime}(1)=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

With the use of Lemma 2.3, we get that $u_{1} \leq u_{2}$. Similar to Step 1 , we can easily prove $u_{1}^{\prime \prime}+r(\alpha-\beta) \geq u_{2}^{\prime \prime}$. Thus, 3.13) holds.
Step 3. The sequences $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$ are obtained by recurrence:

$$
\alpha_{0}=\alpha, \quad \beta_{0}=\beta, \quad \alpha_{n}=T \alpha_{n-1}, \quad \beta_{n}=T \beta_{n-1}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots
$$

From the results of Step 1 and Step 2, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{\prime \prime}=\beta_{0}^{\prime \prime}, \quad \alpha^{\prime \prime}=\alpha_{0}^{\prime \prime}, \quad \alpha^{\prime \prime}-r(\alpha-\beta) \leq \alpha_{n}^{\prime \prime}, \quad \beta_{n}^{\prime \prime} \leq \beta^{\prime \prime}+r(\alpha-\beta) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, from the definition of $T$ (see (3.8)), we get

$$
\alpha_{n}^{(4)}(x)-a \alpha_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)+b \alpha_{n}(x)=f_{1}\left(x, \alpha_{n-1}(x), \alpha_{n-1}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right),
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{n}^{(4)}(x) & =f_{1}\left(x, \alpha_{n-1}(x), \alpha_{n-1}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right)+a \alpha_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)-b \alpha_{n}(x) \\
& \leq f_{1}\left(x, \alpha_{n-1}(x), \alpha_{n-1}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right)+a\left[\beta^{\prime \prime}+r(\alpha-\beta)\right](x)-b \beta(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\alpha_{n}(0)=\alpha_{n}(1)=\alpha_{n}^{\prime \prime}(0)=\alpha_{n}^{\prime \prime}(1)=0
$$

Analogously,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{n}^{(4)}(x) & =f_{1}\left(x, \beta_{n-1}(x), \beta_{n-1}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right)+a \beta_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)-b \beta_{n}(x) \\
& \leq f_{1}\left(x, \beta_{n-1}(x), \beta_{n-1}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right)+a\left[\beta^{\prime \prime}+r(\alpha-\beta)\right](x)-b \beta(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\beta_{n}(0)=\beta_{n}(1)=\beta_{n}^{\prime \prime}(0)=\beta_{n}^{\prime \prime}(1)=0
$$

From (3.14), (3.15), (3.16), and the continuity of $f_{1}$, we have that there exists $M_{\alpha, \beta}>0$ depending only on $\alpha$ and $\beta$ (but not on $n$ or $x$ ) such that

$$
\left|\alpha_{n}^{(4)}(x)\right| \leq M_{\alpha, \beta}, \quad \text { for all } x \in[0,1] .
$$

Using the boundary condition (3.17), we get that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\xi_{n} \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\alpha_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\xi_{n}\right)=0
$$

This together with (3.20) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\alpha_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)\right|=\left|\alpha_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\xi_{n}\right)+\int_{\xi_{n}}^{x} \alpha_{n}^{(4)}(s) d s\right| \leq M_{\alpha, \beta} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

By combining (3.15) and (3.17), we can similarly get that there is $C_{\alpha, \beta}>0$ depending only on $\alpha$ and $\beta$ (but not on $n$ or $x$ ) such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left|\alpha_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta}, & \text { for all } x \in[0,1]  \tag{3.23}\\
\left|\alpha_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta}, & \text { for all } x \in[0,1]
\end{array}
$$

Thus, from (3.14), (3.22), (3.23), and (3.24), we know that $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $C^{3}[0,1]$. Similarly, $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $C^{3}[0,1]$.
Now, by using the fact that $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$ are bounded in $C^{3}[0,1]$, we can conclude that $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$ converge uniformly to the extremal solutions in $[0,1]$ of the problem (3.2) - (1.2). Therefore, $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$ converge uniformly to the extremal solutions in $[0,1]$ of the problem (1.1) - (1.2), too.

Example 3.1. Consider the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{(4)}(x)=-5 u^{\prime \prime}(x)-(u(x)+1)^{2}+\sin ^{2} \pi x+1,  \tag{3.25}\\
u(0)=u(1)=u^{\prime \prime}(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(1)=0 . \tag{3.26}
\end{gather*}
$$

It is clear that the results of [3, 7, 13, 14] can't apply to the example. On the other hand, it is easy to check that $\alpha=\sin \pi x, \quad \beta=0$ are upper and lower solutions of (3.25) - (3.26), respectively. Letting $a=-5, \quad b=4$, then all assumptions of Theorem 3.2 are fulfilled. Hence the problem (3.25) - 3.26) has at least one solution $u$, which satisfies $0 \leq u \leq \sin \pi x$.
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