

# Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics

http://jipam.vu.edu.au/

Volume 2, Issue 2, Article 21, 2001

## **REFINEMENTS OF CARLEMAN'S INEQUALITY**

**BAO-QUAN YUAN** 

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JIAOZUO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, JIAOZUO CITY, HENAN PROVINCE 454000 PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA baoquanyuan@chinaren.com

Received 18 August, 2000; accepted 2 March, 2001. Communicated by J. Pečarić

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we obtain a class of refined Carleman's Inequalities with the arithmeticgeometric mean inequality by decreasing their weight coefficient.

Key words and phrases: Carleman's inequality, arithmetic-geometric mean inequality, weight coefficient.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 26D15.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$  be a non-negative sequence such that  $0 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n < +\infty$ , then, we have

(1.1) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (a_1 a_2 \dots a_n)^{1/n} \le e \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n$$

The equality in (1.1) holds if and only if  $a_n = 0, n = 1, 2, ...$  the coefficient e is optimal.

Inequality (1.1) is called Carleman's inequality. For details please refer to [1, 2]. The Carleman's inequality has found many applications in mathematics, and the study of the Carleman's inequality has a rich literature, for details, please refer to [3, 4]. Though the coefficient *e* is optimal, we can refine its weight coefficient. In this article we give a class of improved Carleman's inequalities by decreasing the weight coefficient with the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality.

#### 2. TWO SPECIAL CASES

In this section, we give two special cases of refined Carleman's inequality. First we prove two lemmas.

ISSN (electronic): 1443-5756

<sup>© 2001</sup> Victoria University. All rights reserved.

The author is indebted to the referee for many helpful and valuable comments and suggestions.

<sup>029-00</sup> 

**Lemma 2.1.** For  $m = 1, 2, \ldots$ , the inequality

(2.1) 
$$\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)^m \le e\left(1-\frac{1-2/e}{m}\right)$$

holds, where the constant  $1 - \frac{2}{e} \approx 0.2642411$  is best possible.

Proof. Inequality

(2.2) 
$$\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)^m \le e\left(1-\frac{\beta}{m}\right)$$

is equivalent to  $\beta \leq m - \frac{m}{e} \left(1 + \frac{1}{m}\right)^m$ .

Let  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{ex} (1+x)^{\frac{1}{x}}$ ,  $x \in (0, 1]$ . It is obvious that the function f is decreasing on the interval (0, 1]. Consequently,  $\beta = f(1) = 1 - \frac{2}{e}$  is the optimal value satisfying inequality (2.2), so (2.1) holds. The proof of Lemma 2.1 follows. 

**Lemma 2.2.** For  $m = 1, 2, \ldots$ , the inequality

(2.3) 
$$\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)^m \le \frac{e}{\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{\ln 2}-1}}$$

holds, where the constant  $\frac{1}{\ln 2} - 1 \approx 0.442695$  is the best possible.

*Proof.* Inequality

(2.4) 
$$\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)^m \le \frac{e}{\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)^{\alpha}}$$

is equivalent to

$$\alpha \le \frac{1}{\ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{m}\right)} - m.$$

Let

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\ln(1+x)} - \frac{1}{x}$$
  $x \in (0,1].$ 

Since the function f is decreasing on the interval (0, 1],  $\alpha = f(1) = \frac{1}{\ln 2} - 1$  is the optimal value satisfying inequality (2.4), and thus (2.3) holds. The proof of Lemma 2.2 follows.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$  be a non-negative sequence such that  $0 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n < +\infty$ . Then the following inequalities hold:

(2.5) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (a_1 a_2 \dots a_n)^{1/n} \le e \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{1 - 2/e}{m} \right) a_m,$$

and

(2.6) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (a_1 a_2 \dots a_n)^{1/n} \le e \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \frac{a_m}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{\ln 2} - 1}}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $c_i > 0$  (i = 1, 2, ...). According to the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality, we have

$$(c_1 a_1 c_2 a_2 \cdots c_n a_n)^{1/n} \le \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^n c_m a_m.$$

Consequently,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n)^{1/n} = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \left( \frac{c_1 a_1 c_2 a_2 \cdots c_n a_n}{c_1 c_2 \cdots c_n} \right)^{1/n}$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (c_1 c_2 \cdots c_n)^{-1/n} (c_1 a_1 c_2 a_2 \cdots c_n a_n)^{1/n}$$
$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (c_1 c_2 \cdots c_n)^{-1/n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^n c_m a_m$$
$$= \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} c_m a_m \sum_{n=m}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n} (c_1 c_2 \cdots c_n)^{-1/n}.$$

Let  $c_m = \frac{(m+1)^m}{m^{m-1}}$  (m = 1, 2, ...). Then  $c_1 c_2 \cdots c_n = (n+1)^n$ , and

$$\sum_{n=m}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n} (c_1 c_2 \cdots c_n)^{-1/n} = \sum_{n=m}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n(n+1)} = \frac{1}{m}$$

Therefore

(2.7) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n)^{1/n} \le \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \frac{c_m}{m} a_m = \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{m}\right)^m a_m$$

According to Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, and substituting for  $(1 + \frac{1}{m})^m$  of inequality (2.7), so (2.5) and (2.6) follow from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2.

The proof is complete.

### 3. A CLASS OF REFINED CARLEMAN'S INEQUALITIES

In this section we give a class of refined Carleman's inequalities. First we have the following inequality

**Lemma 3.1.** For  $m = 1, 2, \ldots$ , the inequality

(3.1) 
$$\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)^m \le \frac{e\left(1-\frac{\beta}{m}\right)}{\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)^{\alpha}},$$

holds, where  $0 \le \alpha \le \frac{1}{\ln 2} - 1$ ,  $0 \le \beta \le 1 - \frac{2}{e}$ , and  $e\beta + 2^{1+\alpha} = e$ .

Proof. Inequality (3.1) is equivalent to

$$(3.2) \qquad \qquad \beta \le m - \frac{m}{e} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{m} \right)^{m+\alpha}$$

If

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{ex} (1+x)^{\frac{1}{x}+\alpha}, \ x \in (0,1], \ 0 \le \alpha \le \frac{1}{\ln 2} - 1,$$

then f is decreasing on interval (0, 1]. Consequently,  $\beta = f(1) = 1 - \frac{1}{e}2^{1+\alpha}$  is the optimal value satisfying inequality (3.2). Moreover,  $0 \le \beta \le 1 - \frac{2}{e}$ , and  $e\beta + 2^{1+\alpha} = e$ . So (3.1) holds, The proof is complete.

**Remark 3.2.** If  $\alpha = 0$ , then  $\beta = 1 - \frac{2}{e}$ , and we obtain Lemma 2.1; if  $\beta = 0$ , then  $\alpha = \frac{1}{\ln 2} - 1$ , and we obtain Lemma 2.2.

Similar to Theorem 2.3, according to Lemma 3.1, we have

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $a_n \ge 0$   $(n = 1, 2, ...), 0 \le \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n < +\infty$ , then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n)^{1/n} \le e \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{m}\right)}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{m}\right)^{\alpha}} a_m,$$

where  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  satisfy  $0 \le \alpha \le \frac{1}{\ln 2} - 1$ ,  $0 \le \beta \le 1 - \frac{2}{e}$ , and  $e\beta + 2^{1+\alpha} = e$ .

**Remark 3.4.** Theorem 2.3 gives two special cases of Theorem 3.3. If  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\beta = 1 - \frac{2}{e}$ , and  $\alpha = \frac{1}{\ln 2} - 1$ ,  $\beta = 0$ , we can obtain (2.5) and (2.6) in Theorem 2.3 respectively.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] G.H. HARDY, J.E. LITTLEWOOD AND G. POLYA, *Inequalities*, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1952.
- [2] JI-CHANG KUANG, *Applied Inequalities*, Hunan Education Press (second edition), Changsha, China, 1993.(Chinese)
- [3] PING YAN AND GUOZHENG SUN, A strengthened Carleman's inequality, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 240 (1999), 290–293.
- [4] BICHENG YANG AND L. DEBNATH, Some inequalities involving the constant *e*, and an application to Carleman's inequality, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **223** (1998), 347–353.