Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics

AROUND APÉRY'S CONSTANT

WALTHER JANOUS

Ursulinengymnasium Fürstenweg 86 A-6020 Innsbruck Austria.

EMail: walther.janous@tirol.com



volume 7, issue 1, article 35, 2006. Received 04 January, 2006;

accepted 18 January, 2006. Communicated by: A. Lupaş



©2000 Victoria University ISSN (electronic): 1443-5756 020-06

Abstract

In this note we deal with some aspects of Apéry's constant $\zeta(3)$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 49J40, 90C33, 47H10. Key words: Apéry's constant, Harmonic numbers, Infinite sums, Integrals.

This research is partially supported by grant 04-39-3265-2/03 (11.XII.2003) of the Federal Ministry of Education and Sciences, Bosnia and Herzegowina.

Dedicated to Professor Gerd Baron on the occasion of his 65th birthday.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Two Multisums	4
3	A New Formula for Apéry's Constant	8
4	Further Observations	9
5	Two Questions for Further Research	15
Refe	erences	





J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 7(1) Art. 35, 2006 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

1. Introduction

Since Apéry's miraculous proof (1979) that the value $\zeta(3)$ of Rieman's ζ -function is irrational, *Apéry's constant* $\zeta(3)$ has been the focus of attention for many mathematicians. (An extensive list of results and references are found in Section 1.6 of the highly recommended encyclopedic book [2].)

It is the purpose of this note to extend some of these results. Thereby we will also obtain a new infinite sum rapidly converging to $\zeta(3)$.

At the end of this note we raise two questions for further investigation.



J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 7(1) Art. 35, 2006 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

2. Two Multisums

Recently in [1] the proof of

(2.1)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{ij(i+j)} = 2\zeta(3),$$

where $\zeta(3) = 1.202056903...$, was posed as a problem. Although this result was published earlier (see [2, p. 43]) it is worthwhile reconsidering in the following more general way.

Theorem 2.1. For $r \ge 1$ the multisum

$$S_r = \sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{k_r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k_1 \cdots k_r (k_1 + \dots + k_r)}$$

attains the value $r!\zeta(r+1)$.

Proof. Firstly we rewrite the multisum as an integral as follows

$$S_r = \sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{k_r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k_1 \dots k_r} \int_0^1 x^{k_1 + \dots + k_r - 1} dx$$

that is (upon interchanging of summation and integration),

$$S_r = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{x} \sum_{k_1=1}^\infty \frac{x^{k_1}}{k_1} \cdots \sum_{k_r=1}^\infty \frac{x^{k_r}}{k_r} dx$$



J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 7(1) Art. 35, 2006 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

Due to

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^j}{j} = -\ln(1-x),$$

we get

$$S_r = (-1)^r \int_0^1 \frac{\ln(1-x)^r}{x} dx.$$

Substituting x = 1 - t yields

$$S_r = (-1)^r \int_0^1 \frac{\ln(t)^r}{1-t} dt.$$

This and the known result ([2, p. 47])

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\ln(t)^r}{1-t} dt = (-1)^r r! \zeta(r+1)$$

readily yield the claim.

Subsequently we will deal with a 'relative' of S_r , namely the multisum

$$T_r = \sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{k_r=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k_1 + \dots + k_r}}{k_1 \cdots k_r (k_1 + \dots + k_r)}.$$

As it will turn out, matters are here more involved. Indeed, we will prove now



J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 7(1) Art. 35, 2006 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

Theorem 2.2. For $r \ge 1$,

(2.2)
$$T_r = (-1)^r \left(r! \zeta(r+1) - \frac{r}{r+1} (\ln 2)^{r+1} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^r \frac{r(r-1)...(r-m+1)}{2^k k^{m+1}} (\ln 2)^{r-m} \right)$$

holds.

Proof. Proceeding as in the previous proof we get

$$T_r = (-1)^r \int_0^1 \frac{\ln(1+x)^r}{x} dx.$$

Substitution of $x = e^{-t} - 1$ yields

$$T_r = (-1)^r \int_0^{\ln(1/2)} \frac{(-t)^r}{e^{-t} - 1} (-e^{-t}) dt,$$

that is,

$$T_r = \int_{\ln(1/2)}^0 \frac{t^r}{1 - e^t} dt.$$

Upon expanding $\frac{1}{1-e^t}$ as a geometric series we arrive at

$$T_r = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{-\ln 2}^{0} t^r e^{kt} dt.$$





J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 7(1) Art. 35, 2006 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

Integration by parts leads to the identity (we suppress integration constants)

$$\int t^r e^{kt} dt = e^{kt} \left(\frac{t^r}{k} + \sum_{m=1}^r (-1)^m \frac{r(r-1)...(r-m+1)}{k^{m+1}} t^{r-m} \right),$$

where k > 0. Therefore a straightforward simplification yields

$$T_r = (-1)^r \left(\frac{(\ln 2)^{r+1}}{r+1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{r!}{k^{r+1}} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \left(\frac{(\ln 2)^r}{k} + \sum_{m=1}^r \frac{r(r-1)...(r-m+1)}{k^{m+1}} (\ln 2)^{r-m} \right) \right).$$

Since

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k2^k} = \ln 2,$$

we finally get the claimed identity (2.2).



J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 7(1) Art. 35, 2006 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

3. A New Formula for Apéry's Constant

Theorem 2.2 enables us to obtain a new way to express $\zeta(3)$ by a fast converging series.

Indeed, letting r = 2 we get

$$T_2 = 2\left(\zeta(3) - \frac{(\ln 2)^3}{3} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \left(\frac{\ln 2}{k^2} + \frac{1}{k^3}\right)\right).$$

Furthermore [2, p. 43], reports

$$T_2 = \frac{1}{4}\zeta(3).$$

Therefore the following holds.

Theorem 3.1.

(3.1)
$$\zeta(3) = \frac{8}{7} \left(\frac{(\ln 2)^3}{3} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \left(\frac{\ln 2}{k^2} + \frac{1}{k^3} \right) \right).$$

This formula should be compared with the following one (see [5])

$$\zeta(3) = \frac{2}{3} (\ln 2)^3 + 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k^3 2^k \binom{2k}{k}}.$$



J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 7(1) Art. 35, 2006 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

4. Further Observations

• From $S_2 + T_2 = \frac{9}{4}\zeta(3)$ we infer

$$2\sum_{\substack{i,j \ge 1 \\ i+j \text{ even}}} \frac{1}{ij(i+j)} = \frac{9}{4}\zeta(3)$$

that is (we put i + j = 2k),

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{2k-1} \frac{1}{2(2k-j)jk} = \frac{9}{8}\zeta(3),$$

i.e.

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^{2k-1} \frac{1}{2k} \left(\frac{1}{j} + \frac{1}{2k-j} \right) = \frac{9}{8} \zeta(3)$$

This can be summarized as

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} H_{2k-1} = \frac{9}{4} \zeta(3),$$

where

$$H_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j}$$

denotes the n-th harmonic number.

In a similar way $S_2 - T_2 = \frac{7}{4}\zeta(3)$ implies the formula

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k+1)^2} H_{2k} = \frac{7}{16} \zeta(3)$$



J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 7(1) Art. 35, 2006 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

From these two formulae we get easily

Theorem 4.1.

(4.1)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k-1)^2} H_{2k-1} = \frac{21}{16} \zeta(3)$$

and

(4.2)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k)^2} H_{2k} = \frac{11}{16} \zeta(3).$$

Adding these two identities yields

(4.3)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} H_k = 2\zeta(3),$$

a result already known to L. Euler.

• [4, p. 499, item 2.6.9.14] reads

$$T_2 = \int_0^1 \frac{\ln(1+x)^2}{x} dx = 2\sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{(-1)^k \psi(k)}{k^2} - \frac{\pi^2 \gamma}{6}$$

where $\psi(z)=(\ln\Gamma(z))'$ and γ denote the digamma function and the Euler-Mascheroni constant, resp.

Therefore there holds the curious identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k \psi(k)}{k^2} = \frac{1}{8} \zeta(3) + \frac{\pi^2 \gamma}{12}.$$



J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 7(1) Art. 35, 2006 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

Because of $\psi(k) = -\gamma + H_{k-1}$ it reads in equivalent form

(4.4)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k^2} H_k = \frac{5}{8} \zeta(3)$$

• Recently [3] posed the problem of proving the identity

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n+1)^3} = 7 \int_0^{\pi/4} \frac{\ln(\cos x) \ln(\sin x)}{\cos x \sin x} dx$$

We show that it implies a remarkable result for two doublesums. Indeed, we firstly note

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n+1)^3} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^3} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k)^3},$$

that is

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n+1)^3} = \frac{7}{8}\zeta(3).$$

Therefore, the identity under consideration in fact means

$$\zeta(3) = 8 \int_0^{\pi/4} \frac{\ln(\cos x)}{\cos x} \cdot \frac{\ln(\sin x)}{\sin x} dx$$

Letting $f(x) = \frac{\ln(\cos x)}{\cos x}$ and setting $z = \pi/2 - x$ we obtain

$$\int_0^{\infty} f(x) f\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - x\right) dx = \int_{\pi/4}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - z\right) f(z) dz$$



J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 7(1) Art. 35, 2006 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

whence

$$\zeta(3) = 4 \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{\ln(\cos x)}{\cos x} \cdot \frac{\ln(\sin x)}{\sin x} dx$$

Next, we substitute $\sin x = \sqrt{w}$. From $\cos x dx = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{w}} dw$ and $\cos x = \sqrt{1-w}$ we get $dx = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{w}\sqrt{1-w}} dw$. This in turn yields

$$\zeta(3) = 4 \int_0^1 \frac{\ln(\sqrt{1-w})}{\sqrt{1-w}} \cdot \frac{\ln(\sqrt{w})}{\sqrt{w}} \cdot \frac{1}{2\sqrt{w}\sqrt{1-w}} dw,$$

that is

$$\zeta(3) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{\ln(1-w)}{1-w} \cdot \frac{\ln w}{w} dw.$$

Upon rewriting this as

$$\zeta(3) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{\ln(1-w)}{w} \cdot \frac{\ln w}{1-w} dw$$

and developing the two factors of the integrand we get

$$\zeta(3) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left(-\sum_{i=1}^\infty \frac{w^{i-1}}{i} \right) \left(-\sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{(1-w)^{j-1}}{j} \right) dw$$

,

that is

$$\zeta(3) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{ij} \int_{0}^{1} w^{i-1} (1-w)^{j-1} dw.$$



J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 7(1) Art. 35, 2006 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

Keeping in mind that

$$\int_0^1 w^{i-1} (1-w)^{j-1} dw = \frac{(i-1)!(j-1)!}{(i+j-1)!},$$

we arrive at the formula

(4.5)
$$\zeta(3) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i j^2 \binom{i+j-1}{j}}.$$

Equation (4.5) and $\zeta(3) = \frac{1}{2}S_2$ give the two noteworthy identities

(4.6)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i j^2 \binom{i+j-1}{j}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i j (i+j)}$$

and

(4.7)
$$\int_0^1 \frac{\ln(1-z)}{z} \cdot \frac{\ln z}{1-z} dz = \int_0^1 \frac{\ln(1-z)}{z} \ln(1-z) dz.$$

• Finally, Theorem 4.1 enables us to prove the following finite analogon of the initial formula (2.1) of the present note, namely

(4.8)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{i} \frac{1}{ij(i+j)} = \frac{5}{4}\zeta(3).$$



J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 7(1) Art. 35, 2006 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

Indeed, (4.2) and (4.3) imply

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} \left(\frac{1}{k+1} + \dots + \frac{1}{2k} \right) = \frac{3}{4} \zeta(3).$$

However,

$$\frac{1}{k^2} \left(\frac{1}{k+1} + \dots + \frac{1}{2k} \right) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{k(k+j)}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{k}\sum_{j=1}^{k}\frac{1}{k(k+j)} = \frac{1}{k}\sum_{j=1}^{k}\frac{1}{j}\left(\frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{k+j}\right) = \frac{1}{k^2}H_k - \sum_{j=1}^{k}\frac{1}{kj(k+j)}$$

readily lead to

$$2\zeta(3) - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{kj(k+j)} = \frac{3}{4}\zeta(3)$$

as claimed.



Around Apéry's Constant

Walther Janous



J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 7(1) Art. 35, 2006 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

5. Two Questions for Further Research

• The results of Theorem 4.1 may be regarded as special cases of the more general sums

$$S_{a,b} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(ak-b)^2} H_{ak-b},$$

where $0 \le b < a$ are entire numbers.

Problem 1. Determine $S_{a,b}$ for $a \ge 3$ in terms of 'familiar' expressions.

• Let, in analogy to S_r and T_r , $U_{r,s}$ denote the multisum

$$U_{r,s} = \sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{k_r=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k_1 + \dots + k_s}}{k_1 \cdots k_r (k_1 + \dots + k_r)},$$

where $r \ge 1$ and $0 \le s \le r$.

Problem 2. Determine $U_{r,s}$ in the spirit of Theorem 2.2. In other words evaluate the integrals

$$I_{r,s} = \int_0^1 \frac{\ln(1-x)^s \ln(1+x)^{r-s}}{x} dx$$

for $r \ge 1$ and $0 \le s \le r$.



J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 7(1) Art. 35, 2006 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

References

- [1] M. BENCZE, Problem 2984, Crux Math., 29 (2004), 431.
- [2] S.R. FINCH, *Mathematical Constants*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 2003.
- [3] N. LORD, Problem 89.D, part (b), Math. Gaz., 89 (2005), 115.
- [4] A.P. PRUDNIKOV et al., *Integrals and Series (Elementary Functions)* (in Russian), Nauka, Moscow 1981.
- [5] E.W. WEISSTEIN, Apéry's Constant, MathWorld-A Wolfram Web Resource. http://mathworld.wolfram.com/AperysConstant. html



J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 7(1) Art. 35, 2006 http://jipam.vu.edu.au