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AbSTRACT. In this paper, we obtain a class of refined Carleman's Inequalities with the arithmeticgeometric mean inequality by decreasing their weight coefficient.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$ be a non-negative sequence such that $0 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_{n}<+\infty$, then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\left(a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n}\right)^{1 / n} \leq e \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_{n} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equality in (1.1) holds if and only if $a_{n}=0, n=1,2, \ldots$ the coefficient $e$ is optimal. Inequality (1.1) is called Carleman's inequality. For details please refer to [1, 2]. The Carleman's inequality has found many applications in mathematics, and the study of the Carleman's inequality has a rich literature, for details, please refer to [3, 4]. Though the coefficient $e$ is optimal, we can refine its weight coefficient. In this article we give a class of improved Carleman's inequalities by decreasing the weight coefficient with the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality.

## 2. Two Special Cases

In this section, we give two special cases of refined Carleman's inequality. First we prove two lemmas.

[^0]Lemma 2.1. For $m=1,2, \ldots$, the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)^{m} \leq e\left(1-\frac{1-2 / e}{m}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, where the constant $1-\frac{2}{e} \approx 0.2642411$ is best possible.
Proof. Inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)^{m} \leq e\left(1-\frac{\beta}{m}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is equivalent to $\beta \leq m-\frac{m}{e}\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)^{m}$.
Let $f(x)=\frac{1}{x}-\frac{1}{e x}(1+x)^{\frac{1}{x}}, x \in(0,1]$.
It is obvious that the function $f$ is decreasing on the interval $(0,1]$. Consequently, $\beta=f(1)=$ $1-\frac{2}{e}$ is the optimal value satisfying inequality (2.2), so 2.1) holds. The proof of Lemma 2.1 follows.

Lemma 2.2. For $m=1,2, \ldots$, the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)^{m} \leq \frac{e}{\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{\ln 2}-1}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, where the constant $\frac{1}{\ln 2}-1 \approx 0.442695$ is the best possible.
Proof. Inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)^{m} \leq \frac{e}{\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)^{\alpha}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is equivalent to

$$
\alpha \leq \frac{1}{\ln \left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)}-m
$$

Let

$$
f(x)=\frac{1}{\ln (1+x)}-\frac{1}{x} \quad x \in(0,1] .
$$

Since the function $f$ is decreasing on the interval $(0,1], \alpha=f(1)=\frac{1}{\ln 2}-1$ is the optimal value satisfying inequality (2.4), and thus (2.3) holds. The proof of Lemma 2.2 follows.
Theorem 2.3. Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$ be a non-negative sequence such that $0 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_{n}<+\infty$. Then the following inequalities hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\left(a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n}\right)^{1 / n} \leq e \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty}\left(1-\frac{1-2 / e}{m}\right) a_{m} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\left(a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n}\right)^{1 / n} \leq e \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \frac{a_{m}}{\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{\ln 2}-1}} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $c_{i}>0(i=1,2, \ldots)$. According to the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality, we have

$$
\left(c_{1} a_{1} c_{2} a_{2} \cdots c_{n} a_{n}\right)^{1 / n} \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{n} c_{m} a_{m}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\left(a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n}\right)^{1 / n} & =\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\left(\frac{c_{1} a_{1} c_{2} a_{2} \cdots c_{n} a_{n}}{c_{1} c_{2} \cdots c_{n}}\right)^{1 / n} \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\left(c_{1} c_{2} \cdots c_{n}\right)^{-1 / n}\left(c_{1} a_{1} c_{2} a_{2} \cdots c_{n} a_{n}\right)^{1 / n} \\
& \leq \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\left(c_{1} c_{2} \cdots c_{n}\right)^{-1 / n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{n} c_{m} a_{m} \\
& =\sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} c_{m} a_{m} \sum_{n=m}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n}\left(c_{1} c_{2} \cdots c_{n}\right)^{-1 / n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $c_{m}=\frac{(m+1)^{m}}{m^{m-1}}(m=1,2, \ldots)$. Then $c_{1} c_{2} \cdots c_{n}=(n+1)^{n}$, and

$$
\sum_{n=m}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n}\left(c_{1} c_{2} \cdots c_{n}\right)^{-1 / n}=\sum_{n=m}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n(n+1)}=\frac{1}{m}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\left(a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n}\right)^{1 / n} \leq \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \frac{c_{m}}{m} a_{m}=\sum_{m=1}^{+\infty}\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)^{m} a_{m} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, and substituting for $\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)^{m}$ of inequality 2.7 , so 2.5 , and (2.6) follow from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 .

The proof is complete.

## 3. A Class of Refined Carleman's Inequalities

In this section we give a class of refined Carleman's inequalities. First we have the following inequality
Lemma 3.1. For $m=1,2, \ldots$, the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)^{m} \leq \frac{e\left(1-\frac{\beta}{m}\right)}{\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)^{\alpha}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, where $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{\ln 2}-1,0 \leq \beta \leq 1-\frac{2}{e}$, and $e \beta+2^{1+\alpha}=e$.
Proof. Inequality (3.1) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta \leq m-\frac{m}{e}\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)^{m+\alpha} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If

$$
f(x)=\frac{1}{x}-\frac{1}{e x}(1+x)^{\frac{1}{x}+\alpha}, x \in(0,1], 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{\ln 2}-1,
$$

then $f$ is decreasing on interval $(0,1]$. Consequently, $\beta=f(1)=1-\frac{1}{e} 2^{1+\alpha}$ is the optimal value satisfying inequality (3.2). Moreover, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1-\frac{2}{e}$, and $e \beta+2^{1+\alpha}=e$. So 3.1 holds, The proof is complete.

Remark 3.2. If $\alpha=0$, then $\beta=1-\frac{2}{e}$, and we obtain Lemma 2.1, if $\beta=0$, then $\alpha=\frac{1}{\ln 2}-1$, and we obtain Lemma 2.2 .

Similar to Theorem 2.3, according to Lemma 3.1, we have

Theorem 3.3. Let $a_{n} \geq 0(n=1,2, \ldots), 0 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_{n}<+\infty$, then

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\left(a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n}\right)^{1 / n} \leq e \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\left(1-\frac{\beta}{m}\right)}{\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)^{\alpha}} a_{m}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta$ satisfy $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{\ln 2}-1,0 \leq \beta \leq 1-\frac{2}{e}$, and $e \beta+2^{1+\alpha}=e$.
Remark 3.4. Theorem 2.3 gives two special cases of Theorem 3.3. If $\alpha=0, \beta=1-\frac{2}{e}$, and $\alpha=\frac{1}{\ln 2}-1, \beta=0$, we can obtain 2.5 and 2.6 in Theorem 2.3 respectively.
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