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Abstract. In this note we deal with some aspects of Apéry's constant $\zeta(3)$.
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## 1. Introduction

Since Apéry's miraculous proof (1979) that the value $\zeta(3)$ of Rieman's $\zeta$-function is irrational, Apéry's constant $\zeta(3)$ has been the focus of attention for many mathematicians. (An extensive list of results and references are found in Section 1.6 of the highly recommended encyclopedic book [2].)

It is the purpose of this note to extend some of these results. Thereby we will also obtain a new infinite sum rapidly converging to $\zeta(3)$.

At the end of this note we raise two questions for further investigation.
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## 2. Two Multisums

Recently in [1] the proof of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i j(i+j)}=2 \zeta(3), \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\zeta(3)=1.202056903 \ldots$, was posed as a problem. Although this result was published earlier (see [2, p. 43]) it is worthwhile reconsidering in the following more general way.

Theorem 2.1. For $r \geq 1$ the multisum

$$
S_{r}=\sum_{k_{1}=1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{k_{r}=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k_{1} \cdots k_{r}\left(k_{1}+\cdots+k_{r}\right)}
$$

attains the value $r!\zeta(r+1)$.
Proof. Firstly we rewrite the multisum as an integral as follows

$$
S_{r}=\sum_{k_{1}=1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{k_{r}=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k_{1} \cdots k_{r}} \int_{0}^{1} x^{k_{1}+\cdots+k_{r}-1} d x
$$

that is (upon interchanging of summation and integration),

$$
S_{r}=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{k_{1}=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{k_{1}}}{k_{1}} \cdots \sum_{k_{r}=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{k_{r}}}{k_{r}} d x
$$

Due to

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{j}}{j}=-\ln (1-x)
$$

we get

$$
S_{r}=(-1)^{r} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\ln (1-x)^{r}}{x} d x
$$

Substituting $x=1-t$ yields

$$
S_{r}=(-1)^{r} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\ln (t)^{r}}{1-t} d t
$$

This and the known result ([2, p. 47])

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\ln (t)^{r}}{1-t} d t=(-1)^{r} r!\zeta(r+1)
$$

readily yield the claim.
Subsequently we will deal with a 'relative' of $S_{r}$, namely the multisum

$$
T_{r}=\sum_{k_{1}=1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{k_{r}=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k_{1}+\cdots+k_{r}}}{k_{1} \cdots k_{r}\left(k_{1}+\cdots+k_{r}\right)}
$$

As it will turn out, matters are here more involved. Indeed, we will prove now

Theorem 2.2. For $r \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{r}=(-1)^{r}\left(r!\zeta(r+1)-\frac{r}{r+1}\right. & (\ln 2)^{r+1}  \tag{2.2}\\
& \left.-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{r} \frac{r(r-1) \ldots(r-m+1)}{2^{k} k^{m+1}}(\ln 2)^{r-m}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

holds.

Proof. Proceeding as in the previous proof we get

$$
T_{r}=(-1)^{r} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\ln (1+x)^{r}}{x} d x
$$

Substitution of $x=e^{-t}-1$ yields

$$
T_{r}=(-1)^{r} \int_{0}^{\ln (1 / 2)} \frac{(-t)^{r}}{e^{-t}-1}\left(-e^{-t}\right) d t
$$

that is,

$$
T_{r}=\int_{\ln (1 / 2)}^{0} \frac{t^{r}}{1-e^{t}} d t
$$

Upon expanding $\frac{1}{1-e^{t}}$ as a geometric series we arrive at

$$
T_{r}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{-\ln 2}^{0} t^{r} e^{k t} d t
$$

Integration by parts leads to the identity (we suppress integration constants)

$$
\int t^{r} e^{k t} d t=e^{k t}\left(\frac{t^{r}}{k}+\sum_{m=1}^{r}(-1)^{m} \frac{r(r-1) \ldots(r-m+1)}{k^{m+1}} t^{r-m}\right),
$$

where $k>0$.
Therefore a straightforward simplification yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{r}=(-1)^{r}\left(\frac{(\ln 2)^{r+1}}{r+1}+\right. & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{r!}{k^{r+1}} \\
& \left.-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k}}\left(\frac{(\ln 2)^{r}}{k}+\sum_{m=1}^{r} \frac{r(r-1) \ldots(r-m+1)}{k^{m+1}}(\ln 2)^{r-m}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k 2^{k}}=\ln 2
$$

we finally get the claimed identity (2.2).

## 3. A New Formula for Apéry's Constant

Theorem 2.2 enables us to obtain a new way to express $\zeta(3)$ by a fast converging series. Indeed, letting $r=2$ we get

$$
T_{2}=2\left(\zeta(3)-\frac{(\ln 2)^{3}}{3}-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k}}\left(\frac{\ln 2}{k^{2}}+\frac{1}{k^{3}}\right)\right)
$$

Furthermore [2, p. 43], reports

$$
T_{2}=\frac{1}{4} \zeta(3) .
$$

Therefore the following holds.

## Theorem 3.1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(3)=\frac{8}{7}\left(\frac{(\ln 2)^{3}}{3}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k}}\left(\frac{\ln 2}{k^{2}}+\frac{1}{k^{3}}\right)\right) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This formula should be compared with the following one (see [5])

$$
\zeta(3)=\frac{2}{3}(\ln 2)^{3}+4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k^{3} 2^{k}\binom{2 k}{k}} .
$$

## 4. Further Observations

- From $S_{2}+T_{2}=\frac{9}{4} \zeta(3)$ we infer

$$
2 \sum_{\substack{i, j \geq 1 \\ i+j \text { veven }}} \frac{1}{i j(i+j)}=\frac{9}{4} \zeta(3)
$$

that is (we put $i+j=2 k$ ),

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{2 k-1} \frac{1}{2(2 k-j) j k}=\frac{9}{8} \zeta(3),
$$

i.e.

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2 k} \sum_{j=1}^{2 k-1} \frac{1}{2 k}\left(\frac{1}{j}+\frac{1}{2 k-j}\right)=\frac{9}{8} \zeta(3) .
$$

This can be summarized as

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{2}} H_{2 k-1}=\frac{9}{4} \zeta(3),
$$

where

$$
H_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j}
$$

denotes the $n$-th harmonic number.
In a similar way $S_{2}-T_{2}=\frac{7}{4} \zeta(3)$ implies the formula

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2 k+1)^{2}} H_{2 k}=\frac{7}{16} \zeta(3) .
$$

From these two formulae we get easily

## Theorem 4.1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2 k-1)^{2}} H_{2 k-1}=\frac{21}{16} \zeta(3) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2 k)^{2}} H_{2 k}=\frac{11}{16} \zeta(3) . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adding these two identities yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{2}} H_{k}=2 \zeta(3), \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

a result already known to L. Euler.

- [4] p. 499, item 2.6.9.14] reads

$$
T_{2}=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\ln (1+x)^{2}}{x} d x=2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k} \psi(k)}{k^{2}}-\frac{\pi^{2} \gamma}{6},
$$

where $\psi(z)=(\ln \Gamma(z))^{\prime}$ and $\gamma$ denote the digamma function and the Euler-Mascheroni constant, resp.
Therefore there holds the curious identity

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k} \psi(k)}{k^{2}}=\frac{1}{8} \zeta(3)+\frac{\pi^{2} \gamma}{12} .
$$

Because of $\psi(k)=-\gamma+H_{k-1}$ it reads in equivalent form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{k^{2}} H_{k}=\frac{5}{8} \zeta(3) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Recently [3] posed the problem of proving the identity

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2 n+1)^{3}}=7 \int_{0}^{\pi / 4} \frac{\ln (\cos x) \ln (\sin x)}{\cos x \sin x} d x .
$$

We show that it implies a remarkable result for two doublesums.
Indeed, we firstly note

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2 n+1)^{3}}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{3}}-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2 k)^{3}},
$$

that is

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2 n+1)^{3}}=\frac{7}{8} \zeta(3) .
$$

Therefore, the identity under consideration in fact means

$$
\zeta(3)=8 \int_{0}^{\pi / 4} \frac{\ln (\cos x)}{\cos x} \cdot \frac{\ln (\sin x)}{\sin x} d x
$$

Letting $f(x)=\frac{\ln (\cos x)}{\cos x}$ and setting $z=\pi / 2-x$ we obtain

$$
\int_{0}^{\pi / 4} f(x) f\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-x\right) d x=\int_{\pi / 4}^{\pi / 2} f\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-z\right) f(z) d z
$$

whence

$$
\zeta(3)=4 \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{\ln (\cos x)}{\cos x} \cdot \frac{\ln (\sin x)}{\sin x} d x
$$

Next, we substitute $\sin x=\sqrt{w}$.
From $\cos x d x=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{w}} d w$ and $\cos x=\sqrt{1-w}$ we get $d x=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{w} \sqrt{1-w}} d w$.
This in turn yields

$$
\zeta(3)=4 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\ln (\sqrt{1-w})}{\sqrt{1-w}} \cdot \frac{\ln (\sqrt{w})}{\sqrt{w}} \cdot \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{w} \sqrt{1-w}} d w
$$

that is

$$
\zeta(3)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\ln (1-w)}{1-w} \cdot \frac{\ln w}{w} d w
$$

Upon rewriting this as

$$
\zeta(3)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\ln (1-w)}{w} \cdot \frac{\ln w}{1-w} d w
$$

and developing the two factors of the integrand we get

$$
\zeta(3)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{w^{i-1}}{i}\right)\left(-\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-w)^{j-1}}{j}\right) d w
$$

that is

$$
\zeta(3)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i j} \int_{0}^{1} w^{i-1}(1-w)^{j-1} d w
$$

Keeping in mind that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} w^{i-1}(1-w)^{j-1} d w=\frac{(i-1)!(j-1)!}{(i+j-1)!}
$$

we arrive at the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(3)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i j^{2}\binom{i+j-1}{j}} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4.5) and $\zeta(3)=\frac{1}{2} S_{2}$ give the two noteworthy identities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i j^{2}\binom{i+j-1}{j}}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i j(i+j)} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\ln (1-z)}{z} \cdot \frac{\ln z}{1-z} d z=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\ln (1-z)}{z} \ln (1-z) d z \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Finally, Theorem 4.1 enables us to prove the following finite analogon of the initial formula (2.1) of the present note, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{i} \frac{1}{i j(i+j)}=\frac{5}{4} \zeta(3) . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, (4.2) and (4.3) imply

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{k+1}+\cdots+\frac{1}{2 k}\right)=\frac{3}{4} \zeta(3) .
$$

However,

$$
\frac{1}{k^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{k+1}+\cdots+\frac{1}{2 k}\right)=\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{k(k+j)}
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{k(k+j)}=\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{j}\left(\frac{1}{k}-\frac{1}{k+j}\right)=\frac{1}{k^{2}} H_{k}-\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{k j(k+j)}
$$

readily lead to

$$
2 \zeta(3)-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{k j(k+j)}=\frac{3}{4}^{6} \zeta(3)
$$

as claimed.

## 5. Two Questions for Further Research

- The results of Theorem 4.1 may be regarded as special cases of the more general sums

$$
S_{a, b}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(a k-b)^{2}} H_{a k-b},
$$

where $0 \leq b<a$ are entire numbers.
Problem 5.1. Determine $S_{a, b}$ for $a \geq 3$ in terms of 'familiar' expressions.

- Let, in analogy to $S_{r}$ and $T_{r}, U_{r, s}$ denote the multisum

$$
U_{r, s}=\sum_{k_{1}=1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{k_{r}=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k_{1}+\cdots+k_{s}}}{k_{1} \cdots k_{r}\left(k_{1}+\cdots+k_{r}\right)},
$$

where $r \geq 1$ and $0 \leq s \leq r$.
Problem 5.2. Determine $U_{r, s}$ in the spirit of Theorem 2.2,
In other words evaluate the integrals

$$
I_{r, s}=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\ln (1-x)^{s} \ln (1+x)^{r-s}}{x} d x
$$

for $r \geq 1$ and $0 \leq s \leq r$.
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