Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics

NEIGHBORHOODS OF CERTAIN CLASSES OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS OF COMPLEX ORDER

G. MURUGUSUNDARAMOORTHY AND H. M. SRIVASTAVA

Department of Mathematics Vellore Institute of Technology (Deemed University) Vellore 632014, Tamil Nadu India *EMail*: gmsmoorthy@rediffmail.com

Department of Mathematics and Statistics University of Victoria Victoria, British Columbia V8W 3P4 Canada *EMail:* harimsri@math.uvic.ca

©2000 Victoria University ISSN (electronic): 1443-5756 024-04



volume 5, issue 2, article 24, 2004.

Received 30 January, 2004; accepted 02 February, 2004.

Communicated by: Th.M. Rassias



Abstract

By making use of the familiar concept of neighborhoods of analytic functions, the authors prove several inclusion relations associated with the (n, δ) -neighborhoods of certain subclasses of analytic functions of complex order, which are introduced here by means of the Ruscheweyh derivatives. Special cases of some of these inclusion relations are shown to yield known results.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 30C45.

Key words: Analytic functions, Ruscheweyh derivatives, Starlike functions, Convex functions, δ -neighborhood, Inclusion relations.

Contents

1	Introduction and Definitions	3
2	Inclusion Relations Involving $N_{n,\delta}(e)$	7
	Neighborhoods for the Classes $S_n^{(\alpha)}(\gamma,\lambda,\beta)$ and	
	$\mathcal{R}_n^{(\alpha)}(\gamma,\lambda,\beta;\mu)$	13
References		



Neighborhoods of Certain Classes of Analytic Functions of Complex Order



J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 5(2) Art. 24, 2004 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

1. Introduction and Definitions

Let $\mathcal{A}(n)$ denote the class of functions f of the form:

(1.1)
$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \ (a_k \ge 0; k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}; n \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\})$$

which are *analytic* in the *open* unit disk

$$\mathbb{U} := \left\{ z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1 \right\}.$$

Following the works of Goodman [9] and Ruscheweyh [14], we define the (n, δ) -neighborhood of a function $f \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ by (see also [2], [3], [4], and [16])

(1.2)
$$N_{n,\delta}(f) := \left\{ g \in \mathcal{A}(n) : g(z) = z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} b_k z^k \right.$$

and
$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k |a_k - b_k| \le \delta \right\}.$$

In particular, for the *identity* function


$$(1.3) e(z) = z,$$

we immediately have

(1.4)
$$N_{n,\delta}(e) := \left\{ g \in \mathcal{A}(n) : g(z) = z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} b_k z^k \text{ and } \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k |b_k| \le \delta \right\}$$



Neighborhoods of Certain Classes of Analytic Functions of Complex Order



J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 5(2) Art. 24, 2004 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

The above concept of (n, δ) -neighborhoods was extended and applied recently to families of *analytically* multivalent functions by Altintaş *et al.* [6] and to families of *meromorphically* multivalent functions by Liu and Srivastava ([10] and [11]). The main object of the present paper is to investigate the (n, δ) neighborhoods of several subclasses of the class $\mathcal{A}(n)$ of *normalized* analytic functions in \mathbb{U} with negative *and* missing coefficients, which are introduced below by making use of the Ruscheweyh derivatives.

First of all, we say that a function $f \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ is *starlike of complex order* γ ($\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$), that is, $f \in \mathcal{S}_n^*(\gamma)$, if it also satisfies the following inequality:

(1.5)
$$\Re\left(1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left[\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}-1\right]\right) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; \ \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}).$$


Furthermore, a function $f \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ is said to be *convex of complex order* γ $(\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})$, that is, $f \in \mathcal{C}_n(\gamma)$, if it also satisfies the following inequality:

(1.6)
$$\Re\left(1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; \, \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}).$$

The classes $S_n^*(\gamma)$ and $C_n(\gamma)$ stem essentially from the classes of starlike and convex functions of complex order, which were considered earlier by Nasr and Aouf [12] and Wiatrowski [18], respectively (see also [5] and [7]).

Next, for the functions f_j (j = 1, 2) given by

(1.7)
$$f_j(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k,j} z^k \qquad (j = 1, 2),$$



J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 5(2) Art. 24, 2004 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

let $f_1 * f_2$ denote the Hadamard product (or convolution) of f_1 and f_2 , defined by

(1.8)
$$(f_1 * f_2)(z) := z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k,1} a_{k,2} z^k =: (f_2 * f_1)(z).$$

- -

Thus the Ruscheweyh derivative operator $D^{\lambda}: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is defined for $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{A}(1)$ by

(1.9)
$$D^{\lambda}f(z) := \frac{z}{(1-z)^{\lambda+1}} * f(z) \qquad (\lambda > -1; f \in \mathcal{A})$$

or, equivalently, by

(1.10)
$$D^{\lambda} f(z) := z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} {\binom{\lambda+k-1}{k-1}} a_k z^k \qquad (\lambda > -1; \ f \in \mathcal{A})$$

for a function $f \in A$ of the form (1.1). Here, *and in what follows*, we make use of the following standard notation:

(1.11)
$$\binom{\kappa}{k} := \frac{\kappa (\kappa - 1) \cdots (\kappa - k + 1)}{k!} \qquad (\kappa \in \mathbb{C}; \ k \in \mathbb{N}_0)$$

for a binomial coefficient. In particular, we have

(1.12)
$$D^{n} f(z) = \frac{z(z^{n-1} f(z))^{(n)}}{n!} \qquad (n \in \mathbb{N}_{0} := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}).$$





J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 5(2) Art. 24, 2004 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

Finally, in terms of the Ruscheweyh derivative $D^{\lambda}(\lambda > -1)$ defined by (1.9) or (1.10) above, let $S_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$ denote the subclass of $\mathcal{A}(n)$ consisting of functions f which satisfy the following inequality:

(1.13)
$$\left| \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{z \left(D^{\lambda} f(z) \right)'}{D^{\lambda} f(z)} - 1 \right) \right| < \beta$$
$$(z \in \mathbb{U}; \ \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}; \ \lambda > -1; \ 0 < \beta \le 1)$$

Also let $\mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta; \mu)$ denote the subclass of $\mathcal{A}(n)$ consisting of functions f which satisfy the following inequality:

(1.14)
$$\left| \frac{1}{\gamma} \left((1-\mu) \frac{D^{\lambda} f(z)}{z} + \mu \left(D^{\lambda} f(z) \right)' - 1 \right) \right| < \beta$$
$$(z \in \mathbb{U}; \ \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}; \ \lambda > -1; \ 0 < \beta \le 1; \ 0 \le \mu \le 1).$$


Various further subclasses of the classes $S_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$ and $\mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta; \mu)$ with $\gamma = 1$ were studied in many earlier works (*cf.*, *e.g.*, [8] and [17]; see also the references cited in these earlier works). Clearly, in the case of (for example) the class $S_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$, we have

(1.15)
$$S_n(\gamma, 0, 1) \subset S_n^*(\gamma) \text{ and } S_n(\gamma, 1, 1) \subset C_n(\gamma)$$

 $(n \in \mathbb{N}; \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}).$



Neighborhoods of Certain Classes of Analytic Functions of Complex Order



J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 5(2) Art. 24, 2004 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

2. Inclusion Relations Involving $N_{n,\delta}(e)$

In our investigation of the inclusion relations involving $N_{n,\delta}(e)$, we shall require Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 below.

Lemma 1. Let the function $f \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ be defined by (1.1). Then f is in the class $S_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$ if and only if

(2.1)
$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \binom{\lambda+k-1}{k-1} \left(\beta|\gamma|+k-1\right) a_k \leq \beta|\gamma|.$$


Proof. We first suppose that $f \in S_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$. Then, by appealing to the condition (1.13), we readily obtain

(2.2)
$$\Re\left(\frac{z\left(D^{\lambda}f(z)\right)'}{D^{\lambda}f(z)}-1\right) > -\beta|\gamma| \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

or, equivalently,

(2.3)
$$\Re\left(\frac{-\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \binom{\lambda+k-1}{k-1}(k-1)a_k z^k}{z-\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \binom{\lambda+k-1}{k-1}a_k z^k}\right) > -\beta|\gamma| \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where we have made use of (1.10) and the definition (1.1). We now choose values of z on the real axis and let $z \rightarrow 1-$ through real values. Then the



J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 5(2) Art. 24, 2004 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

inequality (2.3) immediately yields the desired condition (2.1). Conversely, by applying the hypothesis (2.1) and letting |z| = 1, we find that

$$\left| \frac{z \left(D^{\lambda} f(z) \right)'}{D^{\lambda} f(z)} - 1 \right| = \left| \frac{\sum\limits_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \binom{\lambda+k-1}{k-1} (k-1)a_k z^k}{z - \sum\limits_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \binom{\lambda+k-1}{k-1}a_k z^k} \right|$$
$$\leq \frac{\beta |\gamma| \left(1 - \sum\limits_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \binom{\lambda+k-1}{k-1}a_k \right)}{1 - \sum\limits_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \binom{\lambda+k-1}{k-1}a_k}$$
$$\leq \beta |\gamma|.$$

Hence, by the maximum modulus theorem, we have

(2.4)


$$f \in \mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta),$$

which evidently completes the proof of Lemma 1.

Similarly, we can prove the following result.

Lemma 2. Let the function $f \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ be defined by (1.1). Then f is in the class $\mathcal{R}(\gamma, \lambda, \beta; \mu)$ if and only if

(2.5)
$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \binom{\lambda+k-1}{k-1} \left[\mu \left(k-1 \right) +1 \right] a_k \leq \beta |\gamma|.$$



Page 8 of 18

J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 5(2) Art. 24, 2004 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

Remark 1. A special case of Lemma 1 when

 $n = 1, \quad \gamma = 1, \quad and \quad \beta = 1 - \alpha \quad (0 \le \alpha < 1)$

was given earlier by Ahuja [1]. Furthermore, if in Lemma 1 with

 $n = 1, \qquad \gamma = 1, \qquad and \qquad \beta = 1 - \alpha \qquad (0 \le \alpha < 1),$

we set $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda = 1$, we shall obtain the familiar results of Silverman [15].

Our first inclusion relation involving $N_{n,\delta}(e)$ is given by Theorem 1 below. **Theorem 1.** If

(2.6)
$$\delta := \frac{(n+1)\beta|\gamma|}{(\beta|\gamma|+n)\binom{\lambda+n}{n}} \quad (|\gamma|<1),$$

then

(2.7)
$$S_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta) \subset N_{n,\delta}(e)$$

Proof. For a function $f \in S_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$ of the form (1.1), Lemma 1 immediately yields

$$(\beta |\gamma| + n) {\lambda + n \choose n} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k \le \beta |\gamma|,$$

so that

(2.8)

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k \le \frac{\beta|\gamma|}{(\beta|\gamma|+n) \binom{\lambda+n}{n}}.$$



Neighborhoods of Certain Classes of Analytic Functions of Complex Order

Title Page			
Contents			
44	••		
•	•		
Go Back			
Close			
Quit			
Page 9 of 18			

J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 5(2) Art. 24, 2004 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

On the other hand, we also find from (2.1) and (2.8) that

$$\binom{\lambda+n}{n} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} ka_k \leq \beta |\gamma| + (1-\beta|\gamma|) \binom{\lambda+n}{n} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k$$
$$\leq \beta |\gamma| + (1-\beta|\gamma|) \binom{\lambda+n}{n} \frac{\beta |\gamma|}{(\beta|\gamma|+n) \binom{\lambda+n}{n}}$$
$$\leq \frac{(n+1)\beta|\gamma|}{\beta|\gamma|+n} \quad (|\gamma|<1),$$

that is,

(2.9)
$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} ka_k \le \frac{(n+1)\beta|\gamma|}{(\beta|\gamma|+n)\binom{\lambda+n}{n}} := \delta,$$

which, in view of the definition (1.4), proves Theorem 1.

By similarly applying Lemma 2 instead of Lemma 1, we now prove Theorem 2 below.

Theorem 2. If


(2.10)
$$\delta := \frac{(n+1)\beta|\gamma|}{(\mu n+1)\binom{\lambda+n}{n}},$$

then

(2.11) $\mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta; \mu) \subset N_{n,\delta}(e).$



Neighborhoods of Certain Classes of Analytic Functions of Complex Order



J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 5(2) Art. 24, 2004 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

Proof. Suppose that a function $f \in \mathcal{R}(\gamma, \lambda, \beta; \mu)$ is of the form (1.1). Then we find from the assertion (2.5) of Lemma 2 that

$$\binom{\lambda+n}{n}\left(\mu n+1\right)\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}a_{k}\leq\beta\left|\gamma\right|,$$

which yields the following coefficient inequality:

(2.12)
$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k \le \frac{\beta |\gamma|}{(\mu n+1) \binom{\lambda+n}{n}}.$$

Making use of (2.5) in conjunction with (2.12), we also have

$$\mu \binom{\lambda+n}{n} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k a_k \leq \beta |\gamma| + (\mu-1) \binom{\lambda+n}{n} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k$$
(2.13)
$$\leq \beta |\gamma| + (\mu-1) \binom{\lambda+n}{n} \frac{\beta |\gamma|}{(\mu n+1) \binom{\lambda+n}{n}},$$

that is,

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} ka_k \le \frac{(n+1)\beta|\gamma|}{(\mu n+1)\binom{\lambda+n}{n}} =: \delta,$$

which, in light of the definition (1.4), completes the proof of Theorem 2.




Neighborhoods of Certain Classes of Analytic Functions of Complex Order

G. Murugusundaramoorthy and H.M. Srivastava



J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 5(2) Art. 24, 2004 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

Remark 2. By suitably specializing the various parameters involved in Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, we can derive the corresponding inclusion relations for many relatively more familiar function classes (see also Equation (1.15) and Remark 1 above).



J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 5(2) Art. 24, 2004 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

3. Neighborhoods for the Classes $S_n^{(\alpha)}(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$ and $\mathcal{R}_n^{(\alpha)}(\gamma, \lambda, \beta; \mu)$

In this section we determine the neighborhood for each of the classes

$$\mathcal{S}_n^{(\alpha)}(\gamma,\lambda,\beta)$$
 and $\mathcal{R}_n^{(\alpha)}(\gamma,\lambda,\beta;\mu),$

which we define as follows. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{S}_n^{(\alpha)}(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$ if there exists a function $g \in \mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$ such that

(3.1)
$$\left|\frac{f(z)}{g(z)} - 1\right| < 1 - \alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; \ 0 \le \alpha < 1) \,.$$

Analogously, a function $f \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{R}_n^{(\alpha)}(\gamma, \lambda, \beta; \mu)$ if there exists a function $g \in \mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta; \mu)$ such that the inequality (3.1) holds true.

Theorem 3. If $g \in S_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$ and

(3.2)
$$\alpha = 1 - \frac{(\beta |\gamma| + n) \delta \binom{\lambda + n}{n}}{(n+1) \left[(\beta |\gamma| + n) \binom{\lambda + n}{n} - \beta |\gamma| \right]},$$


then

(3.3)
$$N_{n,\delta}(g) \subset \mathcal{S}_n^{(\alpha)}(\gamma,\lambda,\beta).$$



Neighborhoods of Certain Classes of Analytic Functions of Complex Order

```
G. Murugusundaramoorthy and
H.M. Srivastava
```



J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 5(2) Art. 24, 2004 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

Proof. Suppose that $f \in N_{n,\delta}(g)$. We then find from the definition (1.2) that

(3.4)
$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k \left| a_k - b_k \right| \le \delta,$$

which readily implies the coefficient inequality:

(3.5)
$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k - b_k| \le \frac{\delta}{n+1} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$


Next, since $g \in S_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$, we have [cf. Equation (2.8)]

(3.6)
$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} b_k \le \frac{\beta|\gamma|}{(\beta|\gamma|+n) \binom{\lambda+n}{n}},$$

so that

(3.7)

$$\left|\frac{f(z)}{g(z)} - 1\right| < \frac{\sum\limits_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k - b_k|}{1 - \sum\limits_{k=n+1}^{\infty} b_k}$$
$$\leq \frac{\delta}{n+1} \cdot \frac{(\beta |\gamma| + n) \binom{\lambda+n}{n}}{(\beta |\gamma| + n) \binom{\lambda+n}{n} - \beta |\gamma|}$$
$$= 1 - \alpha,$$





J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 5(2) Art. 24, 2004 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

provided that α is given precisely by (3.2). Thus, by definition, $f \in S_n^{(\alpha)}(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$ for α given by (3.2). This evidently completes our proof of Theorem 3.

Our proof of Theorem 4 below is much akin to that of Theorem 3.

Theorem 4. If $g \in \mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta; \mu)$ and

(3.8)
$$\alpha = 1 - \frac{(\mu n + 1) \delta \binom{\lambda + n}{n}}{(n+1) \left[(\mu n + 1) \binom{\lambda + n}{n} - \beta |\gamma| \right]},$$


then

(3.9)
$$N_{n,\delta}(g) \subset \mathcal{R}_n^{(\alpha)}(\gamma,\lambda,\beta;\mu).$$

Remark 3. Just as we indicated already in Section 1 and Remark 2, Theorem 3 and Theorem 4 can readily be specialized to deduce the corresponding neighborhood results for many simpler function classes.



Neighborhoods of Certain Classes of Analytic Functions of Complex Order



J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 5(2) Art. 24, 2004 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

References

- O.P. AHUJA, Hadamard products of analytic functions defined by Ruscheweyh derivatives, in *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*, H.M. Srivastava and S. Owa (Eds.), pp. 13–28, World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, New Jersey, London and Hong Kong, 1992.
- [2] O.P. AHUJA AND M. NUNOKAWA, Neighborhoods of analytic functions defined by Ruscheweyh derivatives, *Math. Japon.*, **51** (2003), 487–492.
- [3] O. ALTINTAŞ AND S. OWA, Neighborhoods of certain analytic functions with negative coefficients, *Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci.*, **19** (1996), 797–800.
- [4] O. ALTINTAŞ, Ö. ÖZKAN AND H.M. SRIVASTAVA, Neighborhoods of a class of analytic functions with negative coefficients, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 13(3) (2000), 63–67.
- [5] O. ALTINTAŞ, Ö. ÖZKAN AND H.M. SRIVASTAVA, Majorization by starlike functions of complex order, *Complex Variables Theory Appl.*, 46 (2001), 207–218.
- [6] O. ALTINTAŞ, Ö. ÖZKAN AND H.M. SRIVASTAVA, Neighborhoods of a certain family of multivalent functions with negative coefficients, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 47 (2004) (to appear).
- [7] O. ALTINTAŞ AND H.M. SRIVASTAVA, Some majorization problems associated with *p*-valently starlike and convex functions of complex order, *East Asian Math. J.*, **17** (2001), 175–183.



Neighborhoods of Certain Classes of Analytic Functions of Complex Order



J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 5(2) Art. 24, 2004 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

- [8] P.L. DUREN, Univalent Functions, A Series of Comprehensive Studies in Mathematics, Vol. 259, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, and Tokyo, 1983.
- [9] A.W. GOODMAN, Univalent functions and nonanalytic curves, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **8** (1957), 598–601.
- [10] J.-L. LIU AND H.M. SRIVASTAVA, Classes of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, *Math. Comput. Modelling*, **39** (2004), 21–34.
- [11] J.-L. LIU AND H.M. SRIVASTAVA, Subclasses of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with a certain linear operator, *Math. Comput. Modelling*, **39** (2004), 35–44.
- [12] M.A. NASR AND M.K. AOUF, Starlike function of complex order, J. Natur. Sci. Math., 25 (1985), 1–12.
- [13] S. RUSCHEWEYH, New criteria for univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 49 (1975), 109–115.
- [14] S. RUSCHEWEYH, Neighborhoods of univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 81 (1981), 521–527.
- [15] H. SILVERMAN, Univalent functions with negative coefficients, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **51** (1975), 109–116.
- [16] H. SILVERMAN, Neighborhoods of classes of analytic functions, Far East J. Math. Sci., 3 (1995), 165–169.




Neighborhoods of Certain Classes of Analytic Functions of Complex Order



J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 5(2) Art. 24, 2004 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

- [17] H.M. SRIVASTAVA AND S. OWA (Eds.), *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*, World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, New Jersey, London and Hong Kong, 1992.
- [18] P. WIATROWSKI, On the coefficients of some family of holomorphic functions, *Zeszyty Nauk. Uniw. Łódz Nauk. Mat.-Przyrod.* (2), **39** (1970), 75–85.



Page 18 of 18

J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 5(2) Art. 24, 2004 http://jipam.vu.edu.au