ON EQUIVALENCE OF COEFFICIENT CONDITIONS

L. LEINDLER

Bolyai Institute, University of Szeged, Aradi vértanúk tere 1, H-6720 Szeged, Hungary EMail: leindler@math.u-szeged.hu

Received:	24 January, 2007	
Accepted:	12 March, 2007	
Communicated by:	J.M. Rassias	
2000 AMS Sub. Class.:	26D15, 40D15.	
Key words:	Numerical series, Equiconvergence, Weyl multiplier.	
Abstract:	Two equivalence theorems and two corollaries are proved pertaining to the equiconvergence of numerical series.	



vol. 8, iss. 1, art. 8, 2007

Title Page				
Contents				
••	••			
•	►			
Page 1 of 12				
Go Back				
Full Screen				
Close				

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

© 2007 Victoria University. All rights reserved.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Results	6
3	Proofs	8



journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{}^{\circ}}$ 2007 Victoria University. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Some papers, see [1], [2] and [5], have dealt with the equivalence of coefficient conditions, e.g. in [3] it was proved that two conditions which guarantee that a factor-sequence should be a Weyl multiplier for a certain property of a given orthogonal series is equivalent to one assumption. An example of these results is the following general theorem proved in [2].

Theorem 1.1. Let $0 , <math>\{\lambda_n\}$ and $\{c_n\}$ be sequences of nonnegative numbers, furthermore let $\Lambda_n := \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k$. The inequality

(1.1)
$$S_{11} := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} c_k^q \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} < \infty$$

holds if and only if there exists a nondecreasing sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ of positive numbers satisfying the following conditions

$$S_{12} := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n^q \mu_n < \infty$$

and

(1.3)
$$S_{13} := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \left(\frac{\Lambda_n}{\mu_n}\right)^{\frac{p}{q-p}} < \infty.$$

In the special case p = 1, q = 2, with a_n and λ_n^{-1} in the place of c_n and λ_n , the author in [3] showed that if

(1.4)
$$\mu_n := \Lambda_n C_n^{-1}, \quad \text{where} \quad C_n := \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} c_k^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$



journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

then (1.1) implies (1.2) and (1.3).

In this special case it is easy to see that if $\varepsilon_n \to 0$, then with $\mu_n^* := \varepsilon_n \mu_n$ in place of μ_n , the condition (1.2) is also satisfied, but it can be that

(1.5)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \frac{\Lambda_n}{\mu_n^*} = \infty$$

will occur.

This raises the question: Do (1.2) and (1.5) with the sequence $\{\mu_n^*\}$ also imply (1.1) for arbitrary $\{c_n\}$? In [3] we showed that the answer is negative. In other words, this verified the necessity of condition (1.3).

This shows that condition (1.2), in general, does not imply the inequality (1.3).

Thus, we can ask, promptly in connection with the general case considered in Theorem 1.1: What is the "optimal sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ " when (1.2) implies (1.3) and conversely?

We shall show that the optimal sequence is

(1.6)
$$\mu_n := \Lambda_n C_n^{p-q}, \quad \text{where} \quad C_n := \left(\sum_{k=n}^\infty c_k^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

and with this $\{\mu_n\}$ (1.2) holds if and only if (1.3) also holds, that is, the assumptions (1.2) and (1.3) are equivalent.

Since the following symmetrical analogue of Theorem 1.1 was also verified in [2], therefore we shall set the same question pertaining to the series appearing in it.

Theorem 1.2. If $p, q, \{\lambda_n\}$ and $\{c_n\}$ are as in Theorem 1.1, furthermore $\tilde{\Lambda}_n := \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \lambda_k$, then

 \boldsymbol{n}

(1.7)
$$S_{17} := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_m \left(\sum_{k=1}^m c_k^q \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} < \infty$$



journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

holds if and only if there exists a nondecreasing sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ of positive numbers satisfying conditions (1.2) and

(1.8)
$$S_{18} := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \left(\frac{\tilde{\Lambda}_n}{\mu_n}\right)^{\frac{p}{q-p}} < \infty.$$

In order to verify our assertions made above, first we shall prove two theorems regarding the equiconvergence of two special series.



in pure and applied mathematics

2. Results

We prove the following assertions.

Theorem 2.1. Let $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\{a_n\}$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ be sequences of nonnegative numbers, furthermore let $\Lambda_n := \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k$, $A_n := \sum_{k=n}^\infty a_k$ and $\mu_n := \Lambda_n A_n^{\alpha-1}$. Then the sum

$$S_{21} := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \mu_n < \infty$$

if and only if

(2.2)
$$S_{22} := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n A_n^{\alpha} < \infty.$$

Theorem 2.2. If α , $\{a_n\}$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ are as in Theorem 2.1, furthermore $\tilde{\Lambda}_n := \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \lambda_k$, $\tilde{A}_n := \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k$, $\tilde{A}_0 := 0$, and $\tilde{\mu}_n := \tilde{\Lambda}_n \tilde{A}_n^{\alpha-1}$, then

$$(2.3) S_{23} := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \tilde{\mu}_n < \infty$$

if and only if

(2.4)
$$S_{24} := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \tilde{A}_n^{\alpha} < \infty.$$

Corollary 2.3. If $p, q, \{\lambda_n\}, \{c_n\}$ and Λ_n are as in Theorem 1.1, and μ_n is defined in (1.6), then the sums in (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) are equiconvergent.



Conditions						
L. Leindler						
vol. 8, iss. 1, art. 8, 2007						
Title Page						
Contents						
44	••					
•	►					
Page 6 of 12						
Go Back						
Full Screen						
Close						
ournal of inequalities pure and applied nathematics ssn: 1443-5756						

Corollary 2.4. If $p, q, \{\lambda_n\}, \{c_n\}$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}_n$ are as in Theorem 1.2, furthermore

$$\mu_n := \tilde{\Lambda}_n \tilde{C}_n^{p-q}, \quad \text{where} \quad \tilde{C}_n := \left(\sum_{k=1}^n c_k^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

then the sums in (1.2), (1.7) and (1.8) are equiconvergent.

Remark 1. Corollary 2.3 shows that if (1.1) implies a certain property of a fixed orthogonal series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \varphi_n(x)$, then there is no exact universal Weyl multiplier concerning this property, namely the multiplier sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ depends on $\{c_n\}$.

Remark 2. The interested reader can check that the proofs of the implications $(1.1) \Rightarrow (1.2)$ and $(1.7) \Rightarrow (1.2)$ given by our corollaries are shorter than those in [2].

Remark 3. As far as we know, Y. Okuyama and T. Tsuchikara [4]were the first to study conditions of the type (1.7).



in pure and applied mathematics

3. Proofs

Proof of Theorem 2.1. First we show that (2.1) implies (2.2). Since $A_n \to 0$, then

(3.1)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n A_n^{\alpha} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} (A_m^{\alpha} - A_{m+1}^{\alpha})$$
$$= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (A_m^{\alpha} - A_{m+1}^{\alpha}) \sum_{n=1}^{m} \lambda_n.$$

An easy consideration yields that if $0 \le a < b, \ 0 < \alpha < 1$ and

(3.2)
$$\frac{b^{\alpha} - a^{\alpha}}{b - a} = \alpha \, \xi^{\alpha - 1},$$

then

$$(3.3) \qquad \qquad \xi \ge \alpha^{1/(1-\alpha)}b =: \xi_0,$$

namely if a = 0 then $\xi = \xi_0$. Using the relations (3.2) and (3.3) we obtain that

$$(3.4) A_m^{\alpha} - A_{m+1}^{\alpha} = a_m \alpha \xi^{\alpha - 1} \le a_m A_m^{\alpha - 1}$$

This and (3.1) yield that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n A_n^{\alpha} \le \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m A_m^{\alpha-1} \Lambda_m = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m \mu_m$$

Herewith the implication $(2.1) \Rightarrow (2.2)$ is proved.



journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

The proof of (2.2) \Rightarrow (2.1) is similar. We use the first part of (3.4), $\alpha < 1$ and $\xi < A_m$. Thus

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m \mu_m = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m A_m^{\alpha - 1} \Lambda_m$$
$$= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (A_m - A_{m+1}) A_m^{\alpha - 1} \Lambda_m$$
$$\leq \alpha^{-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (A_m^{\alpha} - A_{m+1}^{\alpha}) \sum_{n=1}^m \lambda_n$$
$$= \alpha^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} (A_m^{\alpha} - A_{m+1}^{\alpha})$$
$$= \alpha^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n A_n^{\alpha},$$

that is, $(2.2) \Rightarrow (2.1)$ is verified.

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is complete.

 ∞

Proof of Theorem 2.2. The proof is almost the same as that of Theorem 2.1. By (3.2) and (3.3) we get that

(3.5)
$$\tilde{A}_m^{\alpha} - \tilde{A}_{m-1}^{\alpha} = a_m \alpha \xi^{\alpha - 1} \le a_m \tilde{A}_m^{\alpha - 1}$$

Utilizing this at the final step we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \tilde{A}_n^{\alpha} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \sum_{k=1}^n (\tilde{A}_k^{\alpha} - \tilde{A}_{k-1}^{\alpha})$$



journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

$$=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\tilde{A}_k^{\alpha} - \tilde{A}_{k-1}^{\alpha}) \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \lambda_n \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \tilde{A}_k^{\alpha-1} \tilde{\Lambda}_k \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \tilde{\mu}_k;$$

this proves the implication $(2.3) \Rightarrow (2.4)$.

To verify $(2.4) \Rightarrow (2.3)$ we use

$$\alpha^{-1}(\tilde{A}_m^{\alpha} - \tilde{A}_{m-1}^{\alpha}) \ge a_m \tilde{A}_m^{\alpha-1},$$

which follows from the first part of (3.5) by $\alpha < 1$ and $\xi < \tilde{A}_m$. Thus we get that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \tilde{\mu}_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \tilde{A}_n^{\alpha-1} \tilde{\Lambda}_n$$

$$\leq \alpha^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\tilde{A}_n^{\alpha} - \tilde{A}_{n-1}^{\alpha}) \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \lambda_k$$

$$= \alpha^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \sum_{n=1}^k (\tilde{A}_n^{\alpha} - \tilde{A}_{n-1}^{\alpha})$$

$$= \alpha^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \tilde{A}_k^{\alpha}.$$

Summing up, the proof of Theorem 2.2 is complete. ∎

Proof of Corollary 2.3. We shall use the results of Theorem 2.1 with $\alpha = \frac{p}{q}$ and $a_n = c_n^q$. Then $\mu_n = \Lambda_n \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} c_k^q\right)^{\frac{p-q}{q}}$, and $S_{22} \equiv S_{11} \equiv S_{13}$ as well as $S_{21} \equiv S_{12}$,



in pure and applied mathematics

moreover, by Theorem 2.1, S_{21} and S_{22} are equiconvergent, herewith Corollary 2.3 is proved.

Proof of Corollary 2.4. Now we utilize Theorem 2.2 with $\alpha = \frac{p}{q}$ and $a_n = c_n^q$. Then $\tilde{\mu}_n = \tilde{\Lambda}_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^n c_k^q\right)^{\frac{p-q}{q}}$, furthermore

 $S_{24} \equiv S_{17} \equiv S_{18} \quad \text{and} \quad S_{23} \equiv S_{12},$

hold. Since, by Theorem 2.2, S_{23} and S_{24} are equiconvergent, thus Corollary 2.4 is verified.



in pure and applied mathematics

References

- [1] L. LEINDLER, On relations of coefficient-conditions, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **39** (1982), 409–420.
- [2] L. LEINDLER, On equivalence of coefficient conditions and application, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, **1** (1998), 41–51.
- [3] L. LEINDLER, An addendum of an equivalence theorem, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, **10**(1) (2007), 49–55.
- [4] Y. OKUYAMA AND T. TSUCHIKURA, On the absolute Riesz summability of orthogonal series, *Analysis Math.*, **7** (1981), 199–208.
- [5] V. TOTIK AND I. VINCZE, On relations of coefficient conditions, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), 50 (1986), 93–98.



