

Research Article

Computation of Positive Realizations for Given Impulse Response Matrices of Discrete-Time Systems

Tadeusz Kaczorek

Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Białystok Technical University, Wiejska 45D, 15-351 Białystok, Poland

Correspondence should be addressed to Tadeusz Kaczorek, kaczorek@isep.pw.edu.pl

Received 20 August 2006; Accepted 18 October 2007

Recommended by NasirUddin Ahmed

A method is presented for computation of positive realizations for given impulse response matrices of discrete-time systems. Sufficient conditions for the existence of positive realizations are established and a procedure for computation of positive realizations for given impulse response matrices is proposed.

Copyright © 2008 Tadeusz Kaczorek. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

In positive systems inputs, state variables and outputs take only nonnegative values. Examples of positive systems are industrial processes involving chemical reactors, heat exchangers and distillation columns, storage systems, compartmental systems, and water and atmospheric pollution models. A variety of models having positive linear systems behavior can be found in engineering, management science, economics, social sciences, biology, medicine, and so forth.

Positive linear systems are defined on cones and not on linear spaces. Therefore, the theory of positive systems is more complicated and less advanced. An overview of state of the art in positive systems theory is given in the monographs [7, 11]. Recent developments in positive system theory and some new results are given in [12]. Realizations problem of positive linear systems without time delays has been considered in many papers and books [1–4, 6–11].

Recently, the reachability, controllability, and minimum energy control of positive linear discrete-time systems with time-delays have been considered in [5, 18]. The realization problem for positive multivariable discrete-time systems and continuous-time systems with delays was formulated and solved in [13, 14, 16, 17]. The notion of cone realization of discrete-time systems without delays has been introduced in [15].

The main purpose of this paper is to present a method for computation of positive realizations for given impulse response matrices of discrete-time systems. Sufficient conditions for the existence of positive realizations will be established and a procedure for computation of positive realizations for given impulse response matrices will be proposed.

2. Preliminaries and problem formulation

Let $R^{n \times m}$ be the set of $n \times m$ real matrices and $R^m = R^{m \times 1}$.

Consider the discrete-time system

$$x_{i+1} = Ax_i + Bu_i, \quad i \in Z_+ := \{0, 1, \dots\}, \quad (2.1a)$$

$$y_i = Cx_i + Du_i, \quad (2.1b)$$

where $x_i \in R^n$, $u_i \in R^m$, $y_i \in R^p$ are the state, input, and output vectors, respectively, and $A \in R^{n \times n}$, $B \in R^{n \times m}$, $C \in R^{p \times n}$, $D \in R^{p \times m}$.

Let $R_+^{n \times m}$ be the set of $n \times m$ real matrices with nonnegative entries and $R_+^m = R_+^{m \times 1}$.

Definition 2.1 (see [7, 11]). The system (2.1a) and (2.1b) is called (internally) positive if for every $x_0 \in R_+^n$ and any input sequence $u_i \in R_+^m$, $x_i \in R_+^n$, and $y_i \in R_+^p$ for $i \in Z_+$.

Theorem 2.2 (see [11]). *The system (2.1a) and (2.1b) is positive if and only if*

$$A \in R_+^{n \times n}, \quad B \in R_+^{n \times m}, \quad C \in R_+^{p \times n}, \quad D \in R_+^{p \times m}. \quad (2.2)$$

The transfer matrix of (2.1a) and (2.1b) is given by

$$T(z) = C[I_n z - A]^{-1}B + D. \quad (2.3)$$

The impulse response matrix of (2.1a), (2.1b) and the transfer matrix (2.3) are related by

$$G = Z^{-1}[T(z)] = g_0 + g_1 z^{-1} + g_2 z^{-2} + \dots, \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$g_i = \begin{cases} D, & \text{for } i = 0, \\ CA^{i-1}B, & \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

If the system (2.1a) and (2.1b) is positive, then [11]

$$g_i \in R_+^{p \times m}, \quad \text{for } i \in Z_+. \quad (2.6)$$

It is well known [7, 11] that for positive asymptotically stable systems

$$g_{i+1} < g_i, \quad i = n, n+1, \dots, \quad (2.7a)$$

and for unstable systems

$$g_{i+1} \geq g_i, \quad i = n, n+1, \dots \quad (2.7b)$$

The positive realization problem for a given impulse matrix can be stated as follows. Given an impulse response matrix (2.4) satisfying the condition (2.7a) and (2.7b), find a positive realization (2.2) of the discrete-time system (2.1a) and (2.1b).

In this paper, a procedure will be proposed for computation of a positive realization (2.2) for a given impulse response matrix (2.4).

3. Problem solution

To simplify the notation, we will consider the single-input single-output (SISO) discrete-time system with transfer function of the form

$$T(z) = \frac{b_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \dots + b_1z + b_0}{z^n - a_{n-1}z^{n-1} - \dots - a_1z - a_0} + D. \quad (3.1)$$

The transfer function (3.1) can be written in the form

$$T(z) = g_0 + g_1z^{-1} + g_2z^{-2} + \dots. \quad (3.2)$$

From (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$D = g_0 \quad (3.3)$$

and the strictly proper part of $T(z)$ is given by

$$T_{sp}(z) = T(z) - D = \frac{b_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \dots + b_1z + b_0}{z^n - a_{n-1}z^{n-1} - \dots - a_1z - a_0} = g_1z^{-1} + g_2z^{-2} + \dots. \quad (3.4)$$

Knowing g_0 and using (3.3), we can find D . Therefore, the realization problem has been reduced to finding the matrices

$$A \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n \times n}, \quad B \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n \times m}, \quad C \in \mathbb{R}_+^{p \times n} \quad (3.5)$$

for given $g_i \geq 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$.

In further considerations, it is assumed that the given sequence $g_i, i = 0, 1, \dots$, satisfies the condition (2.7a) and (2.7b). Solution of the problem is based on the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *The values g_1, g_2, \dots of the impulse response of the discrete-time system with transfer function (3.4) satisfy the equality*

$$a_0g_k + a_1g_{k+1} + \dots + a_{n-1}g_{k+n-1} = g_{k+n}, \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.6)$$

Proof. From (3.4) we have

$$b_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \dots + b_1z + b_0 = (z^n - a_{n-1}z^{n-1} - \dots - a_1z - a_0)(g_1z^{-1} + g_2z^{-2} + \dots). \quad (3.7)$$

Comparison of the coefficients at the same power z^{-k} of equality (3.7) yields (3.6). \square

Using (3.6) for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we obtain the matrix equation

$$G_m a = G_n, \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$G_{nn} = \begin{bmatrix} g_1 & g_2 & \cdots & g_n \\ g_2 & g_3 & \cdots & g_{n+1} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ g_n & g_{n+1} & \cdots & g_{2n-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad a = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad G_n = \begin{bmatrix} g_{n+1} \\ g_{n+2} \\ \vdots \\ g_{2n} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3.9)$$

From (3.4) and (3.6), it follows that

$$\text{rank } G_{nn} = n. \quad (3.10)$$

Thus, solving (3.8) for given g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{2n} , we can find the coefficients a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} of the denominator of the transfer function (3.4).

Lemma 3.2. *Let the given sequence g_1, g_2, \dots satisfy the condition (2.7a) and (2.7b) and*

$$G_{n+1,n+1} = \begin{bmatrix} G_{nn} & G_n \\ G_n^T & g_{2n+1} \end{bmatrix} \in R^{(n+1) \times (n+1)} \quad (T \text{ denotes the transpose}), \quad G_n = \begin{bmatrix} g_{n+1} \\ g_{n+2} \\ \cdots \\ g_{2n} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3.11)$$

Then,

$$\text{rank } G_{n+1,n+1} = n \quad (3.12)$$

and the adjoint matrix

$$\bar{G}_{n+1,n+1} = \text{Adj } G_{n+1,n+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{G}_{nn} & \bar{G}_n \\ \bar{G}_n^T & \bar{g}_{n+1,n+1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \bar{G}_{nn} \in R^{n \times n}, \quad \bar{G}_n \in R^n, \quad (3.13)$$

has the following properties:

(i) *the columns $\bar{g}_1, \bar{g}_2, \dots, \bar{g}_{n+1}$ of the matrix (3.13) are proportional, that is,*

$$\bar{G}_{n+1,n+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{g}_1 & \bar{g}_2 & \cdots & \bar{g}_{n+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{g}_1 & k_1 \bar{g}_1 & \cdots & k_n \bar{g}_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3.14)$$

$$k_i \begin{cases} > 0, & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ < 0, & \text{for } i = n; \end{cases} \quad (3.15)$$

(ii) *the square matrix \bar{G}_{nn} has negative entries, the column matrix \bar{G}_n has positive entries, and $\bar{g}_{n+1,n+1}$ is negative.*

Proof. The condition (3.12) follows from equality (3.6). The condition (3.12) implies that the dimension of the null subspace of $G_{n+1,n+1}$ is equal to 1, that is,

$$\dim \ker G_{n+1,n+1} = 1. \quad (3.16)$$

Thus the columns $\bar{g}_1, \bar{g}_2, \dots, \bar{g}_{n+1}$ of the matrix (3.13) are proportional. The adjoint matrix (3.13) is symmetrical since the matrix (3.11) is symmetrical. The symmetry of the matrix (3.13) implies the condition (3.15).

From definition of the adjoint matrix (3.13) and (3.12), we have

$$G_{n+1,n+1} \bar{G}_{n+1,n+1} = \bar{G}_{n+1,n+1} G_{n+1,n+1} = 0 \quad (\text{zero matrix}). \quad (3.17)$$

From (3.17) and $g_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots$, it follows that every column and every row of the matrix (3.13) has at least one positive entry and at least one negative entry. Taking into account this fact and the symmetry of the matrix (3.13), it is easy to show that the condition (ii) holds. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let the given sequence g_1, g_2, \dots satisfy the condition (2.7a) and (2.7b) and let there exist a natural number n such that*

$$\text{rank } G_{n+1,n+1} = \text{rank } G_{nn} = n. \quad (3.18)$$

Then, there exists a positive realization (3.5) of the form

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_1 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & a_2 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & a_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = [g_1 \ g_2 \ \cdots \ g_n] \quad (3.19)$$

or

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \\ \vdots \\ g_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = [1 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0]. \quad (3.20)$$

Proof. Using the well-known Cramer rule for (3.8), we obtain

$$a_{i-1} = \frac{\det G_{ni}}{\det G_{nn}}, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (3.21)$$

where G_{ni} is the $n \times n$ matrix obtained from the matrix G_{nn} by replacement of its i th column by the column G_n .

From the condition (ii) of Lemma 3.2 and (3.21), it follows that $a_{i-1} > 0$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, since both $\det G_{ni}$ and $\det G_{nn}$ are negative. Hence, the matrices A of realizations (3.19) and (3.20) have nonnegative entries.

We will show that the matrices (3.19) and (3.20) are positive realizations of the transfer function (3.4). Using (3.19), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T(z) &= C [I_n z - A]^{-1} B = [g_1 \ g_2 \ \cdots \ g_n] \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -a_0 \\ -1 & z & \cdots & 0 & -a_1 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & z - a_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{[g_1 \ g_2 \ \cdots \ g_n]}{z^n - a_{n-1} z^{n-1} - \cdots - a_1 z - a_0} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -a_{n-1} & -a_{n-2} & \cdots & -a_2 & -a_1 \\ 0 & 1 & -a_{n-1} & \cdots & -a_3 & -a_2 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & -a_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} z^{n-1} \\ z^{n-2} \\ \vdots \\ z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3.22) \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients at the same power of z of equality (3.7), we obtain

$$b_{n-1} = g_1, \quad b_{n-2} = g_2 - a_{n-1}g_1, \dots, \quad b_0 = g_n - a_{n-1}g_{n-1} - \dots - a_1g_1, \quad (3.23)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} g_1 & g_2 & \dots & g_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -a_{n-1} & -a_{n-2} & \dots & -a_2 & -a_1 \\ 0 & 1 & -a_{n-1} & \dots & -a_3 & -a_2 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -a_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{n-1} & b_{n-2} & \dots & b_0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3.24)$$

Substitution of (3.24) into (3.22) yields

$$T(z) = \frac{\begin{bmatrix} b_{n-1} & b_{n-2} & \dots & b_0 \end{bmatrix}}{z^n - a_{n-1}z^{n-1} - \dots - a_1z - a_0} \begin{bmatrix} z^{n-1} \\ z^{n-2} \\ \vdots \\ z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{b_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \dots + b_1z + b_0}{z^n - a_{n-1}z^{n-1} - \dots - a_1z - a_0}. \quad (3.25)$$

The proof for the matrices (3.20) follows immediately from the equality

$$T(z) = [T(z)]^T = \left\{ C[I_n z - A]^{-1} B \right\}^T = B^T [I_n z - A^T]^{-1} C^T, \quad (3.26)$$

where upper index T denotes transpose. \square

From the above considerations, we have the following procedure for finding a positive realization for given $g_i > 0$, $i = 0, 1, \dots$

Procedure 1.

Step 1. Knowing g_0 and using (3.3), find D .

Step 2. Using g_i , for $i = 1, \dots, 2n$, find n satisfying the condition (3.18).

Step 3. Using (3.21), find the entries a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} of the matrix A .

Step 4. Find the matrices B and C of the positive desired realization.

4. Examples

The procedure will be illustrated by two numerical examples. In the first example, the system will be unstable and in the second one the system will be asymptotically stable.

Example 4.1. Find a positive realization (2.2) for the given values of the impulse function

$$g_0 = 2, \quad g_1 = 1, \quad g_2 = 3, \quad g_3 = 5, \quad g_4 = 11, \quad g_5 = 25, \dots \quad (4.1)$$

Using Procedure 1, we obtain the following.

Step 1. Using (3.3), we get

$$D = g_0 = 2. \quad (4.2)$$

Step 2. In this case, $n = 2$ since

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} g_1 & g_2 \\ g_2 & g_3 \end{bmatrix} = \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 5 \end{bmatrix} = \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} g_1 & g_2 & g_3 \\ g_2 & g_3 & g_4 \\ g_3 & g_4 & g_5 \end{bmatrix} = \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 3 & 5 & 11 \\ 5 & 11 & 25 \end{bmatrix} = n = 2. \quad (4.3)$$

Step 3. Taking into account that

$$G_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} g_1 & g_2 \\ g_2 & g_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad G_2 = \begin{bmatrix} g_3 \\ g_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 11 \end{bmatrix} \quad (4.4)$$

and using (3.8), we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 11 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4.5)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 5 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 11 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4.6)$$

Step 4. Using (3.19) and (4.6), we obtain

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a_0 \\ 1 & a_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = [g_1 \ g_2] = [1 \ 3]. \quad (4.7)$$

The desired positive realization is given by (4.2) and (4.7).

The transfer function of the unstable system has the form

$$\begin{aligned} T(z) &= C[I_n z - A]^{-1} B + D = [1 \ 3] \begin{bmatrix} z & -2 \\ -1 & z-1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + 2 = \frac{2z^2 - z - 2}{z^2 - z - 2} \\ &= 2 + z^{-1} + 3z^{-2} + 5z^{-3} + 11z^{-4} + 25z^{-5} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Example 4.2. Find a positive realization (2.2) for the values of the impulse function

$$g_0 = 0, \quad g_1 = 1, \quad g_2 = 1.1, \quad g_3 = 0.21, \quad g_4 = 0.131, \quad g_5 = 0.0341. \quad (4.9)$$

Using Procedure 1, we obtain the following.

Step 1. From (3.3), we have

$$D = g_0 = 0. \quad (4.10)$$

Step 2. Using (4.9) and (3.18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank} G_{22} &= \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} g_1 & g_2 \\ g_2 & g_3 \end{bmatrix} = \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1.1 \\ 1.1 & 0.21 \end{bmatrix} = \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} g_1 & g_2 & g_3 \\ g_2 & g_3 & g_4 \\ g_3 & g_4 & g_5 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1.1 & 0.21 \\ 1.1 & 0.21 & 0.131 \\ 0.21 & 0.131 & 0.0341 \end{bmatrix} = n = 2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Step 3. In this case, (3.8) has the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1.1 \\ 1.1 & 0.21 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.21 \\ 0.131 \end{bmatrix} \quad (4.12)$$

and its solution is

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1.1 \\ 1.1 & 0.21 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 0.21 \\ 0.131 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 \\ 0.1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4.13)$$

Step 4. Using (3.20) and (4.13), we obtain

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ a_0 & a_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0.1 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1.1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4.14)$$

The desired positive realization is given by (4.10) and (4.14). The transfer function of the stable system has the form

$$\begin{aligned} T(z) &= C[I_n z - A]^{-1} B + D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & -1 \\ -0.1 & z - 0.1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1.1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{z + 1}{z^2 - 0.1z - 0.1} \\ &= z^{-1} + 1.1z^{-2} + 0.21z^{-3} + 0.131z^{-4} + 0.0341z^{-5} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

The above considerations can be easily extended for multi-input multi-output discrete-time systems.

5. Concluding remarks

A method for computation of positive realizations for given impulse response matrices of discrete-time systems has been proposed. The method is based on Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 3.3 that formulates sufficient conditions for the existence of positive realizations. A procedure for computation of positive realizations for given impulse responses has been proposed. The procedure has been illustrated by two numerical examples. The details of the proposed method have been given for SISO discrete-time systems but it can be easily extended for multi-input multi-output discrete-time and continuous-time linear systems.

An extension of the method is also possible for linear systems with delays and for 2D linear systems [11].

Acknowledgment

The work was supported by the State Committee for Scientific Research of Poland under Grant no. 3 T11A 006 27.

References

- [1] L. Benvenuti and L. Farina, "A note on minimality of positive realizations," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I*, vol. 45, no. 6, pp. 676–677, 1998.
- [2] L. Benvenuti and L. Farina, "An example of how positivity may force realizations of 'large' dimension," *Systems & Control Letters*, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 261–266, 1999.
- [3] L. Benvenuti and L. Farina, "A tutorial on the positive realization problem," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 49, no. 5, pp. 651–664, 2004.
- [4] L. Benvenuti, L. Farina, B. D. O. Anderson, and F. De Bruyne, "Minimal positive realizations of transfer functions with positive real poles," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I*, vol. 47, no. 9, pp. 1370–1377, 2000.
- [5] M. Busłowicz and T. Kaczorek, "Reachability and minimum energy control of positive linear discrete-time systems with one delay," in *Proceedings of the 12th Mediterranean Conference on Control and Automation (MED '04)*, Kusadasi, Izmir, Turkey, June 2004.
- [6] L. Farina, "Minimal order realizations for a class of positive linear systems," *Journal of the Franklin Institute*, vol. 333B, no. 6, pp. 893–900, 1996.
- [7] L. Farina and S. Rinaldi, *Positive Linear Systems: Theory and Applications*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Wiley-Interscience, New York, NY, USA, 2000.
- [8] C. Hadjicostis, "Bounds on the size of minimal nonnegative realizations for discrete-time LTI systems," *Systems & Control Letters*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 39–43, 1999.
- [9] J. M. van den Hof, "Realization of continuous-time positive linear systems," *Systems & Control Letters*, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 243–253, 1997.
- [10] J. M. van den Hof, "Realization of positive linear systems," *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, vol. 256, pp. 287–308, 1997.
- [11] T. Kaczorek, *Positive 1D and 2D Systems*, Communications and Control Engineering Series, Springer, London, UK, 2002.
- [12] T. Kaczorek, "Some recent developments in positive systems," in *Proceedings of the 7th Conference on Dynamical Systems Theory and Applications*, pp. 25–35, Łódź, Poland, December 2003.
- [13] T. Kaczorek, "Realization problem for positive discrete-time systems with delays," *Systems Science*, vol. 30, no. 4, pp. 17–30, 2004.
- [14] T. Kaczorek, "Realization problem for positive multivariable discrete-time linear systems with delays in state and inputs," in *Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Computer Systems Aided Science, Industry and Transport (TRANSCOMP '05)*, pp. 1–10, Zakopane, Poland, December 2005.
- [15] T. Kaczorek, "Computation of realizations of discrete-time cone-systems," *Bulletin of the Polish Academy of Sciences: Technical Sciences*, vol. 54, no. 3, pp. 347–350, 2006.
- [16] T. Kaczorek, "Realization problem for positive linear systems with time delay," *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, vol. 2005, no. 4, pp. 455–463, 2005.
- [17] T. Kaczorek and M. Busłowicz, "Minimal realization for positive multivariable linear systems with delay," *International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 181–187, 2004.
- [18] G. Xie and L. Wang, "Reachability and controllability of positive linear discrete-time systems with time-delays," in *Positive Systems (Rome, 2003)*, L. Benvenuti, A. De Santis, and L. Farina, Eds., vol. 294 of *Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences*, pp. 377–384, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2003.

Special Issue on Singular Boundary Value Problems for Ordinary Differential Equations

Call for Papers

The purpose of this special issue is to study singular boundary value problems arising in differential equations and dynamical systems. Survey articles dealing with interactions between different fields, applications, and approaches of boundary value problems and singular problems are welcome.

This Special Issue will focus on any type of singularities that appear in the study of boundary value problems. It includes:

- Theory and methods
- Mathematical Models
- Engineering applications
- Biological applications
- Medical Applications
- Finance applications
- Numerical and simulation applications

Before submission authors should carefully read over the journal's Author Guidelines, which are located at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/bvp/guidelines.html>. Authors should follow the Boundary Value Problems manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/bvp/>. Articles published in this Special Issue shall be subject to a reduced Article Processing Charge of €200 per article. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

| | |
|------------------------|------------------|
| Manuscript Due | May 1, 2009 |
| First Round of Reviews | August 1, 2009 |
| Publication Date | November 1, 2009 |

Lead Guest Editor

Juan J. Nieto, Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Facultad de Matemáticas, Universidad de Santiago de

Compostela, Santiago de Compostela 15782, Spain;
juanjose.nieto.roig@usc.es

Guest Editor

Donal O'Regan, Department of Mathematics, National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland;
donal.oregan@nuigalway.ie