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Abstract. Let $\mathcal{R}$ denote the subclass of normalised analytic univalent functions $f$ defined by $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ and satisfy

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{f^{\prime}(z)\right\}>0
$$

where $z \in \mathcal{D}=\{z:|z|<1\}$. The object of the present paper is to introduce the functional $\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right|$. For $f \in \mathcal{R}$, we give sharp upper bound for $\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right|$.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of normalised analytic functions $f$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $z \in \mathcal{D}=\{z:|z|<1\}$. In [9], Noonan and Thomas stated that the $q$ th Hankel determinant of $f$ is defined for $q \geq 1$ by
\[

H_{q}(n)=\left|$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{n} & a_{n+1} & \cdots & a_{n+q+1} \\
a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & \cdots & a_{n+q+2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
a_{n+q-1} & a_{n+q} & \cdots & a_{n+2 q-2}
\end{array}
$$\right| .
\]

Now, let $\mathcal{S}$ denote the subclass of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of functions $f$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are univalent in $\mathcal{D}$.
A classical theorem of Fekete and Szegö [1] considered the Hankel determinant of $f \in \mathcal{S}$ for $q=2$ and $n=1$,

$$
H_{2}(1)=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} & a_{2} \\
a_{2} & a_{3}
\end{array}\right|
$$

They made an early study for the estimates of $\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right|$ when $a_{1}=1$ and $\mu$ real. The wellknown result due to them states that if $f \in \mathcal{S}$, then

$$
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}4 \mu-3, & \text { if } \quad \mu \geq 1 \\ 1+2 \exp \left(\frac{-2 \mu}{1-\mu}\right), & \text { if } \quad 0 \leq \mu \leq 1 \\ 3-4 \mu, & \text { if } \quad \mu \leq 0\end{cases}
$$

Hummel [3, 4] proved the conjecture of V. Singh that $\left|a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{3}$ for the class $\mathcal{C}$ of convex functions. Keogh and Merkes [5] obtained sharp estimates for $\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right|$ when $f$ is close-toconvex, starlike and convex in $\mathcal{D}$.

Here, we consider the Hankel determinant of $f \in \mathcal{S}$ for $q=2$ and $n=2$,

$$
H_{2}(2)=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{2} & a_{3} \\
a_{3} & a_{4}
\end{array}\right| .
$$

Now, we are working on the functional $\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right|$. In this earlier work, we find a sharp upper bound for the functional $\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right|$ for $f \in \mathcal{R}$. The subclass $\mathcal{R}$ is defined as the following.

Definition 1.1. Let $f$ be given by (1.2). Then $f \in \mathcal{R}$ if it satisfies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{f^{\prime}(z)\right\}>0, \quad(z \in \mathcal{D}) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The subclass $\mathcal{R}$ was studied systematically by MacGregor [8] who indeed referred to numerous earlier investigations involving functions whose derivative has a positive real part.

We first state some preliminary lemmas which shall be used in our proof.

## 2. Preliminary Results

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the family of all functions $p$ analytic in $\mathcal{D}$ for which $\operatorname{Re}\{p(z)\}>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z \in \mathcal{D}$.
Lemma 2.1 ([10]). If $p \in \mathcal{P}$ then $\left|c_{k}\right| \leq 2$ for each $k$.

Lemma 2.2 ([2]). The power series for $p(z)$ given in (2.1) converges in $\mathcal{D}$ to a function in $\mathcal{P}$ if and only if the Toeplitz determinants

$$
D_{n}=\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
2 & c_{1} & c_{2} & \cdots & c_{n}  \tag{2.2}\\
c_{-1} & 2 & c_{1} & \cdots & c_{n-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
c_{-n} & c_{-n+1} & c_{-n+2} & \cdots & 2
\end{array}\right|, \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots
$$

and $c_{-k}=\bar{c}_{k}$, are all nonnegative. They are strictly positive exceptfor $p(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{m} \rho_{k} p_{0}\left(e^{i t_{k}} z\right)$, $\rho_{k}>0, t_{k}$ real and $t_{k} \neq t_{j}$ for $k \neq j$; in this case $D_{n}>0$ for $n<m-1$ and $D_{n}=0$ for $n \geq m$.

This necessary and sufficient condition is due to Carathéodory and Toeplitz and can be found in [2].

## 3. Main Result

Theorem 3.1. Let $f \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{4}{9}
$$

The result obtained is sharp.
Proof. We refer to the method by Libera and Zlotkiewicz [6, 7]. Since $f \in \mathcal{R}$, it follows from (1.3) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)=p(z) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $z \in \mathcal{D}$. Equating coefficients in (3.1) yields

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 a_{2}=c_{1}  \tag{3.2}\\
3 a_{3}=c_{2} \\
4 a_{4}=c_{3}
\end{array} .\right.
$$

From (3.2), it can be easily established that

$$
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right|=\left|\frac{c_{1} c_{3}}{8}-\frac{c_{2}^{2}}{9}\right|
$$

We make use of Lemma 2.2 to obtain the proper bound on $\left|\frac{c_{1} c_{3}}{8}-\frac{c_{2}^{2}}{9}\right|$. We may assume without restriction that $c_{1}>0$. We begin by rewriting (2.2) for the cases $n=2$ and $n=3$.

$$
D_{2}=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & c_{1} & c_{2} \\
c_{1} & 2 & c_{1} \\
\bar{c}_{2} & c_{1} & 2
\end{array}\right|=8+2 \operatorname{Re}\left\{c_{1}^{2} c_{2}\right\}-2\left|c_{2}\right|^{2}-4 c_{1}^{2} \geq 0,
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 c_{2}=c_{1}^{2}+x\left(4-c_{1}^{2}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $x,|x| \leq 1$. Then $D_{3} \geq 0$ is equivalent to

$$
\left|\left(4 c_{3}-4 c_{1} c_{2}+c_{1}^{3}\right)\left(4-c_{1}^{2}\right)+c_{1}\left(2 c_{2}-c_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}\right| \leq 2\left(4-c_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}-2\left|2 c_{2}-c_{1}^{2}\right|^{2} ;
$$

and this, with (3.3), provides the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 c_{3}=c_{1}^{3}+2\left(4-c_{1}^{2}\right) c_{1} x-c_{1}\left(4-c_{1}^{2}\right) x^{2}+2\left(4-c_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-|x|^{2}\right) z, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some value of $z,|z| \leq 1$.

Suppose, now, that $c_{1}=c$ and $c \in[0,2]$. Using 3.3) along with (3.4 we get

$$
\left|\frac{c_{1} c_{3}}{8}-\frac{c_{2}^{2}}{9}\right|=\left|\frac{c^{4}}{288}+\frac{c^{2}\left(4-c^{2}\right) x}{144}-\frac{\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left(32+c^{2}\right) x^{2}}{288}+\frac{c\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left(1-|x|^{2}\right) z}{16}\right|
$$

and an application of the triangle inequality shows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\frac{c_{1} c_{3}}{8}-\frac{c_{2}^{2}}{9}\right|  \tag{3.5}\\
& \leq \frac{c^{4}}{288}+\frac{c\left(4-c^{2}\right)}{16}+\frac{c^{2}\left(4-c^{2}\right) \rho}{144}+\frac{(c-2)(c-16)\left(4-c^{2}\right) \rho^{2}}{288} \\
& =F(\rho)
\end{align*}
$$

with $\rho=|x| \leq 1$. We assume that the upper bound for (3.5) attains at the interior point of $\rho \in[0,1]$ and $c \in[0,2]$, then

$$
F^{\prime}(\rho)=\frac{c^{2}\left(4-c^{2}\right)}{144}+\frac{(c-2)(c-16)\left(4-c^{2}\right) \rho}{144}
$$

We note that $F^{\prime}(\rho)>0$ and consequently $F$ is increasing and $\operatorname{Max}_{\rho} F(\rho)=F(1)$, which contadicts our assumption of having the maximum value at the interior point of $\rho \in[0,1]$. Now let

$$
G(c)=F(1)=\frac{c^{4}}{288}+\frac{c\left(4-c^{2}\right)}{16}+\frac{c^{2}\left(4-c^{2}\right)}{144}+\frac{(c-2)(c-16)\left(4-c^{2}\right)}{288},
$$

then

$$
G^{\prime}(c)=\frac{-c\left(5+c^{2}\right)}{36}=0
$$

implies $c=0$ which is a contradiction. Observe that

$$
G^{\prime \prime}(c)=\frac{-5-3 c^{2}}{36}<0
$$

Thus any maximum points of $G$ must be on the boundary of $c \in[0,2]$. However, $G(c) \geq G(2)$ and thus $G$ has maximum value at $c=0$. The upper bound for (3.5) corresponds to $\rho=1$ and $c=0$, in which case

$$
\left|\frac{c_{1} c_{3}}{8}-\frac{c_{2}^{2}}{9}\right| \leq \frac{4}{9} .
$$

Equality is attained for functions in $\mathcal{R}$ given by

$$
f^{\prime}(z)=\frac{1+z^{2}}{1-z^{2}}
$$

This concludes the proof of our theorem.
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