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#### Abstract

In the article, some integral inequalities are presented by analytic approach and mathematical induction. An open problem is proposed.
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## 1. Several Integral Inequalities

In this article, we establish some integral inequalities by analytic method and induction.
Proposition 1.1. Let $f(x)$ be differentiable on $(a, b)$ and $f(a)=0$. If $0 \leqslant f^{\prime}(x) \leqslant 1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b}[f(x)]^{3} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant\left(\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{2} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f^{\prime}(x) \geqslant 1$, then inequality (1.1) reverses. The equality in (1.1) holds only if $f(x) \equiv 0$ or $f(x)=x-a$.
Proof. For $a \leqslant t \leqslant b$, set

$$
F(t)=\left(\int_{a}^{t} f(x) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{2}-\int_{a}^{t}[f(x)]^{3} \mathrm{~d} x .
$$

Simple computation yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F^{\prime}(t)=\left\{2 \int_{a}^{t} f(x) \mathrm{d} x-[f(t)]^{2}\right\} f(t) \triangleq G(t) f(t) \\
& G^{\prime}(t)=2\left[1-f^{\prime}(t)\right] f(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $f^{\prime}(t) \geqslant 0$ and $f(a)=0$, thus $f(t)$ is increasing and $f(t) \geqslant 0$.

[^0](1) When $0 \leqslant f^{\prime}(t) \leqslant 1$, we have $G^{\prime}(t) \geqslant 0, G(t)$ increases and $G(t) \geqslant 0$ because of $G(a)=0$, hence $F^{\prime}(t)=G(t) f(t) \geqslant 0, F(t)$ is increasing. Since $F(a)=0$, we have $F(t) \geqslant 0$, and $F(b) \geqslant 0$. Therefore, the inequality (1.1) holds.
(2) When $f^{\prime}(t) \geqslant 1$, we have $G^{\prime}(t) \leqslant 0, G(t)$ decreases, $G(t) \leqslant 0, F^{\prime}(t) \leqslant 0$, and $F(t)$ is decreasing, then $F(t) \leqslant 0$, the inequality (1.1) reverses.
(3) Since the equality in (1.1) holds only if $f^{\prime}(t)=1$ or $f(t)=0$, substitution of $f(t)=t+c$ into (1.1) and standard argument leads to $c=-a$.
The proof is completed.
Corollary 1.2 ([3], p. 624]). Let $f(x)$ be a continuous function on the closed interval $[0,1]$ and $f(0)=0$, its derivative of the first order is bounded by $0 \leqslant f^{\prime}(x) \leqslant 1$ for $x \in(0,1)$. Then
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1}[f(x)]^{3} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant\left(\int_{0}^{1} f(x) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{2} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Equality in (1.2) holds if and only if $f(x)=0$ or $f(x)=x$.
Proposition 1.3. Suppose $f(x)$ has continuous derivative of the $n$-th order on the interval $[a, b]$, $f^{(i)}(a) \geqslant 0$ and $f^{(n)}(x) \geqslant n$ !, where $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b}[f(x)]^{n+2} \mathrm{~d} x \geqslant\left(\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{n+1} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(t)=\int_{a}^{t}[f(x)]^{n+2} \mathrm{~d} x-\left[\int_{a}^{t} f(x) \mathrm{d} x\right]^{n+1}, \quad t \in[a, b] . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Direct calculation produces

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{\prime}(t)= & \left\{[f(x)]^{n+1}-(n+1)\left[\int_{a}^{t} f(x) \mathrm{d} x\right]^{n}\right\} f(t) \triangleq h_{1}(t) f(t), \\
h_{1}^{\prime}(t)= & (n+1)\left\{[f(x)]^{n-1} f^{\prime}(t)-n\left[\int_{a}^{t} f(x) \mathrm{d} x\right]^{n-1}\right\} f(t) \triangleq(n+1) h_{2}(t) f(t), \\
h_{2}^{\prime}(t)= & \left\{[f(x)]^{n-2} f^{\prime \prime}(t)+(n-1)[f(t)]^{n-3}\left[f^{\prime}(t)\right]^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-n(n-1)\left[\int_{a}^{t} f(x) \mathrm{d} x\right]^{n-2}\right\} f(t) \triangleq h_{3}(t) f(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By induction, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i}^{\prime}(t)=\left\{f^{(i)}(t)[f(t)]^{n-i}+p_{i}(t)-\frac{n!}{(n-i)!}\left[\int_{a}^{t} f(x) \mathrm{d} x\right]^{n-i}\right\} f(t) \triangleq h_{i+1}(t) f(t) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $2 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{2}(t) & =(n-1)[f(t)]^{n-3}\left[f^{\prime}(t)\right]^{2}  \tag{1.6}\\
p_{i+1}(t) f(t) & =p_{i}^{\prime}(t)+(n-i) f^{(i)}(t)[f(t)]^{n-i-1} f^{\prime}(t)
\end{align*}
$$

From $f^{(n)}(t) \geqslant n$ ! and $f^{(i)}(a) \geqslant 0$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, it follows that $f^{(i)}(t) \geqslant 0$ and are increasing for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$.

Using mathematical induction, it is easy to see that

$$
p_{i}(t)=\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ j_{0}+\sum_{k=1}^{i=1} k \cdot j_{k}=n-1}} C\left(j_{0}, j_{1}, \ldots, j_{i-1}\right) \prod_{k=0}^{i-1}\left[f^{(k)}(t)\right]^{j_{k}}
$$

where $j_{k}$ and $C\left(j_{0}, j_{1}, \ldots, j_{i-1}\right)$ are nonnegative integers, $0 \leqslant k \leqslant i-1$.
Therefore, we obtain $p_{k}^{\prime}(t) \geqslant 0$ and $p_{k+1}(t) \geqslant 0$, then $p_{k-1}^{\prime}(t)$ and $p_{k}(t)$ are increasing for $2 \leqslant k \leqslant n$. Straightforward computation yields

$$
h_{n+1}(t)=f^{(n)}(t)+p_{n}(t)-n!.
$$

Considering $f^{(n)}(t) \geqslant n$ !, we get $h_{n+1}(t) \geqslant 0$, and $h_{n}^{\prime}(t) \geqslant 0$, then $h_{n}(t)$ increases.
By our definitions of $h_{i}(t)$, we have, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$,

$$
h_{i+1}(a)=f^{(i)}(a)[f(a)]^{n-i}+p_{i}(a) \geqslant 0 .
$$

Therefore, using induction on $i$, we obtain $h_{i}^{\prime}(t) \geqslant 0, h_{i}(t) \geqslant 0$, and $h_{i}(t)$ are increasing for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. Then $H^{\prime}(t) \geqslant 0$ and increases, and $H(t) \geqslant 0$. The inequality (1.3) follows from $H(b) \geqslant 0$. Thus, Proposition 1.3 is proved.
Corollary 1.4. Let $f(x)$ be n-times differentiable on $[a, b], f^{(i)}(a) \geqslant 0$ and $f^{(n)}(x) \geqslant n!$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$. Then the functions $H(t), h_{j}(t)$ and $p_{k}(t)$ defined by the formulae (1.4, (1.5) and (1.6) are increasing and convex, where $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$ and $2 \leqslant k \leqslant n-2$.
Remark 1.5. The inequality (1.3) is not found in [1, 2, 4, 5]. So maybe it is a new inequality.
Lastly, we propose the following open problem:
Theorem 1.6 (Open Problem). Under what conditions does the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b}[f(x)]^{t} \mathrm{~d} x \geqslant\left(\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{t-1} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold for $t>1$ ?
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