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Abstract

## Abstract

In this paper, we prove that the classical Entropy Power Inequality, as derived in the continuous case, can be extended to the discrete family of binomial random variables with parameter $1 / 2$.
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## 1. Introduction

The continuous Entropy Power Inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{2 h(X)}+e^{2 h(Y)} \leq e^{2 h(X+Y)} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

was first stated by Shannon [1] and later proved by Stam [2] and Blachman [3]. Later, several related inequalities for continuous variables were proved in [4], [5] and [6]. There have been several attempts to provide discrete versions of the Entropy Power Inequality: in the case of Bernoulli sources with addition modulo 2, results have been obtained in a series of papers [7], [8], [9] and [11].

In general, inequality (1.1) does not hold when $X$ and $Y$ are discrete random variables and the differential entropy is replaced by the discrete entropy: a simple counterexample is provided when $X$ and $Y$ are deterministic.

In what follows, $X_{n} \sim B\left(n, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ denotes a binomial random variable with parameters $n$ and $\frac{1}{2}$, and we prove our main theorem:

Theorem 1.1. The sequence $X_{n}$ satisfies the following Entropy Power Inequality

$$
\forall m, n \geq 1, \quad e^{2 H\left(X_{n}\right)}+e^{2 H\left(X_{m}\right)} \leq e^{2 H\left(X_{n}+X_{m}\right)}
$$

With this aim in mind, we use a characterization of the superadditivity of a function, together with an entropic inequality.
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## 2. Superadditivity

Definition 2.1. A function $n \curvearrowright Y_{n}$ is superadditive if

$$
\forall m, n \quad Y_{m+n} \geq Y_{m}+Y_{n} .
$$

A sufficient condition for superadditivity is given by the following result.
Proposition 2.1. If $\frac{Y_{n}}{n}$ is increasing, then $Y_{n}$ is superadditive.
Proof. Take $m$ and $n$ and suppose $m \geq n$. Then by assumption

$$
\frac{Y_{m+n}}{m+n} \geq \frac{Y_{m}}{m}
$$

or

$$
Y_{m+n} \geq Y_{m}+\frac{n}{m} Y_{m}
$$

However, by the hypothesis $m \geq n$

$$
\frac{Y_{m}}{m} \geq \frac{Y_{n}}{n}
$$

so that

$$
Y_{m+n} \geq Y_{m}+Y_{n}
$$

In order to prove that the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{n}=e^{2 H\left(X_{n}\right)} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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is superadditive, it suffices then to show that function $n \curvearrowright \frac{Y_{n}}{n}$ is increasing.

## 3. An Information Theoretic Inequality

Denote as $B \sim \operatorname{Ber}(1 / 2)$ a Bernoulli random variable so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n+1}=X_{n}+B \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{X_{n+1}}=P_{X_{n}} * P_{B}=\frac{1}{2}\left(P_{X_{n}}+P_{X_{n}+1}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{X_{n}}=\left\{p_{k}^{n}\right\}$ denotes the probability law of $X_{n}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{k}^{n}=2^{-n}\binom{n}{k} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A direct application of an equality by Topsøe [12] yields
(3.4) $H\left(P_{X_{n+1}}\right)=\frac{1}{2} H\left(P_{X_{n}+1}\right)+\frac{1}{2} H\left(P_{X_{n}}\right)$

$$
+\frac{1}{2} D\left(P_{X_{n}+1} \| P_{X_{n+1}}\right)+\frac{1}{2} D\left(P_{X_{n}} \| P_{X_{n+1}}\right) .
$$

Introduce the Jensen-Shannon divergence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J S D}(P, Q)=\frac{1}{2} D\left(P \| \frac{P+Q}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} D\left(Q \| \frac{P+Q}{2}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and remark that
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$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(P_{X_{n}}\right)=H\left(P_{X_{n}+1}\right), \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

since each distribution is a shifted version of the other. We conclude thus that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(P_{X_{n+1}}\right)=H\left(P_{X_{n}}\right)+\mathcal{J S D}\left(P_{X_{n}+1}, P_{X_{n}}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

showing that the entropy of a binomial law is an increasing function of $n$. Now we need the stronger result that $\frac{Y_{n}}{n}$ is an increasing sequence, or equivalently that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \frac{Y_{n+1}}{n+1} \geq \log \frac{Y_{n}}{n} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J S D}\left(P_{X_{n}+1}, P_{X_{n}}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{n+1}{n} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use the following expansion of the Jensen-Shannon divergence, due to B.Y. Ryabko and reported in [13].

Lemma 3.1. The Jensen-Shannon divergence can be expanded as follows

$$
\mathcal{J S D}(P, Q)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2 \nu(2 \nu-1)} \Delta_{\nu}(P, Q)
$$

with

$$
\Delta_{\nu}(P, Q)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left|p_{i}-q_{i}\right|^{2 \nu}}{\left(p_{i}+q_{i}\right)^{2 \nu-1}}
$$

This lemma, applied in the particular case where $P=P_{X_{n}}$ and $Q=P_{X_{n}+1}$ yields the following result.
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Lemma 3.2. The Jensen-Shannon divergence between $P_{X_{n}+1}$ and $P_{X_{n}}$ can be expressed as

$$
\mathcal{J S D}\left(P_{X_{n}+1}, P_{X_{n}}\right)=\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\nu(2 \nu-1)} \cdot \frac{2^{2 \nu-1}}{(n+1)^{2 \nu}} m_{2 \nu}\left(B\left(n+1, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right),
$$

where $m_{2 \nu}\left(B\left(n+1, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right)$ denotes the order $2 \nu$ central moment of a binomial random variable $B\left(n+1, \frac{1}{2}\right)$.
Proof. Denote $P=p_{i}, Q=p_{i}^{+}$and $\bar{p}_{i}=\left(p_{i}+p_{i}^{+}\right) / 2$. For the term $\Delta_{\nu}\left(P_{X_{n}+1}, P_{X_{n}}\right)$ we have

$$
\Delta_{\nu}\left(P_{X_{n}+1}, P_{X_{n}}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left|p_{i}^{+}-p_{i}\right|^{2 \nu}}{\left(p_{i}^{+}+p_{i}\right)^{2 \nu-1}}=2 \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{p_{i}^{+}-p_{i}}{p_{i}^{+}+p_{i}}\right)^{2 \nu} \bar{p}_{i}
$$

and

$$
\frac{p_{i}^{+}-p_{i}}{p_{i}^{+}+p_{i}}=\frac{2^{-n}\binom{n}{i-1}-2^{-n}\binom{n}{i}}{2^{-n}\binom{n}{i-1}+2^{-n}\binom{n}{i}}=\frac{2 i-n-1}{n+1}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\nu}\left(P_{X_{n}+1}, P_{X_{n}}\right) & =2 \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{2 i-n-1}{n+1}\right)^{2 \nu} \bar{p}_{i} \\
& =2\left(\frac{2}{n+1}\right)^{2 \nu} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(i-\frac{n+1}{2}\right)^{2 \nu} \bar{p}_{i} \\
& =\frac{2^{2 \nu+1}}{(n+1)^{2 \nu}} m_{2 \nu}\left(B\left(n+1, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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Finally, the Jensen-Shannon divergence becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J S D}\left(P_{X_{n}+1}, P_{X_{n}}\right) & =\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\nu=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\nu(2 \nu-1)} \Delta_{\nu}\left(P_{X_{n}+1}, P_{X_{n}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\nu=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\nu(2 \nu-1)} \cdot \frac{2^{2 \nu-1}}{(n+1)^{2 \nu}} m_{2 \nu}\left(B\left(n+1, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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## 4. Proof of the Main Theorem

We are now in a position to show that the function $n \curvearrowright \frac{Y_{n}}{n}$ is increasing, or equivalently that inequality (3.9) holds.

Proof. We remark that it suffices to prove the following inequality
(4.1) $\sum_{\nu=1}^{3} \frac{1}{\nu(2 \nu-1)} \cdot \frac{2^{2 \nu-1}}{(n+1)^{2 \nu}} m_{2 \nu}\left(B\left(n+1, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right) \geq \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right)$
since the terms $\nu>3$ in the expansion of the Jensen-Shannon divergence are all non-negative. Now an explicit computation of the three first even central moments of a binomial random variable with parameters $n+1$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ yields

$$
m_{2}=\frac{n+1}{4}, \quad m_{4}=\frac{(n+1)(3 n+1)}{16} \quad \text { and } \quad m_{6}=\frac{(n+1)\left(15 n^{2}+1\right)}{64}
$$

so that inequality (4.1) becomes

$$
\frac{1}{60} \frac{30 n^{4}+135 n^{3}+245 n^{2}+145 n+37}{(n+1)^{5}} \geq \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right)
$$

Let us now upper-bound the right hand side as follows

$$
\log \left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right) \leq \frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{2 n^{2}}+\frac{1}{3 n^{3}}
$$

so that it suffices to prove that
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Rearranging the terms yields the equivalent inequality

$$
\frac{1}{60} \cdot \frac{10 n^{5}-55 n^{4}-63 n^{3}-55 n^{2}-35 n-10}{(n+1)^{5} n^{3}} \geq 0
$$

which is equivalent to the positivity of polynomial

$$
P(n)=10 n^{5}-55 n^{4}-63 n^{3}-55 n^{2}-35 n-10
$$

Assuming first that $n \geq 7$, we remark that

$$
P(n) \geq 10 n^{5}-n^{4}\left(55+\frac{63}{6}+\frac{55}{6^{2}}+\frac{35}{6^{3}}+\frac{10}{6^{4}}\right)=\left(10 n-\frac{5443}{81}\right) n^{4}
$$

whose positivity is ensured as soon as $n \geq 7$.
This result can be extended to the values $1 \leq n \leq 6$ by a direct inspection at the values of function $n \curvearrowright \frac{Y_{n}}{n}$ as given in the following table.

| $n$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\frac{e^{2 H\left(X_{n}\right)}}{n}$ | 4 | 4 | 4.105 | 4.173 | 4.212 | 4.233 |

Table 1: Values of the function $n \curvearrowright \frac{Y_{n}}{n}$ for $1 \leq n \leq 6$.
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