## ON THE INTERPOINT DISTANCE SUM INEQUALITY

#### YONG XIA AND HONG-YING LIU

LMIB of the Ministry of Education School of Mathematics and System Sciences Beihang University, Beijing, 100191, People's Republic of China. EMail: dearyxia@gmail.com liuhongying@buaa.edu.cn

Received:	10 April, 2009.		44	••		
Accepted:	28 September, 2009		•	<b>F</b>		
Communicated by:	P.S. Bullen		Page 1 of 20			
2000 AMS Sub. Class.:	51D20, 51K05, 52C26.					
Key words:	Combinatorial geometry, Distance geometry, Interpoint distance sum inequality, Optimization.		Full Screen			
Abstract:	Let <i>n</i> points be arbitrarily placed in $B(D)$ , a disk in $\mathbb{R}^2$ having diameter <i>D</i> . Denote by $l_{ij}$ the Euclidean distance between point <i>i</i> and <i>j</i> . In this paper, we		Clo	ose		
	show $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \min_{j \neq i} l_{ij}^2 \right) \le \frac{D^2}{0.3972}.$	jo in m	urnal of i pure an athemat	i <mark>nequalit</mark> d applie ics	ie d	

We then extend the result to  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .



© 2007 Victoria University. All rights reserved.

### Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Main Result	5
3	Extension	13



#### journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

#### Introduction 1.

To estimate upper bounds on the maximum number of simultaneously successful wireless transmissions and the maximum achievable per-node end-to-end throughput under the general network scenario, Arpacioglu and Haas [1] introduced the following interesting inequalities. For the sake of clarity in presentation, we use the notation  $argmin_{i \in J} \{S_i\}$  to denote the index of the smallest point in the set  $\{S_i\}$  $(j \in J)$ . If there are several smallest elements, we take the first one.

**Theorem 1.1 ([1]).** Let B(D) be a disk in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  having diameter D. Let n points be arbitrarily placed in B(D). Suppose each point is indexed by a distinct integer between 1 and n. Let  $l_{ij}$  be the Euclidean distance between points i and j. Define the mth closest point to point i,  $a_{im}$ , and the Euclidean distance between point i and the mth closest point to point i,  $u_{im}$ , as follows:

$$a_{i1} := \underset{\substack{j \in \{1,2,\dots,n\},\\j \neq i}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \{l_{ij}\}, \quad 1 \le i \le n,$$
  
$$a_{im} := \underset{\substack{j \in \{1,2,\dots,n\},\\j \notin \{i\} \cup \{a_{ik}\}_{k=1}^{m-1}}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \{l_{ij}\}, \quad 1 \le i \le n, \ 2 \le m \le n-1,$$
  
$$u_{im} := l_{ia_{im}}, \quad 1 \le i \le n, \ 1 \le m \le n-1.$$

Then

(1.1) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{im}^2 \le \frac{mD^2}{c_2}, \quad 1 \le m \le n-1,$$

where

$$c_2 := \frac{2}{3} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} \approx 0.3910.$$



mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

© 2007 Victoria University. All rights reserved.

We observed [2] that the interpoint distance sum inequality (1.1) can be simply yet significantly strengthened.

**Proposition 1.2.** Define  $B(D), D, n, l_{ij}, a_{im}, u_{im}, c_2$  as in Theorem 1.1. Then

(1.2) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{im}^2 \le \frac{mD^2}{c_2}, \quad 1 \le m < c_2 n,$$

(1.3) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{im}^2 \le nD^2, \quad c_2 n < m \le n-1.$$

The proof follows from (1.1) and the fact that  $u_{im} \leq D$ .

As a direct application, we improved [2] the upper bounds on the maximum number of simultaneously successful wireless transmissions and the maximum achievable per-node end-to-end throughput under the same general network scenario as in Arpacioglu and Haas [1].



#### 2. Main Result

In this section, we show that the interpoint distance sum inequality (1.1) when m = 1 can be further improved.

**Theorem 2.1.** Define  $B(D), D, n, l_{ij}, a_{im}, u_{im}, c_2$  as in Theorem 1.1. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i1}^2 \le \frac{D^2}{0.3972}.$$

*Proof.* The case n = 2 is trivial to verify since m = 1 and  $u_{im} \leq D$ . So we assume  $n \geq 3$ . The proof is based on that of Theorem 1.1 [1]. Denote the disk of diameter x and center i by  $B_i(x)$ . Define the following sets of disks

$$R_m := \{B_i(u_{im}) : 1 \le i \le n\}, \quad 1 \le m \le n - 1$$

First consider the disks in  $R_1$ . As shown in [1], all disks in  $R_1$  are non-overlapping, i.e., the distance between the centers of any two disks is smaller than the sum of the radii of the two disks.

Denote by A(X) the area of a region X. We try to find a lower bound on  $f_{im} := A(B(D) \cap B_i(u_{im}))/A(B_i(u_{im}))$  for every  $1 \le i \le n$  and  $1 \le m \le n-1$ . Pick any point S from the boundary of B(D) and consider the overlap ratio

$$f_{im}^{S} := \frac{A(B(D) \cap B_{S}(u_{im}))}{A(B_{S}(u_{im}))}, \qquad 1 \le i \le n, \ 1 \le m \le n-1.$$

Using Figure 1, one can obtain the geometrical computation formula:  $f_{im}^S = f(y)|_{y=\frac{u_{im}}{D}}$ , where

(2.1) 
$$f(y) := \frac{1}{\pi} \left( 1 - \frac{2}{y^2} \right) \arccos\left(\frac{y}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{y^2} - \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{1}{y^2} - \frac{1}{4}}.$$



#### journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics



Figure 1: Computation of the overlap ratio between B(D) and  $B_s(u_{im})$ .

Actually f(y) is a decreasing function of y. We have  $f_{im}^S \ge f(1)$  due to  $u_{im} \le D$ . Also  $f_{im} \ge f_{im}^S$ . Setting  $c_2 := f(1)$ , we obtain the following lower bound on  $f_{im}$  for every  $1 \le i \le n$  and  $1 \le m \le n - 1$ ,

$$f_{im} \ge c_2$$
, where  $c_2 = \frac{2}{3} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} \approx 0.3910$ 

Therefore the area of the parts of the disks in  $R_m$  that lie in B(D) is at least  $c_2A(B(D))$ . Hence, for every  $1 \le i \le n$  and  $1 \le m \le n-1$ ,

$$(2.2) A(B_i(u_{im}) \cap B(D)) \ge c_2 A(B_i(u_{im})).$$



#### journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

For a given value m, adding the n inequalities in (2.2), we obtain

(2.3) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} A(B_i(u_{im}) \cap B(D)) \ge c_2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} A(B_i(u_{im})), \quad \forall \ 1 \le m \le n-1.$$

Since all disks in  $R_1$  are non-overlapping, we have

(2.4) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} A(B_i(u_{im}) \cap B(D)) \le A(B(D)).$$

Inequalities (2.3) and (2.4) imply

$$A(B(D)) \ge c_2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} A(B_i(u_{im})).$$

Notice that  $A(B(D)) = \pi D^2/4$  and  $A(B_i(u_{i1})) = \pi u_{i1}^2/4$ . Therefore,

(2.5) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i1}^2 \le \frac{D^2}{c_2}.$$

Also, it is easy to see that f(y), defined in (2.1), is a concave function. Then f(y)has a linear underestimation, denoted by

$$l(y) := c_2 + k - ky,$$

where

$$k := \frac{f(0) - f(1)}{1 - 0} = \lim_{y \to 0} f(y) - f(1) = 0.5 - c_2 \approx 0.1090.$$

Figure 2 shows the variation of f(y) and l(y), respectively. Figure 3 shows the variation of f(y) - l(y) with respect to y.



mathematics issn: 1443-5756



Figure 2: Variations of f(y) and l(y).

Now we have

$$f_{im} \ge f_{im}^S = f\left(\frac{u_{im}}{D}\right) \ge c_2 + k - k\frac{u_{im}}{D}$$

Therefore, for every  $1 \le i \le n$  and  $1 \le m \le n-1$ ,

(2.6) 
$$A(B_i(u_{im}) \cap B(D)) \ge (c_2 + k)A(B_i(u_{im})) - k\frac{u_{im}}{D}A(B_i(u_{im})).$$



#### journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics



Figure 3: Variation of f(y) - l(y).

Adding all the n inequalities in (2.6) for a given m, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} A(B_i(u_{im}) \cap B(D))$$
  

$$\geq (c_2 + k) \sum_{i=1}^{n} A(B_i(u_{im})) - \frac{k}{D} \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{im} A(B_i(u_{im})), \quad \forall 1 \le m \le n-1.$$

Using (2.4) and the facts  $A(B(D)) = \pi D^2/4$  and  $A(B_i(u_{i1})) = \pi u_{i1}^2/4$ , we obtain

(2.7) 
$$D^2 \ge (c_2 + k) \sum_{i=1}^n u_{i1}^2 - \frac{k}{D} \sum_{i=1}^n u_{i1}^3.$$



in pure and applied mathematics

Now consider the following optimization problem  $(n \ge 3)$ :

(2.8) 
$$\max \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i1}^{3}$$

(2.10)  $0 \le u_{i1} \le D, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$ 

The objective function (2.8) is strictly convex and the feasible region defined by (2.9) – (2.10) is also convex. Since  $n \ge 3$  and  $2 < \frac{1}{c_2} < 3$ , the inequality (2.9) holds at any of the optimal solutions. Therefore the optimal solutions of (2.8) – (2.10) must occur at the vertices of the set

$$\left\{ (u_{i1}) : \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i1}^2 = \frac{D^2}{c_2}, \ 0 \le u_{i1} \le D, i = 1, \dots, n \right\}.$$

Any  $(u_{i1})$  with two components lying strictly between 0 and D cannot be a vertex. Therefore every optimal solution of (2.8) - (2.10) has  $\left\lfloor \frac{1}{c_2} \right\rfloor$  components with the value D, one component with the value  $\sqrt{\frac{1}{c_2} - \left\lfloor \frac{1}{c_2} \right\rfloor} D$  and the others are zeros, where  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  is the largest integer less than or equal to x. Then the optimal objective value is

$$\left\lfloor \frac{1}{c_2} \right\rfloor D^3 + \left( \frac{1}{c_2} - \left\lfloor \frac{1}{c_2} \right\rfloor \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} D^3$$

In other words, we have proved for valid  $u_{i1}$  that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i1}^{3} \leq \left\lfloor \frac{1}{c_2} \right\rfloor D^3 + \left( \frac{1}{c_2} - \left\lfloor \frac{1}{c_2} \right\rfloor \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} D^3$$



#### journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

Now (2.7) becomes

(2.11) 
$$D^{2} \ge c_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i1}^{2} + k \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i1}^{2} - \left( \left\lfloor \frac{1}{c_{2}} \right\rfloor + \left( \frac{1}{c_{2}} - \left\lfloor \frac{1}{c_{2}} \right\rfloor \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \right) D^{2} \right).$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i1}^2 \leq \frac{D^2 \left( 1 + k \left( \left\lfloor \frac{1}{c_2} \right\rfloor + \left( \frac{1}{c_2} - \left\lfloor \frac{1}{c_2} \right\rfloor \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \right) \right)}{c_2 \left( 1 + k \frac{1}{c_2} \right)}.$$

Comparing with (2.5), we actually obtain a new  $c_2^+$ :

(2.12) 
$$c_2^+ = \frac{c_2 \left(1 + k \frac{1}{c_2}\right)}{1 + k \left(\left\lfloor \frac{1}{c_2} \right\rfloor + \left(\frac{1}{c_2} - \left\lfloor \frac{1}{c_2} \right\rfloor\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)} \approx 0.3957$$

such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i1}^2 \le \frac{D^2}{c_2^+}$$

Iteratively repeating the same approach, we obtain a sequence  $\{c^{(i)}\}$  (i = 1, 2, ...), where  $c^{(0)} = c_2$ ,  $c^{(1)} = c_2^+$  and

(2.13) 
$$c^{(i+1)} = \frac{0.5}{1 + k \left( \left\lfloor \frac{1}{c^{(i)}} \right\rfloor + \left( \frac{1}{c^{(i)}} - \left\lfloor \frac{1}{c^{(i)}} \right\rfloor \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \right)}$$

Clearly, we can conclude that  $c^{(i)} < \frac{1}{2}$  for all *i* since the denominator above is greater than 1. Secondly, we prove that  $c^{(i)} > \frac{1}{3}$  for all *i* by mathematical induction. We



Title Page						
Contents						
44	••					
•	►					
Page 11 of 20						
Go Back						
Full Screen						
Close						
urnal of inequalities						

#### journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

have shown that  $c^{(0)} > \frac{1}{3}$  and  $c^{(1)} > \frac{1}{3}$ . Now assume  $c^{(i)} > \frac{1}{3}$ . Since

$$\left\lfloor \frac{1}{c^{(i)}} \right\rfloor + \left( \frac{1}{c^{(i)}} - \left\lfloor \frac{1}{c^{(i)}} \right\rfloor \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \le \left\lfloor \frac{1}{c^{(i)}} \right\rfloor + \left( \frac{1}{c^{(i)}} - \left\lfloor \frac{1}{c^{(i)}} \right\rfloor \right) = \frac{1}{c^{(i)}},$$

we have

$$c^{(i+1)} = \frac{0.5}{1 + k\left(\left\lfloor\frac{1}{c^{(i)}}\right\rfloor + \left(\frac{1}{c^{(i)}} - \left\lfloor\frac{1}{c^{(i)}}\right\rfloor\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)} \ge \frac{0.5}{1 + \frac{k}{c^{(i)}}} > \frac{0.5}{1 + 3k} > \frac{1}{3}.$$

To sum up, we obtain  $\frac{1}{3} < c^{(i)} < \frac{1}{2}$ , which implies that  $\lfloor \frac{1}{c^{(i)}} \rfloor = 2$ . Therefore, the iterative formula of  $c^{(i+1)}$  (2.13) becomes

$$c^{(i+1)} = \frac{0.5}{1 + k \left(2 + \left(\frac{1}{c^{(i)}} - 2\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)}$$

It is easy to verify that the sequence  $\{c^{(i)}\}\$  is monotone increasing with a limit value 0.3972.



Close

#### journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

#### 3. Extension

**Theorem 3.1.** Let B(D) be a sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  having diameter D. Let n points be arbitrarily placed in B(D).  $l_{ij}, a_{im}, u_{im}$  are similarly defined as in Theorem 1.1. Then

(3.1) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i1}^{3} \le \frac{D^{3}}{0.3168},$$

(3.2) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{im}^{3} \le \frac{mD^{3}}{c_{3}}, \quad 2 \le m < c_{3}n,$$

(3.3) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{im}^3 \le nD^3, \quad c_3n < m \le n-1,$$

where  $c_3 = 0.3125$ .

*Proof.* To begin with, we prove the first inequality (3.1). The case n = 2 is trivial since m = 1 and  $u_{im} \leq D$ . So we assume that  $n \geq 3$ . The proof is based on that of Theorem 1.1 [1]. Denote the sphere of diameter x and center i by  $B_i(x)$ . Define the following sets of spheres

$$R_m := \{B_i(u_{im}) : 1 \le i \le n\}, \quad 1 \le m \le n - 1$$

First consider the spheres in  $R_1$ . As shown in [1], all spheres in  $R_1$  are nonoverlapping, i.e., the distance between the centers of any two spheres is smaller than the sum of the radii of the two spheres.

Denote by A(X) the volume of a region X. We try to find a lower bound on  $f_{im} := V(B(D) \cap B_i(u_{im}))/V(B_i(u_{im}))$  for every  $1 \le i \le n$  and  $1 \le m \le n-1$ .



#### journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

Pick any point S from the boundary of B(D) and consider the overlap ratio

(3.4) 
$$f_{im}^S := \frac{V(B(D) \cap B_S(u_{im}))}{V(B_S(u_{im}))}, \quad 1 \le i \le n, \ 1 \le m \le n-1.$$

Using a 3-dimensional version of Figure 1, one can obtain the geometrical computation formula:  $f_{im}^S = f(y)|_{y=\frac{u_{im}}{\Omega}}$ , where

$$f(y) := \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3y}{16}$$

Actually f(y) is a decreasing function of y. We have  $f_{im}^S \ge f(1)$  due to  $u_{im} \le D$ . Also  $f_{im} \ge f_{im}^S$ . Setting  $c_3 := f(1)$ , we obtain the following lower bound on  $f_{im}$  for every  $1 \le i \le n$  and  $1 \le m \le n-1$ ,

$$f_{im} \ge c_3$$
, where  $c_3 = \frac{5}{16} = 0.3125$ 

Therefore the area of the parts of the disks in  $R_m$  that lie in B(D) is at least  $c_3A(B(D))$ . Hence, for every  $1 \le i \le n$  and  $1 \le m \le n-1$ ,

(3.5) 
$$V(B_i(u_{im}) \cap B(D)) \ge c_3 V(B_i(u_{im})).$$

For a given value m, adding the n inequalities in (3.5), we obtain

(3.6) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} V(B_i(u_{im}) \cap B(D)) \ge c_3 \sum_{i=1}^{n} V(B_i(u_{im})), \quad \forall 1 \le m \le n-1.$$

Since all spheres in  $R_1$  are non-overlapping, we have

(3.7) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} V(B_i(u_{im}) \cap B(D)) \le V(B(D)).$$



# in pure and applied mathematics

Inequalities (3.6) and (3.7) imply

$$V(B(D)) \ge c_3 \sum_{i=1}^{n} V(B_i(u_{im})).$$

Notice that  $V(B(D)) = \pi D^3/6$  and  $V(B_i(u_{i1})) = \pi u_{i1}^3/6$ . Therefore,

(3.8) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i1}^{3} \le \frac{D^{3}}{c_{3}}.$$

Defining  $k = \frac{3}{16} = 0.1875$ , we have

$$f_{im} \ge f_{im}^S = f\left(\frac{u_{im}}{D}\right) \ge c_3 + k - k\frac{u_{im}}{D}.$$

Therefore, for every  $1 \le i \le n$  and  $1 \le m \le n-1$ ,

(3.9) 
$$V(B_i(u_{im}) \cap B(D)) \ge (c_3 + k)V(B_i(u_{im})) - k\frac{u_{im}}{D}V(B_i(u_{im})).$$

Adding the n inequalities in (3.9) for a given m, we obtain

(3.10) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} V(B_i(u_{im}) \cap B(D))$$
$$\geq (c_3 + k) \sum_{i=1}^{n} V(B_i(u_{im})) - \frac{k}{D} \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{im} V(B_i(u_{im})), \quad \forall 1 \le m \le n-1$$

Using (3.7) and the facts  $V(B(D)) = \pi D^3/6$  and  $V(B_i(u_{i1})) = \pi u_{i1}^3/6$ , we have

(3.11) 
$$D^3 \ge (c_3 + k) \sum_{i=1}^n u_{i1}^3 - \frac{k}{D} \sum_{i=1}^n u_{i1}^4.$$



#### journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

Now consider the following optimization problems  $(n \ge 3)$ :

(3.12) 
$$\max \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i1}^{4}$$

$$(3.14) 0 \le u_{i1} \le D, i = 1, \dots, n.$$

The objective function (3.12) is strictly convex and the feasible region defined by (3.13) - (3.14) is also convex. Since  $n \ge 3$  and  $2 < \frac{1}{c_3} < 3$ , the inequality (3.13) holds at any of the optimal solutions. Therefore the optimal solutions of (3.12) - (3.14) must occur at vertices of the set

$$\left\{ (u_{i1}) : \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i1}^{3} = \frac{D^{3}}{c_{3}}, \ 0 \le u_{i1} \le D, i = 1, \dots, n \right\}.$$

Any  $(u_{i1})$  with two components lying strictly between 0 and D cannot be a vertex. Therefore every optimal solution of (3.12) - (3.14) has  $\left\lfloor \frac{1}{c_3} \right\rfloor$  components with the value D, one component with the value  $\sqrt{\frac{1}{c_3} - \left\lfloor \frac{1}{c_3} \right\rfloor}D$  and the others are zeros, where  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  is the largest integer less than or equal to x. Then the optimal objective value is

$$\left\lfloor \frac{1}{c_3} \right\rfloor D^4 + \left( \frac{1}{c_3} - \left\lfloor \frac{1}{c_3} \right\rfloor \right)^{\frac{4}{3}} D^4.$$



#### journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

In other words, we have proved for valid  $u_{i1}$  that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i1}^{4} \le \left\lfloor \frac{1}{c_{3}} \right\rfloor D^{4} + \left( \frac{1}{c_{3}} - \left\lfloor \frac{1}{c_{3}} \right\rfloor \right)^{\frac{4}{3}} D^{4}.$$

Now (3.11) becomes

(3.15) 
$$D^3 \ge c_3 \sum_{i=1}^n u_{i1}^3 + k \left( \sum_{i=1}^n u_{i1}^3 - \left( \left\lfloor \frac{1}{c_3} \right\rfloor + \left( \frac{1}{c_3} - \left\lfloor \frac{1}{c_3} \right\rfloor \right)^{\frac{4}{3}} \right) D^3 \right).$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i1}^{3} \le \frac{D^{3} \left( 1 + k \left( \left\lfloor \frac{1}{c_{3}} \right\rfloor + \left( \frac{1}{c_{3}} - \left\lfloor \frac{1}{c_{3}} \right\rfloor \right)^{\frac{4}{3}} \right) \right)}{c_{3} (1 + k \frac{1}{c_{3}})}$$

Comparing with (3.8), we actually obtain a new  $c_3^+$ :

(3.16) 
$$c_3^+ = \frac{c_3 \left(1 + k \frac{1}{c_3}\right)}{1 + k \left(\left\lfloor \frac{1}{c_3} \right\rfloor + \left(\frac{1}{c_3} - \left\lfloor \frac{1}{c_3} \right\rfloor\right)^{\frac{4}{3}}\right)} \approx 0.3156$$

such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i1}^3 \le \frac{D^3}{c_3^+}.$$

Iteratively repeating the same approach, we obtain a sequence  $\{c^{(i)}\}$  (i = 1, 2, ...), where  $c^{(0)} = c_3$ ,  $c^{(1)} = c_3^+$  and

(3.17) 
$$c^{(i+1)} = \frac{0.5}{1 + k \left( \left\lfloor \frac{1}{c^{(i)}} \right\rfloor + \left( \frac{1}{c^{(i)}} - \left\lfloor \frac{1}{c^{(i)}} \right\rfloor \right)^{\frac{4}{3}} \right)}$$



#### journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

Close

First we conclude that  $c^{(i)} < \frac{1}{3}$  for all *i*. We prove this by mathematical induction. We have  $c^{(0)} = 0.3125 < \frac{1}{3}$ . Now assume that  $c^{(i)} < \frac{1}{3}$ , which also implies  $\lfloor \frac{1}{c^{(i)}} \rfloor \ge 3$ . Then based on (3.17), we have

$$c^{(i+1)} = \frac{0.5}{1 + k \left( \left\lfloor \frac{1}{c^{(i)}} \right\rfloor + \left( \frac{1}{c^{(i)}} - \left\lfloor \frac{1}{c^{(i)}} \right\rfloor \right)^{\frac{4}{3}} \right)} \le \frac{0.5}{1 + k \left\lfloor \frac{1}{c^{(i)}} \right\rfloor} \le \frac{0.5}{1 + 3k} < \frac{1}{3}.$$

Secondly, we prove

for all i by mathematical induction. We have shown  $c^{(0)} > \frac{1}{4}.$  Now assume  $c^{(i)} > \frac{1}{4}.$  Since

 $c^{(i)} > \frac{1}{4}$ 

$$\left\lfloor \frac{1}{c^{(i)}} \right\rfloor + \left( \frac{1}{c^{(i)}} - \left\lfloor \frac{1}{c^{(i)}} \right\rfloor \right)^{\frac{4}{3}} \le \left\lfloor \frac{1}{c^{(i)}} \right\rfloor + \left( \frac{1}{c^{(i)}} - \left\lfloor \frac{1}{c^{(i)}} \right\rfloor \right) = \frac{1}{c^{(i)}},$$

we have

$$c^{(i+1)} = \frac{0.5}{1 + k\left(\left\lfloor\frac{1}{c^{(i)}}\right\rfloor + \left(\frac{1}{c^{(i)}} - \left\lfloor\frac{1}{c^{(i)}}\right\rfloor\right)^{\frac{4}{3}}\right)} \ge \frac{0.5}{1 + \frac{k}{c^{(i)}}} > \frac{0.5}{1 + 4k} > \frac{1}{4}.$$

To sum up, we obtain  $\frac{1}{4} < c^{(i)} < \frac{1}{3}$ , which implies that  $\lfloor \frac{1}{c^{(i)}} \rfloor = 3$ . Therefore, the iterative formula (2.13) of  $c^{(i+1)}$  becomes

$$c^{(i+1)} = \frac{0.5}{1 + k \left(2 + \left(\frac{1}{c^{(i)}} - 3\right)^{\frac{4}{3}}\right)}$$



#### journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

It is easy to verify that the sequence  $\{c^{(i)}\}$  is monotone increasing with a limit value 0.3168.

Next, consider the spheres in  $R_m$  for every  $2 \le m \le n-1$ . In this case, there can be overlaps between some pairs of spheres in  $R_m$ . However, as shown in [1], any arbitrarily chosen point within B(D) can belong to at most m overlapping spheres from  $R_m$ . Then for every  $2 \le m \le n-1$ , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} V(B_i(u_{im}) \cap B(D)) \le mV(B(D)).$$

It follows that

$$mD^3 \ge c_3 \sum_{i=1}^n u_{i1}^3.$$

The last inequality (3.3) directly follows from the fact  $u_{im} \leq D$ .



© 2007 Victoria University. All rights reserved.

### References

- O. ARPACIOGLU AND Z.J. HAAS, On the scalability and capacity of planar wireless networks with omnidirectional antennas, *Wirel. Commun. Mob. Comput.*, 4 (2004), 263–279.
- [2] Y. XIA AND H.Y. LIU, Improving upper bound on the capacity of planar wireless networks with omnidirectional antennas, in Baozong Yuan and Xiaofang Tang (Eds.) *Proceedings of the IET 2nd International Conference on Wireless, Mobile* & Multimedia Networks, (2008), 191–194.



in pure and applie mathematics