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#### Abstract

In the present investigation, we obtain the Fekete-Szegö inequality for a certain normalized analytic function $f(z)$ defined on the open unit disk for which $1+\frac{1}{b}\left[\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\alpha z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right]$ ( $\alpha \geq 0$ and $b \neq 0$, a complex number) lies in a region starlike with respect to 1 and symmetric with respect to real axis. Also certain application of the main result for a class of functions of complex order defined by convolution is given. The motivation of this paper is to give a generalization of the Fekete-Szegö inequalities for subclasses of starlike functions of complex order.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of all analytic functions $f(z)$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \quad(z \in \Delta:=\{z \in \mathbb{C} /|z|<1\}) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]and $\mathcal{S}$ be the subclass of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of univalent functions. Let $\phi(z)$ be an analytic function with positive real part on $\Delta$ with $\phi(0)=1, \phi^{\prime}(0)>0$ which maps the unit disk $\Delta$ onto a region starlike with respect to 1 which is symmetric with respect to the real axis. Let $S^{*}(\phi)$ be the class of functions in $f \in \mathcal{S}$ for which
$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec \phi(z), \quad(z \in \Delta)
$$
and $C(\phi)$ be the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{S}$ for which
$$
1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)} \prec \phi(z), \quad(z \in \Delta),
$$
where $\prec$ denotes the subordination between analytic functions. These classes were introduced and studied by Ma and Minda [4]. They have obtained the Fekete-Szegö inequality for functions in the class $C(\phi)$. Since $f \in C(\phi)$ iff $z f^{\prime}(z) \in S^{*}(\phi)$, we get the Fekete-Szegö inequality for functions in the class $S^{*}(\phi)$.

The class $S_{b}^{*}(\phi)$ consists of all analytic functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying

$$
1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right) \prec \phi(z)
$$

and the class $C_{b}(\phi)$ consists of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying

$$
1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right) \prec \phi(z)
$$

These classes were defined and studied by Ravichandran et al. [7]. They have obtained the Fekete-Szegö inequalities for functions in these classes.

For a brief history of the Fekete-Szegö problem for the class of starlike, convex and close to convex functions, see the recent paper by Srivastava et al. [10].

In the present paper, we obtain the Fekete-Szegö inequality for functions in a more general class $M_{\alpha, b}(\phi)$ of functions which we define below. Also we give applications of our results to certain functions defined through convolution (or Hadamard product) and in particular we consider a class $M_{\alpha, b}^{\lambda}(\phi)$ of functions defined by fractional derivatives.
Definition 1.1. Let $b \neq 0$ be a complex number. Let $\phi(z)$ be an analytic function with positive real part on $\Delta$ with $\phi(0)=1, \phi^{\prime}(0)>0$ which maps the unit disk $\Delta$ onto a region starlike with respect to 1 which is symmetric with respect to the real axis. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is in the class $M_{\alpha, b}(\phi)$ if

$$
1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\alpha z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right) \prec \phi(z) \quad(\alpha \geq 0) .
$$

For fixed $g \in \mathcal{A}$, we define the class $M_{\alpha, b}^{g}(\phi)$ to be the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ for which $(f * g) \in M_{\alpha, b}(\phi)$.

To prove our result, we need the following:
Lemma 1.1 ([7]]). If $p(z)=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$ is a function with positive real part, then for any complex number $\mu$,

$$
\left|c_{2}-\mu c_{1}^{2}\right| \leq 2 \max \{1,|2 \mu-1|\}
$$

and the result is sharp for the functions given by

$$
p(z)=\frac{1+z^{2}}{1-z^{2}}, \quad p(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z}
$$

## 2. The Fekete-Szegö Problem

Our main result is the following:
Theorem 2.1. Let $\phi(z)=1+B_{1} z+B_{2} z^{2}+B_{3} z^{3}+\cdots$. If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to $M_{\alpha, b}(\phi)$, then

$$
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}|b|}{2(1+3 \alpha)} \max \left\{1,\left|\frac{B_{2}}{B_{1}}+\left[\frac{(1+2 \alpha)-2 \mu(1+3 \alpha)}{(1+2 \alpha)^{2}}\right] b B_{1}\right|\right\}
$$

The result is sharp.
Proof. If $f(z) \in M_{\alpha, b}(\phi)$, then there is a Schwarz function $w(z)$, analytic in $\Delta$ with $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1$ in $\Delta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\alpha z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right)=\phi(w(z)) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the function $p_{1}(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}(z):=\frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)}=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $w(z)$ is a Schwarz function, we see that $\mathcal{R} p_{1}(z)>0$ and $p_{1}(0)=1$. Define the function $p(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z):=1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\alpha z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right)=1+b_{1} z+b_{2} z^{2}+\cdots . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of the equations (2.1), (2.2), (2.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=\phi\left(\frac{p_{1}(z)-1}{p_{1}(z)+1}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from this equation (2.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}=\frac{1}{2} B_{1} c_{1} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{2}=\frac{1}{2} B_{1}\left(c_{2}-\frac{1}{2} c_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} B_{2} c_{1}^{2} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equation (2.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1+2 \alpha) a_{2}=b b_{1} \\
& (2+6 \alpha) a_{3}=b b_{2}+(1+2 \alpha) a_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

or equivalently we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{2}=\frac{b b_{1}}{1+2 \alpha},  \tag{2.7}\\
a_{3}=\frac{1}{2+6 \alpha}\left[b b_{2}+\frac{b^{2} b_{1}^{2}}{1+2 \alpha}\right] . \tag{2.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Applying (2.5) in (2.7) and (2.5), (2.6) in (2.8), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{2}=\frac{b B_{1} c_{1}}{2(1+2 \alpha)}, \\
& a_{3}=\frac{b B_{1} c_{2}}{4(1+3 \alpha)}+\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{8(1+3 \alpha)}\left[\frac{b^{2} B_{1}^{2}}{1+2 \alpha}-b\left(B_{1}-B_{2}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}=\frac{b B_{1}}{4(1+3 \alpha)}\left\{c_{2}-v c_{1}^{2}\right\} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
v:=\frac{1}{2}\left[1-\frac{B_{2}}{B_{1}}+\left(\frac{2 \mu(1+3 \alpha)-(1+2 \alpha)}{(1+2 \alpha)^{2}}\right) b B_{1}\right] .
$$

Our result now follows by an application of Lemma 1.1. The result is sharp for the function defined by

$$
1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\alpha z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right)=\phi\left(z^{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\alpha z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right)=\phi(z)
$$

Example 2.1. By taking $b=(1-\beta) e^{-i \lambda} \cos \lambda, \phi(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z}$, we obtain the following sharp inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq & \frac{(1-\beta) \cos \lambda}{1+3 \alpha} \\
& \quad \times \max \left\{1,\left|e^{i \lambda}-2\left[\frac{2 \mu(1+3 \alpha)-(1+2 \alpha)}{(1+2 \alpha)^{2}}\right](1-\beta) \cos \lambda\right|\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 2.2. When $\alpha=0$, Example 2.1] reduces to a result of [7] for $\lambda$-spirallike function $f(z)$ of order $\beta$.

## 3. Application to Functions Defined by Fractional Derivatives

In order to introduce the class $M_{\alpha, b}^{\lambda}(\phi)$, we need the following:
Definition 3.1. (See [5, 6]; see also [11, 12]). Let the function $f(z)$ be analytic in a simply connected region of the $z$-plane containing the origin. The fractional derivative of $f$ of order $\lambda$ is defined by

$$
D_{z}^{\lambda} f(z):=\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\lambda)} \frac{d}{d z} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(z-\zeta)^{\lambda}} d \zeta \quad(0 \leq \lambda<1)
$$

where the multiplicity of $(z-\zeta)^{\lambda}$ is removed by requiring $\log (z-\zeta)$ to be real when $z-\zeta>0$.
Using the above Definition 3.1 and its known extensions involving fractional derivatives and fractional integrals, Owa and Srivastava [5] introduced the operator $\Omega^{\lambda}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ defined by

$$
\left(\Omega^{\lambda} f\right)(z)=\Gamma(2-\lambda) z^{\lambda} D_{z}^{\lambda} f(z), \quad(\lambda \neq 2,3,4, \ldots)
$$

The class $M_{\alpha, b}^{\lambda}(\phi)$ consists of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ for which $\Omega^{\lambda} f \in M_{\alpha, b}(\phi)$. Note that $M_{0, b}^{0}(\phi)=$ $S_{b}^{*}(\phi)$ and $M_{0,1}^{0}(\phi)=S^{*}(\phi)$. Also $M_{\alpha, b}^{\lambda}(\phi)$ is the special case of the class $M_{\alpha, b}^{g}(\phi)$ when

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1) \Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+1-\lambda)} z^{n} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_{n} z^{n} \quad\left(g_{n}>0\right) .
$$

Since

$$
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \in M_{\alpha, b}^{g}(\phi)
$$

if and only if

$$
(f * g)(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_{n} a_{n} z^{n} \in M_{\alpha, b}(\phi),
$$

we obtain the coefficient estimate for functions in the class $M_{\alpha, b}^{g}(\phi)$, from the corresponding estimate for functions in the class $M_{\alpha, b}(\phi)$. Applying Theorem 2.1 for the function $(f * g)(z)=$ $z+g_{2} a_{2} z^{2}+g_{3} a_{3} z^{3}+\cdots$, we get the following theorem after an obvious change of the parameter $\mu$ :

Theorem 3.1. Let the function $\phi(z)$ be given by $\phi(z)=1+B_{1} z+B_{2} z^{2}+B_{3} z^{3}+\cdots$. If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to $M_{\alpha, b}^{g}(\phi)$, then

$$
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}|b|}{2 g_{3}(1+3 \alpha)} \max \left\{1,\left|\frac{B_{2}}{B_{1}}+\left[\frac{(1+2 \alpha) g_{2}^{2}-2 \mu(1+3 \alpha) g_{3}}{(1+2 \alpha)^{2} g_{2}^{2}}\right] b B_{1}\right|\right\} .
$$

The result is sharp.
Since

$$
\left(\Omega^{\lambda} f\right)(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1) \Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+1-\lambda)} a_{n} z^{n},
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{2}=\frac{\Gamma(3) \Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(3-\lambda)}=\frac{2}{2-\lambda} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{3}=\frac{\Gamma(4) \Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(4-\lambda)}=\frac{6}{(2-\lambda)(3-\lambda)} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $g_{2}$ and $g_{3}$ given by (3.2) and (3.3), Theorem 3.1 reduces to the following:
Theorem 3.2. Let the function $\phi(z)$ be given by $\phi(z)=1+B_{1} z+B_{2} z^{2}+B_{3} z^{3}+\cdots$. If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to $M_{\alpha, b}^{\lambda}(\phi)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}|b|(2-\lambda)(3-\lambda)}{12(1+3 \alpha)} \\
& \quad \times \max \left\{1,\left|\frac{B_{2}}{B_{1}}+\left[\frac{(1+2 \alpha)(3-\lambda)-3 \mu(1+3 \alpha)(2-\lambda)}{(3-\lambda)(1+2 \alpha)^{2}} b B_{1}\right]\right|\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The result is sharp.
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