



**NOTE ON QI'S INEQUALITY AND BOUGOFFA'S INEQUALITY**

WEN-JUN LIU, CHUN-CHENG LI, AND JIAN-WEI DONG

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
NANJING UNIVERSITY OF INFORMATION SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY  
NANJING, 210044 CHINA  
[lwjboy@126.com](mailto:lwjboy@126.com)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
NANJING UNIVERSITY OF INFORMATION SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY  
NANJING, 210044 CHINA  
[lichunchengcxy@126.com](mailto:lichunchengcxy@126.com)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS  
ZHENGZHOU INSTITUTE OF AERONAUTICAL INDUSTRY MANAGEMENT  
ZHENGZHOU 450015, CHINA  
[dongjianweiccm@163.com](mailto:dongjianweiccm@163.com)

*Received 28 March, 2006; accepted 19 September, 2006*

*Communicated by F. Qi*

---

ABSTRACT. In this paper, an answer to a problem proposed by L. Bougoffa is given. A consolidation of Qi's inequality and Bougoffa's inequality is obtained.

---

*Key words and phrases:* Qi's inequality, Bougoffa's inequality, Integral inequality, Cauchy's Mean Value Theorem.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26D15.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the paper [7] F. Qi proposed the following open problem, which has attracted much attention from some mathematicians (cf. [1, 5, 6, 8]).

**Problem 1.1.** Under what conditions does the inequality

$$(1.1) \quad \int_a^b [f(x)]^t dx \geq \left( \int_a^b f(x) dx \right)^{t-1}.$$

hold for  $t > 1$ ?

Similar to Problem 1.1, in the paper [2] L. Bougoffa proposed the following:

---

ISSN (electronic): 1443-5756

© 2006 Victoria University. All rights reserved.

The first author was supported by the Science Research Foundation of NUIST, and the third author was supported by Youth Natural Science Foundation of Zhengzhou Institute of Aeronautical Industry Management under Grant No.Q05K066.

The authors wish to express his gratitude to the anonymous referee for a number of valuable comments and suggestions.

098-06

**Problem 1.2.** Under what conditions does the inequality

$$(1.2) \quad \int_a^b [f(x)]^t dx \leq \left( \int_a^b f(x) dx \right)^{1-t}.$$

hold for  $t < 1$ ?

By using Hölder's inequality, L. Bougoffa obtained an answer to Problem 1.2 as follows

**Proposition 1.1.** For a given positive integer  $p \geq 2$ , if  $0 < m \leq f(x) \leq M$  on  $[a, b]$  with  $M \leq m^{(p-1)^2}/(b-a)^p$ , then

$$(1.3) \quad \int_a^b [f(x)]^{\frac{1}{p}} dx \leq \left( \int_a^b f(x) dx \right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}.$$

We can see that the condition

$$(1.4) \quad 0 < m \leq f(x) \leq M \text{ on } [a, b] \text{ with } M \leq m^{(p-1)^2}/(b-a)^p$$

is not satisfied when  $\min_{[a,b]} f(x) = 0$ .

In this paper, we firstly give an answer to Problem 1.2, in which we allow  $\min_{[a,b]} f(x) = 0$  and  $p$  unnecessarily to be an integer. Secondly, we obtain a consolidation of Qi's inequality and Bougoffa's inequality.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS AND PROOFS

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $p > 2$  be a positive number and  $f(x)$  be continuous on  $[a, b]$  and differentiable on  $(a, b)$  such that  $f(a) = 0$ . If  $[f^{p-2}]'(x) \geq p^p(p-2)/(p-1)^{p+1}$  for  $x \in (a, b)$ , then

$$(2.1) \quad \int_a^b [f(x)]^{\frac{1}{p}} dx \leq \left( \int_a^b f(x) dx \right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}.$$

If  $0 \leq [f^{p-2}]'(x) \leq p^p(p-2)/(p-1)^{p+1}$  for  $x \in (a, b)$ , then the inequality (2.1) reverses.

*Proof.* If  $f \equiv 0$  on  $[a, b]$ , then it is trivial that the equation in (2.1) holds. Suppose now that  $f$  is not identically 0 on  $[a, b]$  and  $[f^{p-2}]'(x) \geq 0$  for  $x \in (a, b)$ , we may assume  $f(x) > 0, x \in (a, b]$ . This implies that both sides of (2.1) are not 0.

If  $[f^{p-2}]'(x) \geq p^p(p-2)/(p-1)^{p+1}$  for  $x \in (a, b)$ , then  $f(x) > 0$  for  $x \in (a, b]$ . Thus both sides of (2.1) are not 0. By using Cauchy's Mean Value Theorem twice, we have

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\int_a^b [f(x)]^{\frac{1}{p}} dx}{\left( \int_a^b f(x) dx \right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}} &= \frac{[f(b_1)]^{\frac{1}{p}-1}}{\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) \left( \int_a^{b_1} f(x) dx \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}}} \quad (a < b_1 < b) \\ &= \left( \frac{\int_a^{b_1} f(x) dx}{\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^p [f(b_1)]^{p-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^p (p-1) [f(b_2)]^{p-3} f'(b_2)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (a < b_2 < b_1) \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{\frac{(p-1)^{p+1}}{p^p(p-2)} [f^{p-2}]'(b_2)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

So the inequality (2.1) holds.

If  $0 \leq [f^{p-2}]'(x) \leq p^p(p-2)/(p-1)^{p+1}$ , then  $\frac{(p-1)^{p+1}}{p^p(p-2)}[f^{p-2}](b_2) \leq 1$ , which, together with (2.2), implies that the inequality (2.1) reverses.  $\square$

In the paper [3], Y. Chen and J. Kimball gave an answer to Problem 1.1 as follows

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $p > 2$  be a positive number and  $f(x)$  be continuous on  $[a, b]$  and differentiable on  $(a, b)$  such that  $f(a) = 0$ . If  $[f^{\frac{1}{p-2}}]'(x) \geq (p-1)^{\frac{1}{p-2}-1}$  for  $x \in (a, b)$ , then

$$(2.3) \quad \left( \int_a^b f(x) dx \right)^{p-1} \leq \int_a^b [f(x)]^p dx.$$

If  $0 \leq [f^{\frac{1}{p-2}}]'(x) \leq (p-1)^{\frac{1}{p-2}-1}$  for  $x \in (a, b)$ , then the inequality (2.3) reverses.

Thus, combining Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.2, we can obtain another result of this paper, which gives a consolidation of Qi's inequality and Bougoffa's inequality. To our best knowledge, this result is not found in the literature.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $p > 2$  be a positive number and  $f(x)$  be continuous on  $[a, b]$  and differentiable on  $(a, b)$  such that  $f(a) = 0$ .

(1) If  $[f^{p-2}]'(x) \geq p^p(p-2)/(p-1)^{p+1}$  and  $[f^{\frac{1}{p-2}}]'(x) \geq (p-1)^{\frac{1}{p-2}-1}$  for  $x \in (a, b)$ , then

$$(2.4) \quad \left( \int_a^b [f(x)]^{\frac{1}{p}} dx \right)^p \leq \left( \int_a^b f(x) dx \right)^{p-1} \leq \int_a^b [f(x)]^p dx.$$

(2) If  $0 \leq [f^{p-2}]'(x) \leq p^p(p-2)/(p-1)^{p+1}$  and  $0 \leq [f^{\frac{1}{p-2}}]'(x) \leq (p-1)^{\frac{1}{p-2}-1}$  for  $x \in (a, b)$ , then the inequality (2.4) reverses.

**Corollary 2.4.** Let  $f(x)$  be continuous on  $[a, b]$  and differentiable on  $(a, b)$  such that  $f(a) = 0$ .

(1) If  $f'(x) \geq \frac{27}{16}$  for  $x \in (a, b)$ , then

$$(2.5) \quad \left( \int_a^b [f(x)]^{\frac{1}{3}} dx \right)^3 \leq \left( \int_a^b f(x) dx \right)^2 < \int_a^b [f(x)]^3 dx.$$

(2) If  $0 \leq f'(x) \leq 1$  for  $x \in (a, b)$ , then

$$(2.6) \quad \left( \int_a^b [f(x)]^{\frac{1}{3}} dx \right)^3 > \left( \int_a^b f(x) dx \right)^2 \geq \int_a^b [f(x)]^3 dx.$$

*Proof.* Set  $p = 3$  in Theorem 2.3.  $\square$

In order to illustrate a possible practical use of Corollary 2.4, we shall give two simple examples in which we can apply inequality (2.5) and (2.6).

**Example 2.1.** Let  $f(x) = e^x - e$  on  $[1, 2]$ , we see that  $f'(x) > e > \frac{27}{16}$  for  $x \in (1, 2)$ , other conditions of Corollary 2.4 are fulfilled and straightforward computation yields

$$\left( \int_1^2 (e^x - e)^{\frac{1}{3}} dx \right)^3 \approx 1.56 < \left( \int_1^2 (e^x - e) dx \right)^2 \approx 3.81 < \int_1^2 (e^x - e)^3 dx \approx 18.74.$$

**Example 2.2.** Let  $f(x) = \frac{e^x - e}{10}$  on  $[1, 2]$ , then  $\frac{e}{10} \leq f'(x) \leq \frac{e^2}{10}$ , other conditions of Corollary 2.4 are fulfilled and direct calculation produces that

$$\left[ \int_1^2 \left( \frac{e^x - e}{10} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} dx \right]^3 \approx 0.156 > \left( \int_1^2 \frac{e^x - e}{10} dx \right)^2 \approx 0.038 > \int_1^2 \left( \frac{e^x - e}{10} \right)^3 dx \approx 0.019.$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] L. BOUGOFFA, Notes on Qi type integral inequalities, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, **4**(4) (2003), Art. 77. [ONLINE: <http://jipam.vu.edu.au/article.php?sid=318>].
- [2] L. BOUGOFFA, An integral inequality similar to Qi's inequality, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, **6**(1) (2005), Art. 27. [ONLINE: <http://jipam.vu.edu.au/article.php?sid=496>].
- [3] Y. CHEN AND J. KIMBALL, Note on an open problem of Feng Qi, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, **7**(1) (2005), Art. 4. [ONLINE: <http://jipam.vu.edu.au/article.php?sid=621>].
- [4] J.-CH. KUANG, *Applied Inequalities*, 3rd edition, Shandong Science and Technology Press, Jinan, China, 2004. (Chinese)
- [5] S. MAZOUZI AND F. QI, On an open problem regarding an integral inequality, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, **4**(2) (2003), Art. 31. [ONLINE: <http://jipam.vu.edu.au/article.php?sid=269>].
- [6] T.K. POGANY, On an open problem of F. Qi, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, **3**(4) (2002), Art. 54. [ONLINE: <http://jipam.vu.edu.au/article.php?sid=206>].
- [7] F. QI, Several integral inequalities, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, **1**(2) (2000), Art. 19. [ONLINE: <http://jipam.vu.edu.au/article.php?sid=113>].
- [8] K.W. YU AND F. QI, A short note on an integral inequality, *RGMI Res. Rep. Coll.*, **4**(1) (2001), Art. 4, 23–25. [ONLINE: <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v4n1.html>].