

## Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics

http://jipam.vu.edu.au/

Volume 6, Issue 2, Article 49, 2005

## CHARACTERIZATION OF THE TRACE BY YOUNG'S INEQUALITY

A.M. BIKCHENTAEV AND O.E. TIKHONOV

RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS KAZAN STATE UNIVERSITY NUZHINA 17, KAZAN, 420008, RUSSIA. Airat.Bikchentaev@ksu.ru

Oleg.Tikhonov@ksu.ru

Received 25 March, 2005; accepted 11 April, 2005 Communicated by T. Ando

ABSTRACT. Let  $\varphi$  be a positive linear functional on the algebra of  $n \times n$  complex matrices and p, q be positive numbers such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . We prove that if for any pair A, B of positive semi-definite  $n \times n$  matrices the inequality

$$\varphi(|AB|) \le \frac{\varphi(A^p)}{p} + \frac{\varphi(B^q)}{q}$$

holds, then  $\varphi$  is a positive scalar multiple of the trace.

Key words and phrases: Characterization of the trace, Matrix Young's inequality.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 15A45.

In what follows,  $\mathcal{M}_n$  stands for the \*-algebra of  $n \times n$  complex matrices,  $\mathcal{M}_n^+$  stands for the cone of positive semi-definite matrices, p and q are positive numbers such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . For  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$ , |A| is understood as the modulus  $|A| = (A^*A)^{1/2}$ .

T. Ando proved in [1] that for any pair  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n$  there is a unitary  $U \in \mathcal{M}_n$  such that

$$U^*|AB^*|U \le \frac{|A|^p}{p} + \frac{|B|^q}{q}.$$

It follows immediately that for any pair  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$  the following trace version of Young's inequality holds:

$$\operatorname{Tr}(|AB|) \le \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(A^p)}{p} + \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(B^q)}{q}$$

The aim of this note is to show that the latter inequality characterizes the trace among the positive linear functionals on  $M_n$ .

ISSN (electronic): 1443-5756

<sup>© 2005</sup> Victoria University. All rights reserved.

Supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant no. 05-01-00799).

<sup>089-05</sup> 

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\varphi$  be a positive linear functional on  $\mathcal{M}_n$  such that for any pair  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$  the inequality

(1) 
$$\varphi(|AB|) \le \frac{\varphi(A^p)}{p} + \frac{\varphi(B^q)}{q}$$

holds. Then  $\varphi = k \operatorname{Tr} for$  some nonnegative number k.

*Proof.* As is well known, every positive linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $\mathcal{M}_n$  can be represented in the form  $\varphi(\cdot) = \operatorname{Tr}(S_{\varphi} \cdot)$  for some  $S_{\varphi} \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$ . It is easily seen that without loss of generality we can assume that  $S_{\varphi} = \operatorname{diag}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ , and we have to prove that  $\alpha_i = \alpha_j$  for all  $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$ . Clearly, it suffices to prove that  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ . Inequality (1) must hold, in particular, for all matrices  $A = [a_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n$ ,  $B = [b_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n$  in  $\mathcal{M}_n^+$  such that  $0 = a_{ij} = b_{ij}$  if  $3 \le i \le n$  or  $3 \le j \le n$ . Thus the proof of the theorem reduces to the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $S = \text{diag}\left(\frac{1}{2} + s, \frac{1}{2} - s\right)$ , where  $0 \le s \le \frac{1}{2}$ . If for every pair  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_2^+$  the inequality

(2) 
$$\operatorname{Tr}(S|AB|) \le \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(SA^p)}{p} + \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(SB^q)}{q}$$

holds, then s = 0.

*Proof of Lemma 2.* Let  $0 \le \varepsilon \le \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\delta = \frac{1}{4} - \varepsilon^2$ . Let us consider two projections

$$P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon & \sqrt{\delta} \\ \sqrt{\delta} & \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon \end{pmatrix}, \qquad P_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon & \sqrt{\delta} \\ \sqrt{\delta} & \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon \end{pmatrix}.$$

Calculate  $|P_1P_2|$ :

$$P_2 P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 2\delta & (1+2\varepsilon)\sqrt{\delta} \\ (1-2\varepsilon)\sqrt{\delta} & 2\delta \end{pmatrix}, \qquad P_2 P_1 P_2 = 4\delta P_2,$$

hence

$$|P_1P_2| = 2\sqrt{\delta}P_2 = \sqrt{1 - 4\varepsilon^2}P_2.$$

Substitute  $A = \alpha P_1$ ,  $B = \beta P_2$  with  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  into (2) and perform the calculations. Then the left hand side in (2) becomes

$$\alpha\beta\sqrt{1-4\varepsilon^2}\left(\frac{1}{2}+2\varepsilon s\right)$$

and the right hand one becomes

$$\frac{\alpha^p \left(\frac{1}{2} - 2\varepsilon s\right)}{p} + \frac{\beta^q \left(\frac{1}{2} + 2\varepsilon s\right)}{q}$$

Now, take  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta = \left(\frac{1-4\varepsilon s}{1+4\varepsilon s}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$ . Then we obtain as an implication of (2):  $\frac{1}{2}(1-4\varepsilon s)^{\frac{1}{q}}(1+4\varepsilon s)^{\frac{1}{p}}\sqrt{1-4\varepsilon^2} \leq \frac{1}{2}(1-4\varepsilon s),$ 

which implies

(3) 
$$(1-4\varepsilon^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} \le \frac{1-4\varepsilon s}{1+4\varepsilon s}$$

By the Taylor formulas,

$$(1 - 4\varepsilon^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} = 1 - 2p\varepsilon^2 + o(\varepsilon^2) = 1 + o(\varepsilon) \quad (\varepsilon \to 0),$$
$$\frac{1 - 4\varepsilon s}{1 + 4\varepsilon s} = 1 - 8\varepsilon s + o(\varepsilon) \quad (\varepsilon \to 0).$$

Since we have supposed that  $0 \le s$ , the inequality (3) can hold for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$  only if s = 0.

## **References**

[1] T. ANDO, Matrix Young inequalities, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., 75 (1995), 33–38.