

Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics

http://jipam.vu.edu.au/

Volume 6, Issue 3, Article 66, 2005

TIME-SCALE INTEGRAL INEQUALITIES

DOUGLAS R. ANDERSON

CONCORDIA COLLEGE

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE
MOORHEAD, MN 56562 USA
andersod@cord.edu

Received 16 March, 2005; accepted 02 June, 2005 Communicated by H. Gauchman

ABSTRACT. Some recent and classical integral inequalities are extended to the general time-scale calculus, including the inequalities of Steffensen, Iyengar, Čebyšev, and Hermite-Hadamard.

Key words and phrases: Taylor's Formula, Nabla integral, Delta integral.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 34B10, 39A10.

1. PRELIMINARIES ON TIME SCALES

The unification and extension of continuous calculus, discrete calculus, q-calculus, and indeed arbitrary real-number calculus to time-scale calculus was first accomplished by Hilger in his Ph.D. thesis [8]. Since then, time-scale calculus has made steady inroads in explaining the interconnections that exist among the various calculi, and in extending our understanding to a new, more general and overarching theory. The purpose of this work is to illustrate this new understanding by extending some continuous and q-calculus inequalities and some of their applications, such as those by Steffensen, Hermite-Hadamard, Iyengar, and Čebyšev, to arbitrary time scales.

The following definitions will serve as a short primer on the time-scale calculus; they can be found in Agarwal and Bohner [1], Atici and Guseinov [3], and Bohner and Peterson [4]. A time scale $\mathbb T$ is any nonempty closed subset of $\mathbb R$. Within that set, define the jump operators $\rho, \sigma: \mathbb T \to \mathbb T$ by

$$\rho(t) = \sup\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s < t\}$$
 and $\sigma(t) = \inf\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s > t\},$

where $\inf \emptyset := \sup \mathbb{T}$ and $\sup \emptyset := \inf \mathbb{T}$. The point $t \in \mathbb{T}$ is left-dense, left-scattered, right-dense, right-scattered if $\rho(t) = t$, $\rho(t) < t$, $\sigma(t) = t$, $\sigma(t) > t$, respectively. If \mathbb{T} has a right-scattered minimum m, define $\mathbb{T}_{\kappa} := \mathbb{T} - \{m\}$; otherwise, set $\mathbb{T}_{\kappa} = \mathbb{T}$. If \mathbb{T} has a left-scattered maximum M, define $\mathbb{T}^{\kappa} := \mathbb{T} - \{M\}$; otherwise, set $\mathbb{T}^{\kappa} = \mathbb{T}$. The so-called graininess functions are $\mu(t) := \sigma(t) - t$ and $\nu(t) := t - \rho(t)$.

ISSN (electronic): 1443-5756

^{© 2005} Victoria University. All rights reserved.

For $f: \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in \mathbb{T}_{\kappa}$, the nabla derivative [3] of f at t, denoted $f^{\nabla}(t)$, is the number (provided it exists) with the property that given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a neighborhood U of t such that

$$|f(\rho(t)) - f(s) - f^{\nabla}(t)[\rho(t) - s]| \le \varepsilon |\rho(t) - s|$$

for all $s \in U$. Common special cases again include $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, where $f^{\nabla} = f'$, the usual derivative; $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$, where the nabla derivative is the backward difference operator, $f^{\nabla}(t) = f(t) - f(t-1)$; q-difference equations with 0 < q < 1 and t > 0,

$$f^{\nabla}(t) = \frac{f(t) - f(qt)}{(1 - q)t}.$$

For $f: \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in \mathbb{T}^{\kappa}$, the delta derivative [4] of f at t, denoted $f^{\Delta}(t)$, is the number (provided it exists) with the property that given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a neighborhood U of t such that

$$|f(\sigma(t)) - f(s) - f^{\Delta}(t)[\sigma(t) - s]| \le \varepsilon |\sigma(t) - s|$$

for all $s \in U$. For $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, $f^{\Delta} = f'$, the usual derivative; for $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$ the delta derivative is the forward difference operator, $f^{\Delta}(t) = f(t+1) - f(t)$; in the case of q-difference equations with q > 1,

$$f^{\Delta}(t) = \frac{f(qt) - f(t)}{(q-1)t}, \qquad f^{\Delta}(0) = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{f(s) - f(0)}{s}.$$

A function $f:\mathbb{T}\to\mathbb{R}$ is left-dense continuous or ld-continuous provided it is continuous at left-dense points in \mathbb{T} and its right-sided limits exist (finite) at right-dense points in \mathbb{T} . If $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{R}$, then f is ld-continuous if and only if f is continuous. It is known from [3] or Theorem 8.45 in [4] that if f is ld-continuous, then there is a function F such that $F^{\nabla}(t)=f(t)$. In this case, we define

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(t)\nabla t = F(b) - F(a).$$

In the same way, from Theorem 1.74 in [4] we have that if g is right-dense continuous, there is a function G such that $G^{\Delta}(t)=g(t)$ and

$$\int_{a}^{b} g(t)\Delta t = G(b) - G(a).$$

The following theorem is part of Theorem 2.7 in [3] and Theorem 8.47 in [4].

Theorem 1.1 (Integration by parts). If $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$ and f^{∇}, g^{∇} are left-dense continuous, then

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(t)g^{\nabla}(t)\nabla t = (fg)(b) - (fg)(a) - \int_{a}^{b} f^{\nabla}(t)g(\rho(t))\nabla t$$

and

$$\int_a^b f(\rho(t))g^{\nabla}(t)\nabla t = (fg)(b) - (fg)(a) - \int_a^b f^{\nabla}(t)g(t)\nabla t.$$

2. TAYLOR'S THEOREM USING NABLA POLYNOMIALS

The generalized polynomials for nabla equations [2] are the functions $\hat{h}_k : \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{R}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, defined recursively as follows: The function \hat{h}_0 is

(2.1)
$$\hat{h}_0(t,s) \equiv 1 \quad \text{for all} \quad s, t \in \mathbb{T},$$

and, given \hat{h}_k for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the function \hat{h}_{k+1} is

(2.2)
$$\hat{h}_{k+1}(t,s) = \int_{s}^{t} \hat{h}_{k}(\tau,s) \nabla \tau \quad \text{for all} \quad s,t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Note that the functions \hat{h}_k are all well defined, since each is ld-continuous. If for each fixed s we let $\hat{h}_k^{\nabla}(t,s)$ denote the nabla derivative of $\hat{h}_k(t,s)$ with respect to t, then

(2.3)
$$\hat{h}_k^{\nabla}(t,s) = \hat{h}_{k-1}(t,s) \quad \text{for} \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \ t \in \mathbb{T}_{\kappa}.$$

The above definition implies

$$\hat{h}_1(t,s) = t - s$$
 for all $s, t \in \mathbb{T}$.

Obtaining an expression for \hat{h}_k for k > 1 is not easy in general, but for a particular given time scale it might be easy to find these functions; see [2] for some examples.

Theorem 2.1 (Taylor's Formula [2]). Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose f is n+1 times nabla differentiable on $\mathbb{T}_{\kappa^{n+1}}$. Let $s \in \mathbb{T}_{\kappa^n}$, $t \in \mathbb{T}$, and define the functions \hat{h}_k by (2.1) and (2.2), i.e.,

$$\hat{h}_0(t,s) \equiv 1$$
 and $\hat{h}_{k+1}(t,s) = \int_s^t \hat{h}_k(\tau,s) \nabla \tau \, for \, k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$

Then we have

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \hat{h}_k(t,s) f^{\nabla^k}(s) + \int_s^t \hat{h}_n(t,\rho(\tau)) f^{\nabla^{n+1}}(\tau) \nabla \tau.$$

We may also relate the functions \hat{h}_k as introduced in (2.1) and (2.2) (which we repeat below) to the functions h_k and g_k in the delta case [1, 4], and the functions \hat{g}_k in the nabla case, defined below.

Definition 2.1. For $t, s \in \mathbb{T}$ define the functions

$$h_0(t,s) = g_0(t,s) = \hat{h}_0(t,s) = \hat{g}_0(t,s) \equiv 1,$$

and given $h_n, g_n, \hat{h}_n, \hat{g}_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$h_{n+1}(t,s) = \int_s^t h_n(\tau,s) \Delta \tau, g_{n+1}(t,s) = \int_s^t g_n(\sigma(\tau),s) \Delta \tau,$$
$$\hat{h}_{n+1}(t,s) = \int_s^t \hat{h}_n(\tau,s) \nabla \tau, \hat{g}_{n+1}(t,s) = \int_s^t \hat{g}_n(\rho(\tau),s) \nabla \tau.$$

The following theorem combines Theorem 9 of [2] and Theorem 1.112 of [4].

Theorem 2.2. Let $t \in \mathbb{T}_{\kappa}^{\kappa}$ and $s \in \mathbb{T}^{\kappa^n}$. Then

$$\hat{h}_n(t,s) = g_n(t,s) = (-1)^n h_n(s,t) = (-1)^n \hat{g}_n(s,t)$$

for all $n \geq 0$.

3. STEFFENSEN'S INEQUALITY

For a q-difference equation version of the following result and most results in this paper, including proof techniques, see [7]. In fact, the presentation of the results to follow largely mirrors the organisation of [7].

Theorem 3.1 (Steffensen's Inequality (nabla)). Let $a, b \in \mathbb{T}_{\kappa}^{\kappa}$ with a < b and $f, g : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be nabla-integrable functions, with f of one sign and decreasing and $0 \le g \le 1$ on [a, b]. Assume $\ell, \gamma \in [a, b]$ such that

$$b - \ell \le \int_a^b g(t) \nabla t \le \gamma - a \quad \text{if } f \ge 0, \quad t \in [a, b],$$

$$\gamma - a \le \int_a^b g(t) \nabla t \le b - \ell \quad \text{if } f \le 0, \quad t \in [a, b].$$

Then

(3.1)
$$\int_{\ell}^{b} f(t)\nabla t \leq \int_{a}^{b} f(t)g(t)\nabla t \leq \int_{a}^{\gamma} f(t)\nabla t.$$

Proof. The proof given in the q-difference case [7] can be extended to general time scales. As in [7], we prove only the case in (3.1) where $f \ge 0$ for the left inequality; the proofs of the other cases are similar. After subtracting within the left inequality,

$$\begin{split} \int_a^b f(t)g(t)\nabla t &- \int_\ell^b f(t)\nabla t \\ &= \int_a^\ell f(t)g(t)\nabla t + \int_\ell^b f(t)g(t)\nabla t - \int_\ell^b f(t)\nabla t \\ &= \int_a^\ell f(t)g(t)\nabla t - \int_\ell^b f(t)(1-g(t))\nabla t \\ &\geq \int_a^\ell f(t)g(t)\nabla t - f(\ell) \int_\ell^b (1-g(t))\nabla t \\ &= \int_a^\ell f(t)g(t)\nabla t - (b-\ell)f(\ell) + f(\ell) \int_\ell^b g(t)\nabla t \\ &\geq \int_a^\ell f(t)g(t)\nabla t - f(\ell) \int_a^b g(t)\nabla t + f(\ell) \int_\ell^b g(t)\nabla t \\ &= \int_a^\ell f(t)g(t)\nabla t - f(\ell) \left(\int_a^b g(t)\nabla t - \int_\ell^b g(t)\nabla t\right) \\ &= \int_a^\ell f(t)g(t)\nabla t - f(\ell) \int_a^\ell g(t)\nabla t \\ &= \int_a^\ell (f(t)-f(\ell)) g(t)\nabla t \geq 0 \end{split}$$

since f is decreasing and g is nonnegative.

Note that in the theorem above, we could easily replace the nabla integrals with delta integrals under the same hypotheses and get a completely analogous result. The following theorem more closely resembles the theorem in the continuous case; the proof is identical to that above and is omitted.

Theorem 3.2 (Steffensen's Inequality II). Let $a,b \in \mathbb{T}_{\kappa}^{\kappa}$ and $f,g : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be nabla-integrable functions, with f decreasing and $0 \le g \le 1$ on [a,b]. Assume $\lambda := \int_a^b g(t) \nabla t$ such that $b - \lambda, a + \lambda \in \mathbb{T}$. Then

(3.2)
$$\int_{b-\lambda}^{b} f(t)\nabla t \le \int_{a}^{b} f(t)g(t)\nabla t \le \int_{a}^{a+\lambda} f(t)\nabla t.$$

4. TAYLOR'S REMAINDER

Suppose f is n+1 times nabla differentiable on $\mathbb{T}_{\kappa^{n+1}}$. Using Taylor's Theorem, Theorem 2.1, we define the remainder function by $\hat{R}_{-1,f}(\cdot,s):=f(s)$, and for n>-1,

(4.1)
$$\hat{R}_{n,f}(t,s) := f(s) - \sum_{i=0}^{n} \hat{h}_{j}(s,t) f^{\nabla^{j}}(t) = \int_{t}^{s} \hat{h}_{n}(s,\rho(\tau)) f^{\nabla^{n+1}}(\tau) \nabla \tau.$$

Lemma 4.1. The following identity involving nabla Taylor's remainder holds:

$$\int_{a}^{b} \hat{h}_{n+1}(t, \rho(s)) f^{\nabla^{n+1}}(s) \nabla s = \int_{a}^{t} \hat{R}_{n,f}(a, s) \nabla s + \int_{t}^{b} \hat{R}_{n,f}(b, s) \nabla s.$$

Proof. Proceed by mathematical induction on n. For n = -1,

$$\int_a^b \hat{h}_0(t,\rho(s)) f^{\nabla^0}(s) \nabla s = \int_a^b f(s) \nabla s = \int_a^t f(s) \nabla s + \int_t^b f(s) \nabla s.$$

Assume the result holds for n = k - 1:

$$\int_{a}^{b} \hat{h}_{k}(t, \rho(s)) f^{\nabla^{k}}(s) \nabla s = \int_{a}^{t} \hat{R}_{k-1, f}(a, s) \nabla s + \int_{t}^{b} \hat{R}_{k-1, f}(b, s) \nabla s.$$

Let n=k. By Corollary 11 in [2], for fixed $t\in\mathbb{T}$ we have

(4.2)
$$\hat{h}_{k+1}^{\nabla_s}(t,s) = -\hat{h}_k(t,\rho(s)).$$

Thus using the nabla integration by parts rule, Theorem 1.1, we have

$$\int_{a}^{b} \hat{h}_{k+1}(t, \rho(s)) f^{\nabla^{k+1}}(s) \nabla s
= \int_{a}^{b} \hat{h}_{k}(t, \rho(s)) f^{\nabla^{k}}(s) \nabla s + \hat{h}_{k+1}(t, b) f^{\nabla^{k}}(b) - \hat{h}_{k+1}(t, a) f^{\nabla^{k}}(a).$$

By the induction assumption and the definition of \hat{h}_{k+1} ,

$$\int_{a}^{b} \hat{h}_{k+1}(t, \rho(s)) f^{\nabla^{k+1}}(s) \nabla s = \int_{a}^{t} \hat{R}_{k-1,f}(a, s) \nabla s + \int_{t}^{b} \hat{R}_{k-1,f}(b, s) \nabla s \\
+ \hat{h}_{k+1}(t, b) f^{\nabla^{k}}(b) - \hat{h}_{k+1}(t, a) f^{\nabla^{k}}(a) \\
= \int_{a}^{t} \hat{R}_{k-1,f}(a, s) \nabla s + \int_{t}^{b} \hat{R}_{k-1,f}(b, s) \nabla s \\
+ \int_{b}^{t} \hat{h}_{k}(s, b) f^{\nabla^{k}}(b) \nabla s - \int_{a}^{t} \hat{h}_{k}(s, a) f^{\nabla^{k}}(a) \nabla s \\
= \int_{a}^{t} \left[\hat{R}_{k-1,f}(a, s) - \hat{h}_{k}(s, a) f^{\nabla^{k}}(a) \right] \nabla s \\
+ \int_{t}^{b} \left[\hat{R}_{k-1,f}(b, s) - \hat{h}_{k}(s, b) f^{\nabla^{k}}(b) \right] \nabla s \\
= \int_{a}^{t} \hat{R}_{k,f}(a, s) \nabla s + \int_{t}^{b} \hat{R}_{k,f}(b, s) \nabla s.$$

Corollary 4.2. For $n \geq -1$,

$$\int_{a}^{b} \hat{h}_{n+1}(a, \rho(s)) f^{\nabla^{n+1}}(s) \nabla s = \int_{a}^{b} \hat{R}_{n,f}(b, s) \nabla s,$$
$$\int_{a}^{b} \hat{h}_{n+1}(b, \rho(s)) f^{\nabla^{n+1}}(s) \nabla s = \int_{a}^{b} \hat{R}_{n,f}(a, s) \nabla s.$$

Lemma 4.3. The following identity involving delta Taylor's remainder holds:

$$\int_a^b h_{n+1}(t,\sigma(s)) f^{\Delta^{n+1}}(s) \Delta s = \int_a^t R_{n,f}(a,s) \Delta s + \int_t^b R_{n,f}(b,s) \Delta s,$$

where

$$R_{n,f}(t,s) := f(s) - \sum_{j=0}^{n} h_j(s,t) f^{\Delta^j}(t).$$

5. APPLICATIONS OF STEFFENSEN'S INEQUALITY

In the following we generalize to arbitrary time scales some results from [7] by applying Steffensen's inequality, Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 5.1. Let $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be an n+1 times nabla differentiable function such that $f^{\nabla^{n+1}}$ is increasing and f^{∇^n} is monontonic (either increasing or decreasing) on [a,b]. Assume $\ell, \gamma \in [a,b]$ such that

$$b - \ell \leq \frac{\hat{h}_{n+2}(b, a)}{\hat{h}_{n+1}(b, \rho(a))} \leq \gamma - a \quad \text{if } f^{\nabla^n} \text{is decreasing,}$$
$$\gamma - a \leq \frac{\hat{h}_{n+2}(b, a)}{\hat{h}_{n+1}(b, \rho(a))} \leq b - \ell \quad \text{if } f^{\nabla^n} \text{is increasing.}$$

Then

$$f^{\nabla^n}(\gamma) - f^{\nabla^n}(a) \le \frac{1}{\hat{h}_{n+1}(b, \rho(a))} \int_a^b \hat{R}_{n,f}(a, s) \nabla s \le f^{\nabla^n}(b) - f^{\nabla^n}(\ell).$$

Proof. Assume f^{∇^n} is decreasing; the case where f^{∇^n} is increasing is similar and is omitted. Let $F:=-f^{\nabla^{n+1}}$. Because f^{∇^n} is decreasing, $f^{\nabla^{n+1}}\leq 0$, so that $F\geq 0$ and decreasing on [a,b]. Define

$$g(t) := \frac{\hat{h}_{n+1}(b, \rho(t))}{\hat{h}_{n+1}(b, \rho(a))} \in [0, 1], \quad t \in [a, b], \quad n \ge -1.$$

Note that F, g satisfy the assumptions of Steffensen's inequality, Theorem 3.1; using (4.2),

$$\int_{a}^{b} g(t)\nabla t = \frac{1}{\hat{h}_{n+1}(b,\rho(a))} \int_{a}^{b} \hat{h}_{n+1}(b,\rho(t))\nabla t = \frac{\hat{h}_{n+2}(b,a)}{\hat{h}_{n+1}(b,\rho(a))}.$$

Thus if

$$b - \ell \le \frac{\hat{h}_{n+2}(b, a)}{\hat{h}_{n+1}(b, \rho(a))} \le \gamma - a,$$

then

$$\int_{\ell}^{b} F(t)\nabla t \le \int_{a}^{b} F(t)g(t)\nabla t \le \int_{a}^{\gamma} F(t)\nabla t.$$

By Corollary 4.2 and the fundamental theorem of nabla calculus, this simplifies to

$$|f^{\nabla^n}(t)|_{t=a}^{\gamma} \le \frac{1}{\hat{h}_{n+1}(b,\rho(a))} \int_a^b \hat{R}_{n,f}(a,s) \nabla s \le f^{\nabla^n}(t)|_{t=\ell}^b.$$

It is evident that an analogous result can be found for the delta integral case using the delta equivalent of Theorem 3.1.

Definition 5.1. A twice nabla-differentiable function $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex on [a,b] if and only if $f^{\nabla^2} \geq 0$ on [a,b].

The following corollary is the first Hermite-Hadamard inequality, derived from Theorem 5.1 with n=0.

Corollary 5.2. Let $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be convex and monotonic. Assume $\ell, \gamma \in [a,b]$ such that

$$\ell \geq b - \frac{\hat{h}_2(b, a)}{b - \rho(a)}, \quad \gamma \geq \frac{\hat{h}_2(b, a)}{b - \rho(a)} + a \quad \text{if } f \text{ is decreasing,}$$

$$\hat{h}_2(b, a) \qquad \hat{h}_2(b, a)$$

$$\ell \leq b - \frac{h_2(b,a)}{b - \rho(a)}, \quad \gamma \leq \frac{h_2(b,a)}{b - \rho(a)} + a \quad \text{if fis increasing.}$$

Then

$$f(\gamma) + \frac{\rho(a) - a}{b - \rho(a)} f(a) \le \frac{1}{b - \rho(a)} \int_a^b f(t) \nabla t \le \frac{b - a}{b - \rho(a)} f(a) + f(b) - f(\ell).$$

Another, slightly different, form of the first Hermite-Hadamard inequality is the following; this implies that for time scales with left-scattered points there are at least two inequalities of this type.

Theorem 5.3. Let $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be convex and monotonic. Assume $\ell, \gamma \in [a,b]$ such that

$$\ell \geq a + \frac{\hat{h}_2(b,a)}{b-a}, \quad \gamma \geq b - \frac{\hat{h}_2(b,a)}{b-a} \quad \text{if f is decreasing,}$$
 $\ell \leq a + \frac{\hat{h}_2(b,a)}{b-a}, \quad \gamma \leq b - \frac{\hat{h}_2(b,a)}{b-a} \quad \text{if f is increasing.}$

Then

$$f(\gamma) \le \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(\rho(t)) \nabla t \le f(a) + f(b) - f(\ell).$$

Proof. Assume f is decreasing and convex. Then $f^{\nabla^2} \geq 0$, $f^{\nabla} \leq 0$, and f^{∇} is increasing. Then $F := -f^{\nabla}$ is decreasing and satisfies $F \geq 0$. For $G := \frac{b-t}{b-a}$, $0 \leq G \leq 1$ and F, G satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1. Now the inequality expression

$$b - \ell \le \int_a^b G(t) \nabla t \le \gamma - a$$

takes the form

$$b - \ell \le \frac{1}{b - a} \int_{a}^{b} (b - t) \nabla t \le \gamma - a.$$

Concentrating on the left inequality,

$$\ell \ge b - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b (b-t) \nabla t = b - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b (b-a+a-t) \nabla t,$$

which simplifies to

$$\ell \ge a + \frac{\hat{h}_2(b, a)}{b - a};$$

similarly,

$$\gamma \ge b - \frac{\hat{h}_2(b, a)}{b - a}.$$

Furthermore, note that $\int_r^s F(t)\nabla t = f(r) - f(s)$, and integration by parts yields

$$\int_a^b F(t)G(t)\nabla t = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b (t-b)f^{\nabla}(t)\nabla t = f(a) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(\rho(t))\nabla t.$$

It follows that Steffensen's inequality takes the form

$$f(\ell) - f(b) \le f(a) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(\rho(t)) \nabla t \le f(a) - f(\gamma),$$

which can be rearranged to match the theorem's stated conclusion.

Theorem 5.4. Let $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be an n+1 times nabla differentiable function such that

$$m \le f^{\nabla^{n+1}} \le M$$

on [a,b] for some real numbers m < M. Also, let $\ell, \gamma \in [a,b]$ such that

$$b - \ell \le \frac{1}{M - m} \left[f^{\nabla^n}(b) - f^{\nabla^n}(a) - m(b - a) \right] \le \gamma - a.$$

Then

$$m\hat{h}_{n+2}(b,a) + (M-m)\hat{h}_{n+2}(b,\ell) \le \int_a^b \hat{R}_{n,f}(a,t)\nabla t$$

 $\le M\hat{h}_{n+2}(b,a) + (m-M)\hat{h}_{n+2}(b,\gamma).$

Proof. Let

$$k(t) := \frac{1}{M-m} \left[f(t) - m\hat{h}_{n+1}(t,a) \right], \quad F(t) := \hat{h}_{n+1}(b,\rho(t)),$$

$$G(t) := k^{\nabla^{n+1}}(t) = \frac{1}{M-m} \left[f^{\nabla^{n+1}}(t) - m \right] \in [0,1].$$

Observe that F is nonnegative and decreasing, and

$$\int_{a}^{b} G(t)\nabla t = \frac{1}{M-m} \left[f^{\nabla^n}(b) - f^{\nabla^n}(a) - m(b-a) \right].$$

Since F, G satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1, we compute the various integrals given in (3.1). First, by (4.2),

$$\int_{\ell}^{b} F(t)\nabla t = \int_{\ell}^{b} \hat{h}_{n+1}(b, \rho(t))\nabla t = -\hat{h}_{n+2}(b, t)\big|_{t=\ell}^{b} = \hat{h}_{n+2}(b, \ell),$$

and

$$\int_{a}^{\gamma} F(t)\nabla t = -\hat{h}_{n+2}(b,t)\big|_{a}^{\gamma} = \hat{h}_{n+2}(b,a) - \hat{h}_{n+2}(b,\gamma).$$

Moreover, using Corollary 4.2, we have

$$\int_{a}^{b} F(t)G(t)\nabla t = \frac{1}{M-m} \int_{a}^{b} \hat{h}_{n+1}(b,\rho(t)) \left(f^{\nabla^{n+1}}(t) - m \right) \nabla t$$

$$= \frac{1}{M-m} \int_{a}^{b} \hat{R}_{n,f}(a,t)\nabla t + \frac{m}{M-m} \hat{h}_{n+2}(b,t) \Big|_{a}^{b}$$

$$= \frac{1}{M-m} \int_{a}^{b} \hat{R}_{n,f}(a,t)\nabla t - \frac{m}{M-m} \hat{h}_{n+2}(b,a).$$

Using Steffensen's inequality (3.1), we obtain

$$\hat{h}_{n+2}(b,\ell) \le \frac{1}{M-m} \left[\int_a^b \hat{R}_{n,f}(a,t) \nabla t - m \hat{h}_{n+2}(b,a) \right] \le \hat{h}_{n+2}(b,a) - \hat{h}_{n+2}(b,\gamma),$$

which yields the conclusion of the theorem.

Theorem 5.5. Let $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a nabla and delta differentiable function such that

$$m \le f^{\nabla}, f^{\Delta} \le M$$

on [a, b] for some real numbers m < M.

(i) If there exist $\ell, \gamma \in [a, b]$ such that

$$b - \ell \le \frac{1}{M - m} \left[f(b) - f(a) - m(b - a) \right] \le \gamma - a,$$

then

$$m\hat{h}_2(b,a) + (M-m)\hat{h}_2(b,\ell) \le \int_a^b f(t)\nabla t - (b-a)f(a)$$

 $\le M\hat{h}_2(b,a) + (m-M)\hat{h}_2(b,\gamma).$

(ii) If there exist $\ell, \gamma \in [a, b]$ such that

$$\gamma - a \le \frac{1}{M - m} \left[f(b) - f(a) - m(b - a) \right] \le b - \ell,$$

then

$$mh_2(a,b) + (M-m)h_2(a,\gamma) \le (b-a)f(b) - \int_a^b f(t)\Delta t$$

 $\le Mh_2(a,b) + (m-M)h_2(a,\ell).$

Proof. The first part is just Theorem 5.4 with n = 0. For the second part, let

$$k(t) := \frac{1}{M-m} [f(t) - m(t-b)], \quad F(t) := h_1(a, \sigma(t)),$$
$$G(t) := k^{\Delta}(t) = \frac{1}{M-m} [f^{\Delta}(t) - m] \in [0, 1].$$

Clearly F is decreasing and nonpositive, and

$$\int_{a}^{b} G(t)\Delta t = \frac{1}{M-m} [f(b) - f(a) - m(b-a)] \in [\gamma - a, b - \ell].$$

Since F, G satisfy the hypotheses of Steffensen's inequality for delta integrals, we determine the corresponding integrals. First,

$$\int_{\ell}^{b} F(t)\Delta t = \int_{\ell}^{b} h_1(a, \sigma(t))\Delta t = -h_2(a, t) \Big|_{t=\ell}^{b} = -h_2(a, b) + h_2(a, \ell),$$

and

$$\int_{a}^{\gamma} F(t)\Delta t = -h_2(a,t)\big|_{a}^{\gamma} = -h_2(a,\gamma).$$

Moreover, using the formula for integration by parts for delta integrals,

$$\begin{split} \int_a^b F(t)G(t)\Delta t &= \int_a^b h_1(a,\sigma(t))k^\Delta(t)\Delta t \\ &= h_1(a,t)k(t)\big|_a^b - \int_a^b h_1^\Delta(a,t)k(t)\Delta t \\ &= \frac{1}{M-m} \left[-(b-a)f(b) + \int_a^b f(t)\Delta t + mh_2(a,b) \right]. \end{split}$$

Using Steffensen's inequality for delta integrals, we obtain

$$-h_2(a,b) + h_2(a,\ell) \le \frac{1}{M-m} \left[-(b-a)f(b) + \int_a^b f(t)\Delta t + mh_2(a,b) \right]$$

$$\le -h_2(a,\gamma),$$

which yields the conclusion of (ii).

In [7], part (ii) of the above theorem also involved the equivalent of nabla derivatives for q-difference equations with 0 < q < 1. However, the function used there, $F(t) = a - qt = a - \rho(t)$, is not of one sign on [a,b], since F(a) = a(1-q) > 0, F(a/q) = 0, and $F(a/q^2) = a(1-1/q) < 0$. For this reason we introduced a delta-derivative perspective in (ii) above and in the following.

Corollary 5.6. Let $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a nabla and delta differentiable function such that

$$m \le f^{\nabla}, f^{\Delta} \le M$$

on [a,b] for some real numbers m < M. Assume there exist $\ell, \gamma \in [a,\rho(b)]$ such that

(5.1)
$$\rho(\gamma) - a \le \frac{1}{M - m} [f(b) - f(a) - m(b - a)] \le \gamma - a,$$

(5.2)
$$b - \ell \le \frac{1}{M - m} \left[f(b) - f(a) - m(b - a) \right] \le b - \rho(\ell).$$

Then

$$2mh_{2}(a,b) + (M-m) [h_{2}(\ell,b) + h_{2}(a,\rho(\gamma))]$$

$$\leq \int_{a}^{b} f(t)\nabla t - \int_{a}^{b} f(t)\Delta t + (b-a)(f(b) - f(a))$$

$$\leq 2Mh_{2}(a,b) - (M-m) [h_{2}(\gamma,b) + h_{2}(a,\rho(\ell))].$$

Proof. By the previous theorem, Theorem 5.5,

$$m\hat{h}_2(b,a) + (M-m)\hat{h}_2(b,\ell) \le \int_a^b f(t)\nabla t - (b-a)f(a)$$

$$< M\hat{h}_2(b,a) + (m-M)\hat{h}_2(b,\gamma)$$
(5.3)

using (i) and the fact that

$$b - \ell \le \frac{1}{M - m} [f(b) - f(a) - m(b - a)] \le \gamma - a;$$

in like manner

$$mh_{2}(a,b) + (M-m)h_{2}(a,\rho(\gamma)) \leq (b-a)f(b) - \int_{a}^{b} f(t)\Delta t$$

$$\leq Mh_{2}(a,b) + (m-M)h_{2}(a,\rho(\ell))$$
(5.4)

using (ii) and the fact that

$$\rho(\gamma) - a \le \frac{1}{M - m} \left[f(b) - f(a) - m(b - a) \right] \le b - \rho(\ell).$$

Add (5.3) to (5.4) and use Theorem 2.2 to arrive at the conclusion.

Remark 5.7. If $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, set $\lambda := b - \ell = \gamma - a$, so that $b - \gamma = \ell - a = b - a - \lambda$. Here the nabla and delta integrals of f on [a, b] are identical, and $h_2(s, t) = (t - s)^2/2$, so the conclusion of the previous corollary, Corollary 5.6, is the known [7] inequality

$$m + \frac{(M-m)\lambda^2}{(b-a)^2} \le \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b-a} \le M - \frac{(M-m)(b-a-\lambda)^2}{(b-a)^2}.$$

If $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$, then $h_2(s,t) = (t-s)(t-s+1)/2 = (t-s)^{2}/2$ and

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(t)\nabla t - \int_{a}^{b} f(t)\Delta t = \sum_{t=a+1}^{b} f(t) - \sum_{t=a}^{b-1} f(t) = f(b) - f(a).$$

This time take $\lambda = b - \ell = \gamma - 1 - a$. The discrete conclusion of Corollary 5.6 is thus

$$m + \frac{(M-m)\lambda^{\overline{2}}}{(b-a)^{\overline{2}}} \le \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b-a} \le M - \frac{(M-m)(b-a-\lambda-1)^{\overline{2}}}{(b-a)^{\overline{2}}}.$$

Corollary 5.8 (Iyengar's Inequality). Let $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a nabla and delta differentiable function such that

$$m \leq f^\nabla, f^\Delta \leq M$$

on [a,b] for some real numbers m < M. Assume there exist $\ell, \gamma \in [a,\rho(b)]$ such that (5.1), (5.2) are satisfied. Then

$$(M-m) [h_2(\ell,b) + h_2(a,\rho(\ell)) - h_2(a,b)]$$

$$\leq \int_a^b f(t)\nabla t + \int_a^b f(t)\Delta t - (b-a)(f(b) + f(a))$$

$$\leq (M-m) [h_2(a,b) - h_2(\gamma,b) - h_2(a,\rho(\gamma))].$$

Proof. Subtract (5.4) from (5.3) and use Theorem 2.2 to arrive at the conclusion.

Remark 5.9. Again if $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, then $\int_a^b f(t) \nabla t = \int_a^b f(t) \Delta t = \int_a^b f(t) dt$ and $h_2(t,s) = (t-s)^2/2$. Moreover, $\rho(\ell) = \ell$ and $\rho(\gamma) = \gamma$; set

$$\lambda = b - \ell = \gamma - a = \frac{1}{M - m} [f(b) - f(a) - m(b - a)].$$

This transforms the conclusion of Corollary 5.8 into a continuous calculus version,

$$\left| \int_{a}^{b} f(t)dt - \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} (b - a) \right| \leq \frac{[f(b) - f(a) - m(b - a)][M(b - a) + f(a) - f(b)]}{2(M - m)}.$$

6. APPLICATIONS OF ČEBYŠEV'S INEQUALITY

Recently, Čebyšev's inequality on time scales for delta integrals was proven [9]. We repeat the statement of it here in the case of nabla integrals for completeness.

Theorem 6.1 (Čebyšev's inequality). Let f and g be both increasing or both decreasing in [a, b]. Then

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(t)g(t)\nabla t \ge \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t)\nabla t \int_{a}^{b} g(t)\nabla t.$$

If one of the functions is increasing and the other is decreasing, then the above inequality is reversed.

The following is an application of Čebyšev's inequality, which extends a similar result in [7] to general time scales.

Theorem 6.2. Assume that $f^{\nabla^{n+1}}$ is monotonic on [a, b].

(i) If $f^{\nabla^{n+1}}$ is increasing, then

$$0 \ge \int_{a}^{b} \hat{R}_{n,f}(a,t) \nabla t - \left[\frac{f^{\nabla^{n}}(b) - f^{\nabla^{n}}(a)}{b - a} \right] \hat{h}_{n+2}(b,a)$$

$$\ge \left[f^{\nabla^{n+1}}(a) - f^{\nabla^{n+1}}(b) \right] \hat{h}_{n+2}(b,a).$$

(ii) If $f^{\nabla^{n+1}}$ is decreasing, then

$$0 \le \int_{a}^{b} \hat{R}_{n,f}(a,t) \nabla t - \left[\frac{f^{\nabla^{n}}(b) - f^{\nabla^{n}}(a)}{b - a} \right] \hat{h}_{n+2}(b,a)$$

$$\le \left[f^{\nabla^{n+1}}(a) - f^{\nabla^{n+1}}(b) \right] \hat{h}_{n+2}(b,a).$$

Proof. The situation for (ii) is analogous to that of (i). Assume (i), and set $F(t) := f^{\nabla^{n+1}}(t)$, $G(t) := \hat{h}_{n+1}(b, \rho(t))$. Then F is increasing by assumption, and G is decreasing, so that by Čebyšev's nabla inequality,

$$\int_{a}^{b} F(t)G(t)\nabla t \le \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} F(t)\nabla t \int_{a}^{b} G(t)\nabla t.$$

By Corollary 4.2,

$$\int_a^b F(t)G(t)\nabla t = \int_a^b f^{\nabla^{n+1}}(t)\hat{h}_{n+1}(b,\rho(t))\nabla t = \int_a^b \hat{R}_{n,f}(a,t)\nabla t.$$

We also have

$$\int_{a}^{b} F(t)\nabla t = f^{\nabla^{n}}(b) - f^{\nabla^{n}}(a), \quad \int_{a}^{b} G(t)\nabla t = \int_{a}^{b} \hat{h}_{n+1}(b, \rho(t)) = \hat{h}_{n+2}(b, a).$$

Thus Čebyšev's inequality implies

$$\int_{a}^{b} \hat{R}_{n,f}(a,t) \nabla t \le \frac{1}{b-a} \left[f^{\nabla^{n}}(b) - f^{\nabla^{n}}(a) \right] \hat{h}_{n+2}(b,a),$$

which subtracts to the left side of the inequality. Since $f^{\nabla^{n+1}}$ is increasing on [a,b],

$$f^{\nabla^{n+1}}(a)\hat{h}_{n+2}(b,a) \le \left[\frac{f^{\nabla^n}(b) - f^{\nabla^n}(a)}{b-a}\right]\hat{h}_{n+2}(b,a) \le f^{\nabla^{n+1}}(b)\hat{h}_{n+2}(b,a),$$

and we have

$$\int_{a}^{b} \hat{R}_{n,f}(a,t) \nabla t - \left[\frac{f^{\nabla^{n}}(b) - f^{\nabla^{n}}(a)}{b-a} \right] \hat{h}_{n+2}(b,a) \ge \int_{a}^{b} \hat{R}_{n,f}(a,t) \nabla t - f^{\nabla^{n+1}}(b) \hat{h}_{n+2}(b,a).$$

Now Corollary 4.2 and $f^{\nabla^{n+1}}$ is increasing imply that

$$f^{\nabla^{n+1}}(b) \int_a^b \hat{h}_{n+1}(b, \rho(t)) \nabla t \ge \int_a^b \hat{R}_{n,f}(a, t) \nabla t \ge f^{\nabla^{n+1}}(a) \int_a^b \hat{h}_{n+1}(b, \rho(t)) \nabla t,$$

which simplifies to

$$f^{\nabla^{n+1}}(b)\hat{h}_{n+2}(b,a) \ge \int_a^b \hat{R}_{n,f}(a,t)\nabla t \ge f^{\nabla^{n+1}}(a)\hat{h}_{n+2}(b,a).$$

This, together with the earlier lines give the right side of the inequality.

Theorem 6.3. Assume that $f^{\Delta^{n+1}}$ is monotonic on [a,b] and the function g_k is as defined in Definition 2.1.

(i) If $f^{\Delta^{n+1}}$ is increasing, then

$$0 \le (-1)^{n+1} \int_a^b R_{n,f}(b,t) \Delta t - \left[\frac{f^{\Delta^n}(b) - f^{\Delta^n}(a)}{b - a} \right] g_{n+2}(b,a)$$

$$\le \left[f^{\Delta^{n+1}}(b) - f^{\Delta^{n+1}}(a) \right] g_{n+2}(b,a).$$

(ii) If $f^{\Delta^{n+1}}$ is decreasing, then

$$0 \ge (-1)^{n+1} \int_a^b R_{n,f}(b,t) \Delta t - \left[\frac{f^{\Delta^n}(b) - f^{\Delta^n}(a)}{b - a} \right] g_{n+2}(b,a)$$

$$\ge \left[f^{\Delta^{n+1}}(b) - f^{\Delta^{n+1}}(a) \right] g_{n+2}(b,a).$$

Proof. The situation for (ii) is analogous to that of (i). Assume (i), and set $F(t) := f^{\Delta^{n+1}}(t)$, $G(t) := (-1)^{n+1}h_{n+1}(a, \sigma(t))$. Then F and G are increasing, so that by Čebyšev's delta inequality,

$$\int_{a}^{b} F(t)G(t)\Delta t \ge \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} F(t)\Delta t \int_{a}^{b} G(t)\Delta t.$$

By Lemma 4.3 with t = a,

$$\int_{a}^{b} F(t)G(t)\Delta t = (-1)^{n+1} \int_{a}^{b} f^{\Delta^{n+1}}(t)h_{n+1}(a,\sigma(t))\Delta t = (-1)^{n+1} \int_{a}^{b} R_{n,f}(b,t)\Delta t.$$

We also have $\int_a^b F(t)\Delta t = f^{\Delta^n}(b) - f^{\Delta^n}(a)$, and, using Theorem 2.2,

$$\int_{a}^{b} G(t)\Delta t = (-1)^{n+1} \int_{a}^{b} h_{n+1}(a, \sigma(t))\Delta t = g_{n+2}(b, a).$$

Thus Čebyšev's inequality implies

$$(-1)^{n+1} \int_{a}^{b} R_{n,f}(b,t) \Delta t \ge \frac{1}{b-a} \left[f^{\Delta^{n}}(b) - f^{\Delta^{n}}(a) \right] g_{n+2}(b,a),$$

which subtracts to the left side of the inequality. Since $f^{\Delta^{n+1}}$ is increasing on [a, b],

$$f^{\Delta^{n+1}}(a)g_{n+2}(b,a) \le \left[\frac{f^{\Delta^n}(b) - f^{\Delta^n}(a)}{b-a}\right]g_{n+2}(b,a) \le f^{\Delta^{n+1}}(b)g_{n+2}(b,a),$$

and we have

$$(-1)^{n+1} \int_{a}^{b} R_{n,f}(b,t) \Delta t - f^{\Delta^{n+1}}(a) g_{n+2}(b,a)$$

$$\geq (-1)^{n+1} \int_{a}^{b} R_{n,f}(b,t) \Delta t - \left[\frac{f^{\Delta^{n}}(b) - f^{\Delta^{n}}(a)}{b-a} \right] g_{n+2}(b,a).$$

Now Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 4.3 again with t = a yield

$$(-1)^{n+1} \int_{a}^{b} R_{n,f}(b,t) \Delta t = \int_{a}^{b} g_{n+1}(\sigma(t),a) f^{\Delta^{n+1}}(t) \Delta t.$$

Since $f^{\Delta^{n+1}}$ is increasing,

$$f^{\Delta^{n+1}}(b) \int_a^b g_{n+1}(\sigma(t), a) \Delta t \ge (-1)^{n+1} \int_a^b R_{n,f}(b, t) \Delta t \ge f^{\Delta^{n+1}}(a) \int_a^b g_{n+1}(\sigma(t), a) \Delta t,$$

which simplifies to

$$f^{\Delta^{n+1}}(b)g_{n+2}(b,a) \ge (-1)^{n+1} \int_a^b R_{n,f}(b,t)\Delta t \ge f^{\Delta^{n+1}}(a)g_{n+2}(b,a).$$

This, together with the earlier lines give the right side of the inequality.

Remark 6.4. If $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, then combining Theorem 6.2 and Theorem 6.3 yields Theorem 3.1 in [6].

Remark 6.5. In Theorem 6.2 (i), if n = 0, we obtain

(6.1)
$$\int_{a}^{b} f(t)\nabla t \le (b-a)f(a) + \frac{\hat{h}_{2}(b,a)}{b-a}(f(b) - f(a)).$$

Compare that with the following result.

Theorem 6.6. Assume that f is nabla convex on [a,b]; that is, $f^{\nabla^2} \geq 0$ on [a,b]. Then

(6.2)
$$\int_{a}^{b} f(\rho(t)) \nabla t \le (b-a)f(b) - \frac{\hat{h}_{2}(b,a)}{b-a} (f(b) - f(a)).$$

Proof. If $F := f^{\nabla}$ and $G(t) := t - a = \hat{h}_1(t, a)$, then both F and G are increasing functions. By Čebyšev's inequality on time scales, and the definition of \hat{h} in (2.2),

$$\int_{a}^{b} f^{\nabla}(t)(t-a)\nabla t \ge \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f^{\nabla}(t)\nabla t \int_{a}^{b} \hat{h}_{1}(t,a)\nabla t.$$

Using nabla integration by parts on the left, and calculating the right yields the result. \Box

The following result is a Hermite-Hadamard-type inequality for time scales; compare with Corollary 5.2.

Corollary 6.7. Let f be nabla convex on [a, b]. Then

$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \frac{f(\rho(t)) + f(t)}{2} \nabla t \le \frac{f(b) + f(a)}{2}.$$

Proof. Use (6.1), (6.2) and rearrange accordingly.

REFERENCES

- [1] R.P. AGARWAL AND M. BOHNER, Basic calculus on time scales and some of its applications, *Results Math.*, **35**(1-2) (1999), 3–22.
- [2] D.R. ANDERSON, Taylor polynomials for nabla dynamic equations on time scales, *PanAmerican Math. J.*, **12**(4) (2002), 17–27.
- [3] F.M. ATICI AND G.Sh. GUSEINOV, On Green's functions and positive solutions for boundary value problems on time scales, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **141** (2002) 75–99.
- [4] M. BOHNER AND A. PETERSON, Dynamic Equations on Time Scales: An Introduction with Applications, Birkhäuser, Boston (2001).
- [5] M. BOHNER AND A. PETERSON (Eds.), Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales, Birkhäuser, Boston (2003).
- [6] H. GAUCHMAN, Some Integral Inequalities Involving Taylor's Remainder II, *J. Inequal. in Pure & Appl. Math.*, **4**(1) (2003), Art. 1. [ONLINE: http://jipam.vu.edu.au/article.php?sid=237]
- [7] H. GAUCHMAN, Integral Inequalities in *q*-Calculus, *Comp. & Math. with Applics.*, **47** (2004), 281–300
- [8] S. HILGER, Ein Maßkettenkalkül mit Anwendung auf Zentrumsmannigfaltigkeiten, PhD thesis, Universität Würzburg (1988).
- [9] C.C. YEH, F.H. WONG AND H.J. LI, Čebyšev's inequality on time scales, *J. Inequal. in Pure & Appl. Math.*, **6**(1) (2005), Art. 7. [ONLINE: http://jipam.vu.edu.au/article.php? sid=476]