Research Article

A First-Order Analytical Theory for Optimal Low-Thrust Limited-Power Transfers between Arbitrary Elliptical Coplanar Orbits

Sandro da Silva Fernandes and Francisco das Chagas Carvalho

Departamento de Matemática, Instituto Tecnológico de Aeronáutica, 12228-900 São José dos Campos, SP, Brazil

Correspondence should be addressed to Sandro da Silva Fernandes, sandro@ief.ita.br

Received 7 March 2008; Accepted 15 May 2008

Recommended by Alexander P. Seyranian

A complete first-order analytical solution, which includes the short periodic terms, for the problem of optimal low-thrust limited-power transfers between arbitrary elliptic coplanar orbits in a Newtonian central gravity field is obtained through canonical transformation theory. The optimization problem is formulated as a Mayer problem of optimal control theory with Cartesian elements—position and velocity vectors—as state variables. After applying the Pontryagin maximum principle and determining the maximum Hamiltonian, classical orbital elements are introduced through a Mathieu transformation. The short periodic terms are then eliminated from the maximum Hamiltonian through an infinitesimal canonical transformation built through Hori method. Closed-form analytical solutions are obtained for the average canonical system by solving the Hamilton-Jacobi equation through separation of variables technique. For transfers between close orbits a simplified solution is straightforwardly derived by linearizing the new Hamiltonian and the generating function obtained through Hori method.

Copyright © 2008 S. da Silva Fernandes and F. das Chagas Carvalho. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

In the general analysis of optimal space trajectories, two idealized propulsion models are of most frequent use [1]: LP and CEV systems. In the power-limited variable ejection velocity systems—LP systems—the only constraint concerns the power, that is, there exists an upper constant limit for the power. In the constant ejection velocity limited thrust systems—CEV systems—the magnitude of the thrust acceleration is bounded. In both cases, it is usually assumed that the thrust direction is unconstrained. The utility of these idealized models is that the results obtained from them provide good insight about more realistic problems.

The purpose of this work is to present a complete first-order analytical solution, which includes the short periodic terms, for the problem of optimal low-thrust limited-power

transfers (no rendezvous) between arbitrary elliptic coplanar orbits in a Newtonian central gravity field. This analysis has been motivated by the renewed interest in the use of low-thrust propulsion systems in space missions verified in the last two decades.

The optimization problem is formulated as a Mayer problem of optimal control theory with Cartesian elements—position and velocity vectors—as state variables [1]. After applying the Pontryagin Maximum Principle and determining the maximum Hamiltonian, classical orbital elements are introduced through a canonical Mathieu transformation. The short periodic terms are then eliminated from the maximum Hamiltonian through an infinitesimal canonical transformation built through Hori method—a perturbation canonical method based on Lie series [2]. The new maximum Hamiltonian, resulting from the infinitesimal canonical transformation, describes the extremal trajectories associated with the long duration maneuvers.

Closed-form analytical solutions are obtained for the average canonical system governed by this new Hamiltonian. The separation of variables technique is applied to solve the Hamilton-Jacobi equation associated to the average canonical system [3]. For long duration maneuvers, the existence of conjugate points is investigated through the Jacobi condition and the envelope of extremals is obtained considering different configurations of initial and final orbits. Curves representing maneuvers with same fuel consumption for a specified duration, called isocost curves, are presented for several configurations of initial and final orbits. An iterative algorithm based on the first-order analytical solution is described for solving the two-point boundary value problem of going from an initial orbit to a final orbit.

For transfers between close coplanar elliptic orbits, a simplified solution is straightforwardly derived by linearizing the new Hamiltonian and the generating function obtained through Hori method. Since this solution is given by a linear system of algebraic equations in the initial value of the adjoint variables, the two-point boundary value problem is solved by simple techniques.

Some of the results presented in the paper are very similar to the ones obtained by Edelbaum [4] and Marec and Vinh [5] through different approaches based on the concept of mean Hamiltonian. We note that the theory described in the paper includes directly the periodic terms such that it can be applied to any transfer independently of its duration. On the other hand, since the theory is based on canonical transformations, a first-order solution for the Cartesian elements can also be easily obtained from Lie theorem [2].

2. Optimal space trajectories

A low-thrust limited-power propulsion system, or LP system, is characterized by low-thrust acceleration level and high specific impulse [1]. The ratio between the maximum thrust acceleration and the gravity acceleration on the ground, γ_{max}/g_0 , is between 10^{-4} and 10^{-2} . For such system, the fuel consumption is described by the variable *J* defined as

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^{t_f} \gamma^2 \, dt, \tag{2.1}$$

where γ is the magnitude of the thrust acceleration vector γ , used as control variable. The consumption variable *J* is a monotonic decreasing function of the mass *m* of the space vehicle,

$$J = P_{\max}\left(\frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{m_0}\right),\tag{2.2}$$

where P_{max} is the maximum power and m_0 is the initial mass. The minimization of the final value of the fuel consumption J_f is equivalent to the maximization of m_f .

Let us consider the motion of a space vehicle M, powered by a limited-power engine in a Newtonian central gravity field. At time t, the state of the vehicle is defined by the position vector $\mathbf{r}(t)$, the velocity vector $\mathbf{v}(t)$ and the consumption variable J(t). This set of variables provides a straightforward physical interpretation to the optimal thrust acceleration.

The problem of general optimal low-thrust limited-power transfer can be formulated as a Mayer problem of optimal control as follows [1]. It is proposed to transfer the space vehicle *M* from the initial state (\mathbf{r}_0 , \mathbf{v}_0 , 0) at time t_0 to the final state (\mathbf{r}_f , \mathbf{v}_f , J_f) at time t_f , such that the final consumption variable J_f is a minimum (Figure 1).

For coplanar transfer problem, the initial and final conditions are specified in terms of orbital elements—semimajor axis, eccentricity, and pericenter argument—introduced in the next section. It is also assumed that $t_f - t_0$ and the position of the vehicle in the initial orbit are specified. The state equations are

$$\frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} = \mathbf{v},$$

$$\frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} = -\frac{\mu}{r^3}\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\gamma},$$

$$\frac{dJ}{dt} = \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2,$$
(2.3)

where μ is the gravitational parameter. It is assumed that the thrust direction and magnitude are unconstrained [1].

According to the Pontryagin Maximum Principle [6], the optimal thrust acceleration γ^* must be selected from the admissible controls such that the Hamiltonian *H* reaches its maximum. The Hamiltonian is formed using (2.3),

$$H = \mathbf{p}_r \bullet \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{p}_v \bullet \left(-\frac{\mu}{r^3} \mathbf{r} + \gamma \right) + \frac{1}{2} p_J \gamma^2, \qquad (2.4)$$

where \mathbf{p}_r , \mathbf{p}_v , and p_J are the adjoint variables and dot denotes the dot product. Since the optimization problem is unconstrained, it follows that

$$\gamma^* = -\frac{\mathbf{p}_v}{p_J}.\tag{2.5}$$

The optimal thrust acceleration γ^* is modulated [1]. Then, the optimal trajectories are governed by the maximum Hamiltonian H^* ,

$$H^* = \mathbf{p}_r \bullet \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{p}_v \bullet \frac{\mu}{r^3} \mathbf{r} - \frac{p_v^2}{2p_J}.$$
 (2.6)

Since *J* is an ignorable variable, it is determined by simple integration, and its adjoint p_J is a first integral, whose value is obtained from the transversality conditions: $p_J = -1$. Thus,

$$H^* = \mathbf{p}_r \bullet \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{p}_v \bullet \frac{\mu}{r^3} \mathbf{r} + \frac{p_v^2}{2}.$$
 (2.7)



Figure 1: Geometry of the transfer problem.

This Hamiltonian can be decomposed into two parts:

$$H_0 = \mathbf{p}_r \bullet \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{p}_v \bullet \frac{\mu}{r^3} \mathbf{r},$$

$$H_{\gamma^*} = \frac{p_v^2}{2},$$
(2.8)

where H_0 is the undisturbed Hamiltonian and H_{γ^*} is the disturbing function concerning the optimal thrust acceleration. The undisturbed Hamiltonian H_0 plays a fundamental role in the canonical transformation theory described in the next section.

3. Transformation from cartesian elements to orbital elements

In order to obtain a first-order analytical solution for transfers between arbitrary elliptic coplanar orbits, the set of classical orbital elements is introduced through a canonical transformation by using the properties of generalized canonical systems [7].

Consider the extended two-body problem defined by the canonical system of differential equations governed by the undisturbed Hamiltonian H_0 , that is, the problem defined by the differential equations for the state variables **r** and **v**, which describe the classical two-body problem, and the differential equations for the adjoint variables **p**_r and **p**_v. These equations are given by

$$\frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} = \mathbf{v} \qquad \frac{d\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}}}{dt} = \frac{\mu}{r^{3}} (\mathbf{p}_{v} - 3(\mathbf{p}_{v} \bullet \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}})\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}}),
\frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} = -\frac{\mu}{r^{3}}\mathbf{r} \qquad \frac{d\mathbf{p}_{v}}{dt} = -\mathbf{p}_{r},$$
(3.1)

where $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}}$ is the unit vector pointing radially outward of the moving frame of reference.

The general solution of the differential equations for the state variables \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{v} is wellknown from the classical two-body problem [8]. In the coplanar case, it is given by

$$\mathbf{r} = \frac{a(1-e^2)}{1+e\cos f} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}},\tag{3.2}$$

$$\mathbf{v} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{a(1-e^2)}} \left[e \sin f \, \mathbf{e_r} + (1+e\cos f) \mathbf{e_s} \right],\tag{3.3}$$

where \mathbf{e}_{s} is the unit vector along circumferential direction of the moving frame of reference; *a* is the semimajor axis, *e* is the eccentricity, and *f* is the true anomaly. The unit vectors \mathbf{e}_{r} and \mathbf{e}_{s} are written as function of the orbital elements as follows:

$$\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}} = \cos(\omega + f)\mathbf{i} + \sin(\omega + f)\mathbf{j},$$

$$\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{s}} = -\sin(\omega + f)\mathbf{i} + \cos(\omega + f)\mathbf{j}$$
(3.4)

where **i** and **j** are the unit vectors of a fixed frame of reference (Figure 1) and ω is the pericenter argument.

The general solution of the differential equations for the adjoint variables \mathbf{p}_r and \mathbf{p}_v is obtained by computing the inverse of the Jacobian matrix of the point transformation between the Cartesian elements and the orbital ones, defined by (3.2) and (3.3). This matrix is obtained through the variations of the orbital elements induced by the variations in the Cartesian elements, as described in the next paragraphs.

Let us consider the inverse of the point transformation defined by (3.2) and (3.3):

$$a = \frac{r}{2 - (rv^2/\mu)},$$
(3.5)

$$e^2 = 1 - \frac{h^2}{\mu a},\tag{3.6}$$

$$\cos\omega = \frac{\mathbf{iee}}{e},\tag{3.7}$$

$$\cos E = \frac{1}{e} \left(1 - \frac{r}{a} \right), \tag{3.8}$$

where the eccentricity vector \mathbf{e} and the angular momentum vector \mathbf{h} , shown in Figure 2, are given, as function of the Cartesian elements \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{v} , by the following equations:

$$\mathbf{e} = \frac{1}{\mu} \left[\left(v^2 - \frac{\mu}{r} \right) \mathbf{r} - (\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v}) \mathbf{v} \right],$$

$$\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{v}.$$
(3.9)

Here, the symbol \times denotes the cross product. The true anomaly *f* has been replaced by eccentric anomaly *E*. These anomalies are related through the equation

$$\tan\frac{f}{2} = \sqrt{\frac{1+e}{1-e}} \tan\frac{E}{2}.$$
(3.10)

Now, consider the variations in the Cartesian elements, $\delta \mathbf{r}$ and $\delta \mathbf{v}$, given in the moving frame of reference by

$$\delta \mathbf{r} = \delta \xi \, \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}} + \delta \eta \, \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{s}},$$

$$\delta \mathbf{v} = \delta u \, \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}} + \delta v \, \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{s}}.$$
(3.11)

Mathematical Problems in Engineering



Figure 2: The vectors e and h.

The variations of the orbital elements—*a*, *e*, ω , and *E*—induced by the variations in the Cartesian elements, $\delta \mathbf{r}$ and $\delta \mathbf{v}$, are obtained straightforwardly from (3.5) through (3.8) and are given by

$$\delta a = 2\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^{2} \frac{\mathbf{r} \cdot \delta \mathbf{r}}{r} + \frac{2a^{2}}{\mu} \mathbf{v} \cdot \delta \mathbf{v},$$

$$\delta e = -\frac{\mathbf{h} \cdot \delta \mathbf{h}}{\mu a e} + \frac{h^{2}}{2\mu a^{2} e} \delta a,$$

$$\delta \omega = \frac{\delta e}{e} \cot \omega - \frac{\mathbf{i} \cdot \delta \mathbf{e}}{e \sin \omega},$$

$$\delta E = \frac{1}{e \sin E} \left[\frac{\mathbf{r} \cdot \delta \mathbf{r}}{ra} - \frac{r}{a^{2}} \delta a + \cos E \delta e \right],$$
(3.12)

where the variations of the vectors **e** and **h** are written as

$$\mu \delta \mathbf{e} = \left(v_s^2 \delta \xi - v_s v_r \delta \eta + 2r v_s \delta v \right) \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}} + \left(-v_s v_r \delta \xi + \left(v_r^2 - \frac{\mu}{r} \right) \delta \eta - r v_s \delta u - r v_r \delta v \right) \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{s}}, \quad (3.13)$$

$$\delta \mathbf{h} = (v_s \delta \xi - v_r \delta \eta + r \delta v) \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{w}}, \tag{3.14}$$

being the unit vector $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{w}}$ normal to the plane of the orbit. Here, v_r and v_s denote the radial and circumferential components of the velocity vector, respectively (see (3.3)).

From (3.2), (3.3), and (3.9) through (3.14), one gets the explicit form of the variations of the orbital elements—a, e, ω , and E—induced by the variations in the Cartesian elements, $\delta \mathbf{r}$ and $\delta \mathbf{v}$. At this point, it is useful to replace the variation in the eccentric anomaly E by the variation in the mean anomaly M, obtained from the well-known Kepler equation

$$M = E - e\sin E. \tag{3.15}$$

This variation is given by

$$\delta M = \left(\frac{r}{a}\right) \delta E - \sin E \delta e. \tag{3.16}$$

Thus,

$$\delta a = 2\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^2 \delta \xi + \frac{2e\sin f}{n\sqrt{1-e^2}} \delta u + \frac{2\sqrt{1-e^2}}{n} \left(\frac{a}{r}\right) \delta v,$$

$$\delta e = \frac{a(1-e^2)}{r^2} \cos E \,\delta \xi + \frac{\sin f}{a} \delta \eta + \frac{\sqrt{1-e^2}}{na} \sin f \,\delta u + \frac{\sqrt{1-e^2}}{na} (\cos E + \cos f) \delta v,$$

$$\delta \omega = \frac{\sin f}{er} \delta \xi - \frac{e + \cos f}{ae(1-e^2)} \delta \eta - \frac{\sqrt{1-e^2}}{nae} \cos f \,\delta u + \frac{\sqrt{1-e^2}}{nae} \sin f \left(1 + \frac{1}{1+e\cos f}\right) \delta v,$$

$$\delta M = -\frac{1-e^3 \cos E}{er\sqrt{1-e^2}} \sin f \,\delta \xi + \frac{\sqrt{1-e^2}}{ae} \cos f \,\delta \eta$$

$$+ \frac{(1-e^2)}{nae} \left(\cos f - \frac{2e}{1+e\cos f}\right) \delta u - \frac{(1-e^2)}{nae} \sin f \left(1 + \frac{1}{1+e\cos f}\right) \delta v,$$
(3.17)

where $n = \sqrt{\mu/a^3}$ is the mean motion. Equation (3.17) can be put in the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \delta a \\ \delta e \\ \delta \omega \\ \delta M \end{bmatrix} = \Delta^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \delta \xi \\ \delta \eta \\ \delta u \\ \delta v \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (3.18)$$

where the matrix Δ^{-1} is inverse Jacobian matrix of the point transformation between the Cartesian elements and the orbital ones, defined by (3.2) and (3.3).

Following the properties of generalized canonical systems [7], the general solution of the differential equations for the adjoint variables p_r and p_v is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}} \\ \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{v}} \end{bmatrix} = \left(\Delta^{-1}\right)^{T} \begin{bmatrix} p_{a} \\ p_{e} \\ p_{\omega} \\ p_{M} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (3.19)$$

with p_r and p_v expressed in the moving frame of reference. Thus, it follows from (3.17) through (3.19) that

$$\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{a}{r^{2}} \left\{ 2ap_{a} + \left((1 - e^{2})\cos E \right) p_{e} + \left(\frac{r}{a} \right) \frac{\sin f}{e} \left(p_{\omega} - \frac{(1 - e^{3}\cos E)}{\sqrt{1 - e^{2}}} p_{M} \right) \right\} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}} \\ + \left\{ \frac{\sin f}{a} p_{e} - \frac{(e + \cos f)}{ae(1 - e^{2})} p_{\omega} + \frac{\sqrt{1 - e^{2}}\cos f}{ae} p_{M} \right\} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{s}}, \\ \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{v}} = \frac{1}{na\sqrt{1 - e^{2}}} \left\{ \left\{ 2ae\sin f p_{a} + \left((1 - e^{2})\sin f \right) p_{e} - \frac{(1 - e^{2})\cos f}{e} p_{\omega} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\left(1 - e^{2} \right)^{3/2}}{e} \left(\cos f - \frac{2e}{1 + e\cos f} \right) p_{M} \right\} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}} \\ + \left\{ 2a(1 - e^{2}) \left(\frac{a}{r} \right) p_{a} + (1 - e^{2})(\cos f + \cos E) p_{e} \\ \left. + \frac{\left(1 - e^{2} \right)\sin f}{e} \left(1 + \frac{1}{1 + e\cos f} \right) \left(p_{\omega} - \sqrt{1 - e^{2}} p_{M} \right) \right\} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{s}} \right\}.$$

$$(3.20)$$

(r/a), $(r/a) \sin f$, and so forth are known functions of the elliptic motion, which can be written explicitly in terms of eccentricity and mean anomaly through Lagrange series [8].

Equations (3.2), (3.3), and (3.20) define a canonical Mathieu transformation between the Cartesian elements ($\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}}, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{v}}$) and the orbital ones ($a, e, \omega, M, p_a, p_e, p_{\omega}, p_M$). The Hamiltonian H^* is invariant with respect to this canonical transformation. Thus,

$$H_{0} = np_{M}, \qquad (3.21)$$

$$H_{\gamma^{*}} = \frac{1}{2n^{2}a^{2}(1-e^{2})} \times \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(1-\cos 2f) \left[2aep_{a} + (1-e^{2})p_{e} \right]^{2} + 2(1-e^{2})\sin 2f \left[-ap_{a}p_{\omega} - \frac{(1-e^{2})}{2e}p_{e}p_{\omega} \right] + 4(1-e^{2})^{3/2}\sin f \left(\frac{-2e}{1+e\cos f} + \cos f \right) \left[ap_{a}p_{M} + \frac{(1-e^{2})}{2e}p_{e}p_{M} \right] + \frac{(1-e^{2})^{2}}{2e^{2}}(1+\cos 2f)p_{\omega}^{2} - \frac{2(1-e^{2})^{5/2}}{e^{2}} \left(\frac{-2e}{1+e\cos f} + \cos f \right) \cos fp_{\omega}p_{M} + \frac{(1-e^{2})^{3}}{e^{2}} \left(\frac{-2e}{1+e\cos f} + \cos f \right)^{2}p_{M}^{2} + 4a^{2}(1-e^{2})^{2} \left(\frac{a}{r} \right)^{2}p_{a}^{2} + 4a(1-e^{2})^{2} \left(\frac{a}{r} \right)(\cos E + \cos f)p_{a}p_{e} + (1-e^{2})^{2}(\cos E + \cos f)^{2}p_{e}^{2}$$

$$+\frac{4a(1-e^{2})^{2}}{e}\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)\sin f\left(1+\frac{1}{1+e\cos f}\right)\left[p_{a}p_{\omega}-\sqrt{1-e^{2}}p_{a}p_{M}\right]$$

+
$$\frac{2(1-e^{2})^{2}}{e}(\cos E+\cos f)\left(1+\frac{1}{1+e\cos f}\right)\sin f\left[p_{e}p_{\omega}-\sqrt{1-e^{2}}p_{e}p_{M}\right]$$

+
$$\left[\frac{(1-e^{2})}{e}\left(1+\frac{1}{1+e\cos f}\right)\sin f\left[p_{\omega}-\sqrt{1-e^{2}}p_{M}\right]\right]^{2}\right\}.$$
(3.22)

The new Hamiltonian function H^* , defined by (3.21) and (3.22), describes the optimal low-thrust limited-power trajectories in a Newtonian central gravity field for transfers between arbitrary elliptic coplanar orbits. It should be noted that H^* becomes singular for circular orbits and, thus, the theory developed in the paper cannot be applied to transfers involving orbits with very small eccentricities.

A first-order analytical solution for the canonical system described by the Hamiltonian function H^* will be derived in the next sections through canonical transformation theory.

4. A first-order analytical solution

In order to get an approximate formal solution to the problem of transfers between arbitrary elliptic coplanar orbits, a perturbation technique based on Lie series—Hori method—will be applied. For completeness, a brief description of Hori method [2] is presented in the appendix.

In this study, for low-thrust propulsion systems, it is assumed that the functions H_0 and H_{γ^*} have different order of magnitude: H_0 has zero order and H_{γ^*} has first order in a small parameter associated to the magnitude of the thrust acceleration.

Consider an infinitesimal canonical transformation built through Hori method,

$$(a, e, \omega, M, p_a, p_e, p_\omega, p_M) \longrightarrow (a', e', \omega', M', p'_a, p'_e, p'_\omega, p'_M).$$

$$(4.1)$$

Following the algorithm described in the appendix, at order 0 one gets

C

d.

$$F_0 = n' p'_M.$$
 (4.2)

Now, consider the undisturbed canonical system described by F_0 :

$$\frac{da'}{dt} = 0, \qquad \frac{dp'_a}{dt} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{n'}{a'} p'_M,$$

$$\frac{de'}{dt} = 0, \qquad \frac{dp'_e}{dt} = 0,$$

$$\frac{d\omega'}{dt} = 0, \qquad \frac{dp'_\omega}{dt} = 0,$$

$$\frac{M'}{dt} = n, \qquad \frac{dp'_M}{dt} = 0,$$
(4.3)

general solution of which is given by

$$a' = a'_{0}, \qquad e' = e'_{0}, \qquad \omega' = \omega'_{0}, \qquad M' = M'_{0} + n'(t - t_{0}),$$

$$p'_{a} = p'_{a_{0}} + \frac{3}{2} \frac{n'(t - t_{0})}{a'} p'_{M}, \qquad p'_{e} = p'_{e_{0}}, \qquad p'_{\omega} = p'_{\omega_{0}}, \qquad p'_{M} = p'_{M_{0}}.$$
(4.4)

The subscript 0 denotes the constants of integration.

Introducing the general solution defined by (4.4) in the equation of order 1 of the algorithm (A.6), one gets

$$\frac{\partial S_1}{\partial t} = H_{\gamma^*} - F_1. \tag{4.5}$$

The functions H_{γ^*} and F_1 are written in terms of the constants of integration. According to (A.11), the mean value of H_{γ^*} must be calculated. After lengthy calculations, one gets

$$F_{1} = \frac{a'}{2\mu} \left\{ 4a'^{2}p'_{a}^{2} + \frac{5}{2}(1 - e'^{2})p'_{e}^{2} + \frac{(5 - 4e'^{2})}{2e'^{2}}p'_{\omega}^{2} \right\},$$

$$(4.6)$$

$$S_{1} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{a'^{5}}{\mu^{3}}} \left\{ 8e'\sin E'a'^{2}p'_{a}^{2} + 8(1 - e'^{2})\sin E'a'p'_{a}p'_{e} - 8\frac{(1 - e'^{2})^{1/2}}{e'}\cos E'a'p'_{a}p'_{\omega} + (1 - e'^{2})\left[-\frac{5}{4}e'\sin E' + \frac{3}{4}\sin 2E' - \frac{1}{12}e'\sin 3E' \right]p'^{2}_{e} + \frac{2(1 - e'^{2})^{1/2}}{e'}\left[\frac{5}{4}e'\cos E' + \frac{1}{4}(e'^{2} - 3)\cos 2E' + \frac{1}{12}e'\cos 3E' \right]p'_{e}p'_{\omega} + \frac{1}{e'^{2}}\left[\left(\frac{5}{4} - e'^{2} \right)e'\sin E' - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{3}{2} - e'^{2} \right)\sin 2E' + \frac{1}{12}e'\sin 3E' \right]p'^{2}_{\omega} \right\}.$$

Terms factored by p'_M have been omitted in equations above, since only transfers (no rendezvous) are considered [4]. But, it should be noted that mixed secular terms can arise in the new Hamiltonian in the case of rendezvous maneuvers. For a first-order solution, $F' = F_1$ and $S = S_1$.

The new Hamiltonian F' governs the optimal long duration transfers between arbitrary elliptic coplanar orbits. The general solution of the canonical system described by this Hamiltonian will be obtained through two canonical transformations.

First, consider the Mathieu transformation:

$$(a', e', \omega', p'_a, p'_e, p'_\omega) \longrightarrow (a'', \phi, \omega'', p''_a, p_\phi, p''_\omega),$$

$$(4.8)$$

defined by the following equations:

$$a' = a'', \qquad p'_a = p''_a,$$

$$e' = \sin \phi, \qquad p'_e = \frac{p_\phi}{\cos \phi},$$

$$\omega' = \omega'', \qquad p'_{\omega} = p''_{\omega}.$$
(4.9)

The Hamiltonian function F' is invariant with respect to this transformation. Thus,

$$F'' = \frac{a''}{2\mu} \left\{ 4a''^2 p_a''^2 + \frac{5}{2} p_{\phi}''^2 + \left(\frac{5}{2} \csc^2 \phi - 2\right) p_{\omega}''^2 \right\}.$$
 (4.10)

The canonical system governed by the new Hamiltonian function F'' has three first integrals (*t* and ω are ignorable variables),

$$p''_{\omega} = C_1, \tag{4.11}$$

$$p_{\phi}^2 + p_{\omega}^{\prime 2} \csc^2 \phi = C_2^2, \tag{4.12}$$

$$\frac{a''}{2\mu} \left\{ 4a''^2 p_a''^2 + \frac{5}{2} p_{\phi}''^2 + \left(\frac{5}{2} \csc^2 \phi - 2\right) p_{\omega}''^2 \right\} = \mathsf{E}.$$
(4.13)

(Constant E should not be confused with the eccentric anomaly E.) These first integrals play important role in determining the general solution of the canonical system governed by the new Hamiltonian function F'' through Hamilton-Jacobi theory.

Let us consider the problem of determining a canonical transformation defined by a generating function W such that C_1 , C_2 , and E become the new generalized coordinates,

$$(a'',\phi,\omega'',p''_a,p_{\phi},p''_{\omega}) \xrightarrow{W} (C_1,C_2,\mathsf{E},p_{C_1},p_{C_2},p_{\mathsf{E}}).$$

$$(4.14)$$

Since the new Hamiltonian function F'' is a quadratic form in the conjugate momenta, the separation of variables technique will be applied for solving the Hamilton-Jacobi equation [3].

Consider the transformation equations

$$p_{a}'' = \frac{\partial W}{\partial a''}, \qquad p_{\phi} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial \phi}, \qquad p_{\omega}'' = \frac{\partial W}{\partial \omega''},$$

$$p_{C_{1}} = -\frac{\partial W}{\partial C_{1}}, \qquad p_{C_{2}} = -\frac{\partial W}{\partial C_{2}}, \qquad p_{\mathsf{E}} = -\frac{\partial W}{\partial \mathsf{E}},$$
(4.15)

where $W = W(a'', \phi, \omega'', C_1, C_2, E)$ is the generating function. The corresponding Hamilton-Jacobi equation is then given by

$$\frac{a''}{2\mu} \left\{ 4a''^2 \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial a''} \right)^2 + \frac{5}{2} \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial \phi} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{5}{2} \csc^2 \phi - 2 \right) \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial \omega''} \right)^2 \right\} = \mathsf{E}.$$
(4.16)

Following the separation of variables technique, it is assumed that the generating function W is equal to a sum of functions, each of which depends on a single old variable, that is,

$$W(a'',\phi,\omega'',C_1,C_2,\mathsf{E}) = W_1(a'',C_1,C_2,\mathsf{E}) + W_2(\phi,C_1,C_2,\mathsf{E}) + W_3(\omega'',C_1,C_2,\mathsf{E}).$$
(4.17)

Therefore, from (4.11) through (4.17), it follows that

$$\frac{\partial W_3}{\partial \omega''} = C_1,$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial W_2}{\partial \phi}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial W_3}{\partial \omega''}\right)^2 \csc^2 \phi = C_2^2,$$

$$\frac{a''}{2\mu} \left\{ 4a''^2 \left(\frac{\partial W_1}{\partial a''}\right)^2 + \frac{5}{2} \left(\frac{\partial W_2}{\partial \phi}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{5}{2}\csc^2 \phi - 2\right) \left(\frac{\partial W_3}{\partial \omega''}\right)^2 \right\} = \mathsf{E}.$$
(4.18)

A complete solution of these equations is given by

$$W_{1} = -\sqrt{\frac{5C^{2}}{2}} \left\{ \left[\frac{4\mu \mathsf{E}}{5C^{2}a''} - 1 \right]^{1/2} - \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{4\mu \mathsf{E}}{5C^{2}a''} - 1 \right]^{1/2} \right\},$$

$$W_{2} = \int \sqrt{C_{2}^{2} - C_{1}^{2} \csc^{2}\phi} \, d\phi,$$

$$W_{3} = C_{1}\omega'',$$
(4.19)

with $5C_2^2 - 4C_1^2 = 5C^2$. We note that W_2 is given as indefinite integral, since only its partial derivatives are needed (4.15), as shown in the next paragraphs.

Now, consider the differential equations for the conjugate momenta of the canonical system governed by the new Hamiltonian F'' = E, that is,

$$\frac{dp_{C_1}}{dt} = 0,$$

$$\frac{dp_{C_2}}{dt} = 0,$$

$$\frac{dp_E}{dt} = -1,$$
(4.20)

whose solution is very simple:

t

$$p_{C_1} = \alpha_1, \qquad p_{C_2} = \alpha_2, \qquad p_{\mathsf{E}} = \alpha_3 - t, \tag{4.21}$$

where α_i , i = 1, 2, 3, are arbitrary constants of integration.

Introducing the generating function W, defined by (4.17) and (4.19), into the transformation equation (4.15), and taking into account the general solution defined by (4.21) for the conjugate momenta, one gets

$$p_{a}'' = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}a^{2}} [4\mu Ea - 5C^{2}a^{2}]^{1/2},$$

$$p_{\phi} = \sqrt{C_{2}^{2} - C_{1}^{2}csc^{2}\phi},$$

$$p_{\omega}'' = C_{1},$$

$$\alpha_{1} = -\omega - 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \frac{C_{1}}{C} \tan^{-1} \left(\left(\frac{4\mu E}{5C^{2}a} - 1 \right)^{1/2} \right) + C_{1} \int \frac{csc^{2}\phi}{\sqrt{C_{2}^{2} - C_{1}^{2}csc^{2}\phi}} d\phi, \qquad (4.22)$$

$$\alpha_{2} = -\sqrt{\frac{5}{2}} \frac{C_{2}}{C} \tan^{-1} \left(\left(\frac{4\mu E}{5C^{2}a} - 1 \right)^{1/2} \right) + \sin^{-1} \left(\frac{C_{2}}{\sqrt{C_{2}^{2} - C_{1}^{2}}} \cos \phi \right),$$

$$-\alpha_{3} = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}Ea} [4\mu Ea - 5C^{2}a^{2}]^{1/2}.$$

From equations above, one finds the solution of the canonical system governed by the Hamiltonian F'' for a given set of initial conditions:

$$a''(t) = \frac{a_0''}{1 + (4a_0''/\mu)((1/2)\mathsf{E}t^2 - a_0''p_{a_0}''t)},$$
(4.23)

$$a''\sin^2 k_0 = a_0''\sin^2(\sqrt{2}\psi + k_0), \qquad (4.24)$$

$$\psi = \frac{1}{5} (\tau - \tau_0) \sqrt{1 + 4\cos^2 k_1}, \qquad (4.25)$$

$$\cos\phi = \cos k_1 \cos\tau, \tag{4.26}$$

$$\omega'' = k_2 + \tan^{-1}(\tan\tau\csc k_1) - \frac{4}{5}\tau\sin k_1, \qquad (4.27)$$

$$p_a^{\prime\prime 2} = \left(\frac{a_0^{\prime\prime}}{a^{\prime\prime}}\right)^5 p_{a_0}^{\prime\prime 2} + \frac{1}{8} p_{\omega_0}^{\prime\prime 2} (5 \csc^2 k_1 - 4) \left(\frac{a_0^{\prime\prime}}{a^{\prime\prime 3}} - \frac{1}{a^{\prime\prime 2}}\right),\tag{4.28}$$

$$p_{\phi}^{2} = p_{\omega_{0}}^{\prime\prime 2} (\csc^{2}k_{1} - \csc^{2}\phi), \qquad (4.29)$$

$$p''_{\omega} = p''_{\omega_0}, \tag{4.30}$$

with the auxiliary constants k_0 , k_1 , and k_2 defined as functions of the initial value of the adjoint variables (conjugate momenta) by

$$\csc^{2}k_{0} = \frac{8(a_{0}''p_{a_{0}}'')^{2} + p_{\omega_{0}}''(5\csc^{2}k_{1} - 4)}{p_{\omega_{0}}''(5\csc^{2}k_{1} - 4)},$$

$$\csc^{2}k_{1} = \frac{p_{\phi_{0}}^{2} + p_{\omega_{0}}''2\csc^{2}\phi_{0}}{p_{\omega_{0}}''},$$

$$k_{2} = \omega_{0}'' + \frac{4}{5}\tau_{0}\sin k_{1} - \tan^{-1}(\tan\tau_{0}\csc k_{1}).$$
(4.31)

The constants C, C_1 , C_2 , and E in (4.22) can also be written as functions of the initial value of the adjoint variables as follows:

$$C^{2} = \frac{1}{5} p_{\omega_{0}}^{\prime\prime2} (5 \csc^{2} k_{1} - 4),$$

$$C_{1} = p_{\omega_{0}}^{\prime\prime},$$

$$C_{2}^{2} = p_{\phi_{0}}^{2} + p_{\omega_{0}}^{\prime\prime2} \csc^{2} \phi_{0},$$

$$4\mu \mathsf{E} = a_{0}^{\prime\prime} (8 (a_{0}^{\prime\prime} p_{a_{0}}^{\prime\prime})^{2} + p_{\omega_{0}}^{\prime\prime2} (5 \csc^{2} k_{1} - 4)).$$
(4.32)

The initial conditions for the state variables (generalized coordinates) are given by $a''(0) = a''_0$, $e''(0) = \sin \phi_0$, and $\omega''(0) = \omega''_0$, and τ_0 is obtained from $\cos \phi_0 = \cos k_1 \cos \tau_0$.

Equation (4.23) through (4.30) represents the solution of the canonical system concerning the problem of optimal long duration low-thrust limited-power transfers between arbitrary elliptic coplanar orbits in a Newtonian central field.

For maneuvers with arbitrary duration, the periodic terms must be included [9]. Following Hori method and applying the initial conditions, one gets a first-order analytical solution:

$$\begin{aligned} a(t) &= a'(t) + \sqrt{\frac{a'^5}{\mu^3}} \Big[8e' \sin E' a'^2 p'_a + 4(1 - e'^2) \sin E' a' p'_e - 4 \frac{(1 - e'^2)^{1/2}}{e'} \cos E' a' p'_{\omega} \Big]_{E'_0}^{E'} \\ e(t) &= e'(t) + \sqrt{\frac{a'^5}{\mu^3}} \Big[4(1 - e'^2) \sin E' a' p'_a \\ &+ (1 - e'^2) \Big[-\frac{5}{4}e' \sin E' + \frac{3}{4} \sin 2E' - \frac{1}{12}e' \sin 3E' \Big] p'_e \\ &+ \frac{(1 - e'^2)^{1/2}}{e'} \Big[\frac{5}{4}e' \cos E' + \frac{1}{4}(e'^2 - 3) \cos 2E' + \frac{1}{12}e' \cos 3E' \Big] p'_{\omega} \Big]_{E'_0}^{E'}, \\ \omega(t) &= \omega'(t) + \sqrt{\frac{a'^5}{\mu^3}} \Big[-4 \frac{(1 - e'^2)^{1/2}}{e'} \cos E' a' p'_a \\ &+ \frac{(1 - e'^2)^{1/2}}{e'} \Big[\frac{5}{4}e' \cos E' + \frac{1}{4}(e'^2 - 3) \cos 2E' + \frac{1}{12}e' \cos 3E' \Big] p'_{\omega} \Big]_{E'_0}^{E'}, \\ \frac{1}{e'^2} \Big[\frac{5}{4}e' \cos E' a' p'_a \\ &+ \frac{(1 - e'^2)^{1/2}}{e'} \Big[\frac{5}{4}e' \cos E' + \frac{1}{4}(e'^2 - 3) \cos 2E' + \frac{1}{12}e' \cos 3E' \Big] p'_e \\ &+ \frac{1}{e'^2} \Big[\Big(\frac{5}{4} - e'^2 \Big) e' \sin E' - \frac{1}{2} \Big(\frac{3}{2} - e'^2 \Big) \sin 2E' + \frac{1}{12}e' \sin 3E' \Big] p'_{\omega} \Big]_{E'_0}^{E'}, \end{aligned}$$

with $a', e', \ldots, p'_{\omega}$ given by (4.9). As mentioned before, these equations cannot be applied to transfers involving very small eccentricities.

A complete first-order analytical solution for the consumption variable is obtained through a different procedure as described in [9]. This procedure is based on the linearized solution for transfers between close orbits and it involves the generating function S. The expression of J can be put in a compact form as follows:

$$J = \mathsf{E}(t - t_0) + \Delta S, \tag{4.34}$$

with $\Delta S = S(E') - S(E'_0)$.

Equations (4.33) are in agreement with the ones obtained in [9] through Bogoliubov-Mitropolsky method, a noncanonical perturbation technique. But it should be noted that in [9] terms in $\cos^2 E'$ appear instead of terms in $\cos 2E'$; the other terms are equivalent. The eccentric anomaly E' is computed from Kepler's equation with the mean anomaly M' given by

$$M'(t) = M'_0 + \int_{t_0}^t \left[\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{a'^3}} - \left(\frac{5 + 2e'^2}{2}\right) \sqrt{\frac{a'^5}{\mu^3} \frac{\sqrt{1 - e'^2}}{e'^2}} p'_{\omega} \right] dt,$$
(4.35)

where $M'_0 = M'(t_0)$. The differential equation for the mean anomaly M' is derived from the undisturbed Hamiltonian F_0 and an additional term $\Delta F'$ factored by $p'_{M'}$

$$F' = \left(n' - \left(\frac{5+2e'^2}{2}\right)\sqrt{\frac{a'^5}{\mu^3}}\frac{\sqrt{1-e'^2}}{e'^2}p'_{\omega}\right)p'_M + \cdots,$$
(4.36)

where dots denote the terms defined by (4.6).

 Table 1: Set of initial conditions and transfer duration.

	Set 1	Set 2
a_0	1.0	1.0
e_0	0.20	0.20
ω_0	0.0	0.0
p_{a_0}	2.9326×10^{-4}	1.4663×10^{-4}
p_{e_0}	2.9625×10^{-5}	1.4812×10^{-5}
p_{ω_0}	0.0	0.0
$t_f - t_0$	500	1000

For transfers between coaxial orbits, with no change in the pericenter argument, the analytical solution described in the preceding paragraphs simplifies. Since $p'_{\omega_0} = 0$, some equations must be rewritten:

$$a''\sin^2 k_0 = a_0''\sin^2\left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}(\phi - \phi_0) + k_0\right),\tag{4.37}$$

$$p_a^{\prime\prime 2} = \left(\frac{a_0^{\prime\prime}}{a^{\prime\prime}}\right)^3 p_{a_0}^{\prime\prime 2} + \frac{5}{8} p_{\phi}^2 \left(\frac{a_0^{\prime\prime}}{a^{\prime\prime 3}} - \frac{1}{a^{\prime\prime 2}}\right),\tag{4.38}$$

$$p_{\phi} = p_{\phi_0} = C, \tag{4.39}$$

with $\csc^2 k_0 = (8(a_0''p_{a_0}'')^2 + 5p_{\phi}^2)/5p_{\phi}^2$ and $4\mu \mathsf{E} = a_0''(8(a_0''p_{a_0}'')^2 + 5p_{\phi}^2)$.

Figures 3, 4, and 5 show a comparison between the complete first-order analytical solution, the average solution, and a numerical solution. The numerical solution has been obtained through integration of the set of canonical equations which describes the optimal trajectories (system of differential equations governed by new Hamiltonian function H^* , defined by (3.21)-(3.22)). Two sets of initial conditions and transfer duration defined in Table 1 (in canonical units) are used in the comparison. In Table 2, final values of state variables are shown. A Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg method of orders 4 and 5, with step-size control, relative error tolerance of 10⁻¹¹, and absolute error tolerance of 10⁻¹², as described in [10, 11], has been used in the numerical integrations. Note that there exists an excellent agreement between the complete analytical solution and the numerical one. Figures 3 and 4 show that the difference between these solutions is lesser than 10^{-4} for semimajor axis and eccentricity; but the analytical solution for pericenter argument loses accuracy for large values of time. Figure 5 shows the results for the consumption variable. On the other hand, by comparing the analytical or numerical solution with the average solution, we see that the amplitudes of the short periodic terms are small, but they can be significant for transfers with moderate duration. Note that the difference between the numerical and average solutions decrease as the transfer duration increases. The good agreement between the numerical and the complete analytical solution suggest that the latter can be used in the solution of the twopoint boundary value problem of going from an initial orbit to a final orbit, as described in Section 6.



Figure 3: Comparison between numerical, analytical, and average solutions for a transfer between coaxial orbits—set 1 of initial conditions and $t_f - t_0 = 500$.



Figure 4: Comparison between numerical, analytical, and average solutions for a transfer between coaxial orbits—set 2 of initial conditions and $t_f - t_0 = 1000$.



Figure 5: Comparison between numerical, analytical, and average solutions for a transfer between coaxial orbits—consumption variable.

lable 2: Final state variables.						
			Final state var	iables		
	Analytical theory			Numerical solution		
	Secular	solution	Complete solution			
$t_f - t_0$	500	1000	500	1000	500	1000
a_f	2.0000	2.0000	1.9967	1.9973	1.9968	1.9973
e_f	0.2500	0.2500	0.2475	0.2480	0.2476	0.2480
ω_f	0.0000	0.0000	-0.0094	-0.0010	-0.0237	-0.0081
J_f	8.5417×10^{-5}	4.2913×10^{-5}	8.6327×10^{-5}	4.3182×10^{-5}	8.6332×10^{-5}	4.3182×10^{-5}

Table 2: Final state variables.

5. Analysis of long duration transfers

In this section, a complete analysis of long duration transfers described by the Hamiltonian F' is presented. The investigation of conjugate points and the solution of the two-point boundary value problem are considered.

To perform this analysis, a new consumption variable is introduced [4], $u = \sqrt{2E} t$, and (4.23) and (4.24) can be put in the form

$$\frac{a''}{a_0''} = \left[1 - 2\left(\frac{u}{v_0}\right)\cos k_0 + \left(\frac{u}{v_0}\right)^2\right]^{-1},\tag{5.1}$$

$$\left(\frac{u}{v_0}\right) = \frac{\sin(\sqrt{2}\psi)}{\sin\left(\sqrt{2}\psi + k_0\right)},$$
(5.2)

where $v_0 = \sqrt{\mu/a_0''}$. By eliminating k_0 from these equations, one finds

$$\left(\frac{u}{v_0}\right) = \sqrt{1 - 2\sqrt{\frac{a_0''}{a''}}\cos\left(\sqrt{2}\psi\right) + \left(\frac{a_0''}{a''}\right)}.$$
(5.3)

On the other hand, J = Et, thus

$$J = \frac{v_0^2}{2t} \left[1 - 2\sqrt{\frac{a_0''}{a''}} \cos\left(\sqrt{2}\psi\right) + \left(\frac{a_0''}{a''}\right) \right].$$
 (5.4)

Note that $t_0 = 0$ in equations above.

Now, consider (4.24)–(4.27) which define a two-parameter family of extremals in the phase space (a'', ϕ, ω'') for a given initial phase point $(a''_0, \phi_0, \omega''_0)$ corresponding to an initial orbit. By eliminating the auxiliary variables τ and ψ , $\alpha = a''/a''_0$ and ω'' can be written as explicit functions of ϕ , that is, $\alpha = \alpha(\phi, \phi_0, k_0, k_1)$ and $\omega'' = \omega''(\phi, \phi_0, \omega_0, k_1)$. The conjugate points to the phase point $(a''_0, \phi_0, \omega''_0)$ are determined through the roots of the equation [12]

$$\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial k_0} \frac{\partial \omega''}{\partial k_1} - \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial k_1} \frac{\partial \omega''}{\partial k_0} = 0.$$
(5.5)

Since ω'' does not depend on k_0 , $\partial \omega'' / \partial k_0 = 0$. On the other hand, from (4.24) and (5.2), one finds

$$\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial k_0} = -\frac{2\alpha}{\sin k_0} \left(\frac{u}{v_0}\right) \neq 0$$
(5.6)

Thus, the problem of determining the conjugate points reduces to the analysis of the roots of the following equation:

$$\frac{\partial \omega''}{\partial k_1} = 0. \tag{5.7}$$

From (4.26) and (4.27), one finds the explicit form of $\omega'' = \omega''(\phi, \phi_0, \omega_0, k_1)$, that is,

$$\omega'' = \omega_0'' - \frac{4}{5} \sin k_1 \left\{ \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{\cos \phi}{\cos k_1} \right) - \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{\cos \phi_0}{\cos k_1} \right) \right\} + \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{\cot \phi}{\cot k_1} \right) - \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{\cot \phi_0}{\cot k_1} \right).$$
(5.8)

The partial derivative $\partial \omega'' / \partial k_1$ is given by

$$\frac{\partial \omega''}{\partial k_1} = -\frac{4}{5} \cos k_1 (\tau - \tau_0) - \frac{4}{5} \tan^2 k_1 \left[\frac{\cos \phi_0}{\sin \tau_0} - \frac{\cos \phi}{\sin \tau} \right] + \sec^2 k_1 \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tan^2 \phi_0 - \tan^2 k_1}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tan^2 \phi - \tan^2 k_1}} \right].$$
(5.9)

The auxiliary variable τ is reintroduced to simplify the expression.

Therefore, from (5.7) and (5.9), after some simplifications, it follows that the conjugate points are given by the roots of following equation [4]:

$$\left(1 - \frac{4}{5}\sin^2 k_1\right)\sin\left(\tau - \tau_0\right) - \frac{4}{5}\cos^2 k_1(\tau - \tau_0)\sin\tau\,\sin\tau_0 = 0.$$
(5.10)

As discussed in [13, 14], the conjugate points determined through (5.10) occur for transfers during which the direction of motion in the orbit reverses; that is, for transfers between direct orbits (no direction reversals) the extremals computed for the canonical system governed by the Hamiltonian F' are globally minimizing.

Some numerical results are presented through Figures 6–9. Figures 6 and 7 show the field of extremals and isocost curves for long duration transfers between coaxial orbits, considering two cases: in the first one, the space vehicle departs from a circular orbit and, in the second one, it departs from an elliptic orbit with eccentricity $e_0 = 0.4$. In both cases, the semimajor axis is $a_0 = 1$, such that the results are presented in canonical units. The extremals are plotted for different values of the constant k_0 and the isocost curves for different values of the new consumption variable u/v_0 . Only transfers between direct orbits are considered.

Figures 8 and 9 show the field of extremals and isocost curves for long duration transfers between noncoaxial orbits. Note that in the general case the family of extremals is described by two constants k_0 and k_1 . In the plots of Figure 8, $k_0 = 18^\circ$ has been chosen in order to illustrate the general aspect of the field of extremals and compare the results with the ones obtained in [4, 5, 13, 14]. The projection of extremals on the (e, ω) -plane shows clearly the nonexistence of conjugate points for the extremals computed from (4.6)–(4.11) in the case of transfers between direct orbits (no direction reversals).

Figure 9 shows a sample of isocost curves for different values of the new consumption variable u/v_0 and a fixed value of $k_1 = 18^\circ$. Note that these curves lie on an isocost surface with complex geometry. For simplicity, the symmetric interval $[-180^\circ, 180^\circ]$ for ω is considered in this representation.

To complete the analysis, a brief description of an iterative algorithm for solving the two-point boundary value problem of going from an initial orbit $O_0 : (a''_0, e''_0, \omega''_0)$ to a final orbit $O_f : (a''_f, e''_f, \omega''_f)$ is presented. The steps of this algorithm can be described as follows.



Figure 6: Field of extremals and isocost curves for transfers between coaxial orbits for $e_0 = 0.0$.



Figure 7: Field of extremals and isocost curves for transfers between coaxial orbits for $e_0 = 0.4$.

- (1) Guess a starting value of k_1 ,
- (2) Determine ϕ_0 , ϕ_f , τ_0 , and τ_f through (4.9) and (4.26).
- (3) Compute ω'' through (4.27).
- (4) If $\omega'' \neq \omega''_f$, adjust the value of k_1 and repeat steps (2) and (3) until $|\omega'' \omega''_f| < \delta$, where δ is a prescribed small quantity.
- (5) Compute ψ_f and (u_f/v_0) through (4.25) and (5.3).
- (6) Compute k_0 using equation $\tan k_0 = \sin(\sqrt{2}\psi_f)/(\sqrt{\alpha_f} \cos(\sqrt{2}\psi_f))$, where $\alpha_f = a''_f/a''_0$.



Figure 8: Field of extremals for transfers between noncoaxial orbits for $e_0 = \sqrt{3}/2$ with $k_0 = 18^\circ$.

(7) Compute successively p''_{a_0} , p''_{ω_0} , and p''_{ϕ_0} through the following equations:

$$p_{a_0}'' = \frac{v_0^2}{2a_0'' t_f} \left(\frac{u_f}{v_0}\right) \cos k_0,$$

$$p_{\omega_0}'' = \frac{2\sqrt{2}a_0'' p_{a_0}''}{\cot k_0 \sqrt{5 \csc^2 k_1 - 4}},$$

$$p_{\phi_0}^2 = p_{\omega_0}''^2 (\csc^2 k_1 - \csc^2 \phi_0).$$
(5.11)



Figure 9: Isocost curves for $a_0 = 1.0$, $e_0 = 0.5$, and $\omega_0 = 0^\circ$, with $k_1 = 18^\circ$.

The adjust of the value of k_1 can be obtained through the well-known Newton-Raphson method, that is,

$$k_{1n+1} = k_{1n} - \frac{\omega''(k_{1n}) - \omega''_f}{(\partial \omega'' / \partial k_1)_{k_1 = k_{1n}}},$$
(5.12)

with the partial derivative $(\partial \omega'' / \partial k_1)_{k_1 = k_{1n}}$ computed from (5.9).

In the case of coaxial orbits, $k_1 = 0$ and the solution of the two-point boundary value problem involves only the solution of (4.37), which is given through step (6) with ψ replaced by $(1/\sqrt{5})(\phi-\phi_0)$. The initial value of the adjoint variables is given as follows: p''_{a_0} is computed through step (7) and p_{ϕ_0} is given by

$$p_{\phi_0}^2 = \frac{8 \tan^2 k_0 (a_0'' p_{a_0}'')^2}{5}.$$
(5.13)

With the solution of the two-point boundary value problem, the optimal thrust acceleration required to perform the maneuver can be determined through (2.5) and (3.22), that is,

$$\gamma^{*} = \frac{1}{na\sqrt{1-e^{2}}} \left\{ \left\{ 2ae\sin f \, p_{a} + \left(\left(1-e^{2}\right)\sin f \right) \, p_{e} - \frac{\left(1-e^{2}\right)\cos f}{e} p_{\omega} \right\} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}} + \left\{ 2a\left(1-e^{2}\right)\left(\frac{a}{r}\right) p_{a} + \left(1-e^{2}\right)\left(\cos f + \cos E\right) p_{e} + \frac{\left(1-e^{2}\right)\sin f}{e} \left(1 + \frac{1}{1+e\cos f}\right) p_{\omega} \right\} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{s}} \right\}.$$
(5.14)

The state and adjoint variables are computed from (4.23) through (4.30). For simplicity, prime denoting the average variables is omitted.



Figure 10: Complete and average solutions of the two-point boundary value problem for $t_f - t_0 = 500$ and $t_f - t_0 = 1000$.

6. Solution of the two-point boundary value problem

In this section, an iterative algorithm based on the approximate analytical solution defined by (4.33) is briefly described for solving the two-point boundary value problem of going from an initial orbit $O_0 : (a_0, e_0, \omega_0)$ to a final orbit $O_f : (a_f, e_f, \omega_f)$ at the prescribed final time t_f .

For a given time, (4.33) can be represented as follows:

$$y_i(t) = g_i(t, p'_a, p'_e, p'_w), \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$
(6.1)

where $y_1(t) = a(t)$, $y_2(t) = e(t)$, and $y_3(t) = \omega(t)$. Note that p'_a , p'_e , and p'_{ω} appear explicitly in the short periodic terms, but they also appear implicitly through a', e', ω' , and E'. Thus, functions g_i , i = 1, 2, 3, are nonlinear in these variables.

So, the two-point boundary value problem can be stated as follows: find p'_{a} , p'_{e} , and p'_{ω} such that $y_1(t_f) = a_f$, $y_2(t_f) = e_f$, and $y_3(t_f) = \omega_f$. This problem can be solved through a Newton-Raphson algorithm [10, 11] which uses the algorithm described in the preceding section (for long duration transfers) to generate a starting approximation of the adjoint variables.

Figure 10 shows a comparison between the solution of the two-point boundary value problem based on the average and complete solutions for a transfer between coaxial orbits with the departure orbit O_0 defined by the set of initial conditions $a_0 = 1.0$, $e_0 = 0.20$, $\omega_0 = 0^\circ$ and the arrival orbit defined by the set of terminal conditions $a_f = 2.0$, $e_f = 0.25$, $\omega_f = 0^\circ$, and two transfer durations $t_f - t_0 = 500$ and $t_f - t_0 = 1000$. All variables are represented in canonical units.

From results above, we note that average solution of the TPBVP does not represents a mean solution of the complete solution of the same TPBVP; that is, these solutions have different values for the initial adjoint variables, and, the solution of the TPBVP based on the average solution becomes closer to the solution based on the complete analytical one, as the transfer duration increases.

7. Transfers between close elliptical coplanar orbits

For transfers between close elliptical coplanar orbits, an approximate solution of the system of differential equations governed by the Hamiltonian H^* , defined through (3.21) and (3.22), can be straightforwardly obtained by linearizing the functions F_1 and S_1 about a reference elliptic orbit \overline{O} defined by a nominal set of orbital elements \overline{a} , \overline{e} , and $\overline{\omega}$. This solution is very similar to the complete solution given by (4.33), with \overline{a} , \overline{e} , and $\overline{\omega}$ replacing a', e', ω' , and can be put in a suitable matrix form

$$\Delta x = A p_0, \tag{7.1}$$

where $\Delta x = [\Delta \alpha \ \Delta e \ \Delta \omega]^T$ denotes the imposed changes on orbital elements (state variable), $\alpha = a/\overline{a}$, $p_{\alpha} = \overline{a}p_a$, p_0 is the 3 × 1 matrix of initial values of the adjoint variable and *A* is 3 × 3 symmetric matrix. The adjoint variables are constant, and the matrix *A* is given by

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{\alpha\alpha} & a_{\alpha e} & a_{\alpha \omega} \\ a_{e\alpha} & a_{ee} & a_{e\omega} \\ a_{\omega\alpha} & a_{\omega e} & a_{\omega \omega} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (7.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_{a\alpha} &= 4\sqrt{\frac{\overline{a}^{5}}{\mu^{3}}}(\overline{E} + \overline{e}\sin\overline{E})\Big|_{\overline{E}_{0}}^{\overline{E}_{f}}, \\ a_{ae} &= a_{ea} = 4\sqrt{\frac{\overline{a}^{5}}{\mu^{3}}}(1 - \overline{e}^{2})\sin\overline{E}\Big|_{\overline{E}_{0}}^{\overline{E}_{f}}, \\ a_{a\omega} &= a_{\omega\alpha} = -4\sqrt{\frac{\overline{a}^{5}}{\mu^{3}}}\frac{(1 - \overline{e}^{2})^{1/2}}{\overline{e}}\cos\overline{E}\Big|_{\overline{E}_{0}}^{\overline{E}_{f}}, \\ a_{ee} &= \sqrt{\frac{\overline{a}^{5}}{\mu^{3}}}(1 - \overline{e}^{2})\left[\frac{5}{2}\overline{M} - \frac{5}{4}\overline{e}\sin\overline{E} + \frac{3}{4}\sin 2\overline{E} - \frac{1}{12}\overline{e}\sin 3\overline{E}}\right]\Big|_{\overline{E}_{0}}^{\overline{E}_{f}}, \\ a_{e\omega} &= a_{\omega e} = \sqrt{\frac{\overline{a}^{5}}{\mu^{3}}}\frac{(1 - \overline{e}^{2})^{1/2}}{\overline{e}}\left[\frac{5}{4}\overline{e}\cos\overline{E} + \frac{1}{4}(\overline{e}^{2} - 3)\cos 2\overline{E} + \frac{1}{12}\overline{e}\cos 3\overline{E}}\right]\Big|_{\overline{E}_{0}}^{\overline{E}_{f}}, \\ a_{\omega\omega} &= \sqrt{\frac{\overline{a}^{5}}{\mu^{3}}}\frac{1}{\overline{e}^{2}}\left[\left(\frac{5}{2} - 2\overline{e}^{2}\right)\overline{M} + \overline{e}\left(\frac{5}{4} - \overline{e}^{2}\right)\sin\overline{E} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3}{2} - \overline{e}^{2}\right)\sin 2\overline{E} + \frac{1}{12}\overline{e}\sin 3\overline{E}}\right]\Big|_{\overline{E}_{0}}^{\overline{E}_{f}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(7.3)$$

where \overline{E} is calculated by solving Kepler's equation for $\overline{M} = \overline{n}(t - \tau)$, with $\overline{n} = \sqrt{\mu/\overline{a}^3}$. Here, τ is the time of pericenter passage. The overbar denotes the reference orbit.

	Initial orbit 1	Final orbit 1	Initial orbit 2	Final orbit 2	Initial orbit 3	Final orbit 3
а	1.000	1.050	1.000	1.050	1.000	1.050
е	0.100	0.105	0.200	0.210	0.500	0.525
ω	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Table 3: Set of terminal orbits.

Equations (7.1)–(7.3) represent an approximate first-order solution for optimal lowthrust limited-power transfers between close elliptic coplanar orbits. The initial value of the adjoint variables must be determined to satisfy the two-point boundary value problem of going from an initial orbit O_0 : (a_0, e_0, ω_0) to a final orbit O_f : (a_f, e_f, ω_f) at the prescribed final time t_f . In this case, the vector p_0 can be determined by simple techniques since (7.1) defines a linear system of algebraic equations [15–17]; no iterative method such as Newton-Raphson is needed.

Figure 11 shows a comparison between the complete (nonlinear) first-order solution defined by (4.33) and the approximate (linearized) first-order solution defined by (7.1)–(7.3) for three set of terminal orbits described in Table 3, with $t_f - t_0 = 5$ and 100 canonical units. Note that linearized solution provides a good approximation for the nonlinear first-order solution. This approximation is better for transfers with larger duration. For transfers with moderate duration corresponding to some revolutions, the amplitude of periodic terms decreases as the eccentricities of terminal orbits increase.

8. Conclusion

A first-order analytical solution for the problem of optimal low-thrust limited-power transfers between arbitrary elliptic coplanar orbits in a Newtonian central gravity field has been obtained through Hamilton-Jacobi theory and a perturbation method based on Lie series. A complete analysis of long duration transfers, which includes the investigation of conjugate points and the solution of the two-point boundary value problem, is presented. Results show the good agreement between the complete analytical solution and the one obtained through numerical integration of the set of canonical equations which describes the optimal trajectories for a transfer between coaxial orbits. On the other hand, the average solution provides a good approximation for large values of the time when compared to the complete analytical solution. For transfers between close coplanar elliptic orbits, a linearized solution is straightforwardly obtained by linearizing the new Hamiltonian function F_1 and the generating function S_1 about a reference elliptic orbit O. Numerical results show the good agreement between the linearized solution and the complete (nonlinear) analytical solution for such transfers. Finally, we note that a first-order solution for the Cartesian elements can also be easily obtained from Lie theorem [2], since the theory presented in the paper is based on canonical transformations.

Appendix

Hori method is based on Lie theorem [2].

Let $H(x, y, \varepsilon)$ be a Hamiltonian written as a power series of a small parameter ε :

$$H(x, y, \varepsilon) = H_0(x, y) + \sum_{k=1} \varepsilon^k H_k(x, y),$$
(A.1)



Figure 11: Linearized and nonlinear solutions for transfers between close orbits.

where the undisturbed Hamiltonian $H_0(x, y)$ describes an integrable system. Here, x and y are n-vectors of generalized coordinates and conjugate momenta, respectively.

Consider an infinitesimal canonical transformation $(x, y) \rightarrow (x', y')$ defined by a generating function $S(x', y', \varepsilon)$, also developed as a power series of the small parameter ε ,

$$\varepsilon S(x',y',\varepsilon) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon^k S_k(x',y'). \tag{A.2}$$

This transformation is such that the new dynamical system has some advantages for the solution. Here, prime denotes the new variables, and x' and y' are also *n*-vectors. The new Hamiltonian $F(x', y', \varepsilon)$, resulting from this canonical transformation, is also developed as a power series of the small parameter ε ,

$$F(x',y') = F_0(x',y') + \sum_{k=1} \varepsilon^k F_k(x',y').$$
(A.3)

The transformation equations are given explicitly by

$$x_{i} = x_{i}' + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon^{n}}{n!} D_{S}^{n-1} \frac{\partial S}{\partial y_{i}'},$$

$$y_{i} = y_{i}' + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon^{n}}{n!} D_{S}^{n-1} \frac{\partial S}{\partial x_{i}'}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$
(A.4)

where $D_S^0 f = f$, $D_S^1 f = \{f, S\}$, $D_S^n f = D_S^{n-1}(D_S f)$, $n \ge 2$, f(x', y') denotes an arbitrary function and braces stand for Poisson brackets.

According to the algorithm of Hori method, the new Hamiltonian $F(x', y', \varepsilon)$ and the generating function $S(x', y', \varepsilon)$ are obtained, at each order of the small parameter ε , from the equations:

(i) order 0:

$$H_0(x',y') = F_0(x',y'), \tag{A.5}$$

(ii) order 1:

$$\{H_0, S_1\} + H_1 = F_1, \tag{A.6}$$

(iii) order 2:

$$\{H_0, S_2\} + \frac{1}{2}\{H_1 + F_1, S_1\} + H_2 = F_2, \dots$$
 (A.7)

All functions in above equations are written in terms of the new set of canonical variables (x', y').

The *m*th order equation of the algorithm can be put in the form

$$\{H_0, S_m\} + \Theta_m = F_m, \tag{A.8}$$

where Θ_m is a function obtained from the preceding orders, involving H_0 , H_m , S_k , F_k , and H_k , k = 1, ..., m - 1.

The determination of the functions F_m and S_m is based on the general solution of the undisturbed system

$$\frac{dx'_i}{dt} = \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial y'_i}, \quad \frac{dy'_i}{dt} = -\frac{\partial F_0}{\partial x'_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$
(A.9)

and it is performed through an averaging principle. Following the integration theory proposed in [18], (A.8) can be put in the form

$$\frac{\partial S_m}{\partial t} = \Theta_m - F_m,\tag{A.10}$$

with Θ_m written in terms of the arbitrary constants of integration, c_1, \ldots, c_{2n} , of the general solution of the canonical system (A.9). S_m and F_m are unknown functions.

Following Hori [2], suppose that the canonical transformation generated by the function *S* is such that the time *t* is eliminated from the new Hamiltonian *F*. This is accomplished taking F_m as the mean value of the function Θ_m . Therefore, functions S_m and F_m are given through the equations

$$F_m = \langle \Theta_m \rangle,$$

$$S_m = \int \left[\Theta_m - \langle \Theta_m \rangle \right] dt,$$
(A.11)

where $\langle \cdot \rangle$ stands for the mean value of the function. It should be noted that the averaging process and the integration in (A.11) are performed considering the explicit dependence on time of the functions.

Acknowledgment

This research has been supported by CNPq under contract 305049/2006-2.

References

- [1] J.-P. Marec, Optimal Space Trajectories, Elsevier, New York, NY, USA, 1979.
- [2] G. Hori, "Theory of general perturbations with unspecified canonical variables," Publications of the Astronomical Society of Japan, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 287–296, 1966.
- [3] C. Lánczos, The Variational Principles of Mechanics, Mathematical Expositions, no. 4, University of Toronto Press, Toronto, Canada, 4th edition, 1970.
- [4] T. N. Edelbaum, "Optimum power-limited orbit transfer in strong gravity fields," AIAA Journal, vol. 3, pp. 921–925, 1965.
- [5] J.-P. Marec and N. X. Vinh, "Optimal low-thrust, limited power transfers between arbitrary elliptical orbits," Acta Astronautica, vol. 4, no. 5-6, pp. 511–540, 1977.
- [6] L. S. Pontryagin, V. G. Boltyanskii, R. V. Gamkrelidze, and E. F. Mishchenko, The Mathematical Theory of Optimal Processes, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, USA, 1962.
- [7] S. Da Silva Fernandes, "Generalized canonical systems—III. Space dynamics applications: solution of the coast-arc problem," Acta Astronautica, vol. 32, no. 5, pp. 347–354, 1994.
- [8] R. H. Battin, An Introduction to the Mathematics and Methods of Astrodynamics, AIAA Education Series, American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Washington, DC, USA, 1987.
- [9] T. N. Edelbaum, "An asymptotic solution for optimum power limited orbit transfer," AIAA Journal, vol. 4, no. 8, pp. 1491–1494, 1966.

Mathematical Problems in Engineering

- [10] G. E. Forsythe, M. A. Malcolm, and C. B. Moler, Computer Methods for Mathematical Computations, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, USA, 1977.
- [11] J. Stoer and R. Bulirsch, Introduction to Numerical Analysis, vol. 12 of Texts in Applied Mathematics, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 3rd edition, 2002.
- [12] G. A. Bliss, Lectures on the Calculus of Variations, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Ill, USA, 1946.
- [13] J.-P. Marec and N. X. Vinh, Étude Générale des Transferts Optimaux à Poussée Faible et Puissance Limitée entre Orbites Elliptiques Quelconques, ONERA, Châtillon, France, 1980.
- [14] C. M. Haissig, K. D. Mease, and N. X. Vinh, "Minimum-fuel power-limited transfers between coplanar elliptical orbits," Acta Astronautica, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 1-15, 1993.
- [15] T. N. Edelbaum, "Optimum low-thrust rendezvous and station keeping," AIAA Journal, vol. 2, no. 7, pp. 1196–1201, 1964.[16] J.-P. Marec, *Transferts Optimaux Entre Orbites Elliptiques Proches*, ONERA, Châtillon, France, 1967.
- [17] S. Da Silva Fernandes, "Optimum low-thrust limited power transfers between neighbouring elliptic non-equatorial orbits in a non-central gravity field," Acta Astronautica, vol. 35, no. 12, pp. 763–770, 1995.
- [18] S. Da Silva Fernandes, "Notes on Hori method for canonical systems," Celestial Mechanics & Dynamical Astronomy, vol. 85, no. 1, pp. 67-77, 2003.

Special Issue on Boundary Value Problems on Time Scales

Call for Papers

The study of dynamic equations on a time scale goes back to its founder Stefan Hilger (1988), and is a new area of still fairly theoretical exploration in mathematics. Motivating the subject is the notion that dynamic equations on time scales can build bridges between continuous and discrete mathematics; moreover, it often revels the reasons for the discrepancies between two theories.

In recent years, the study of dynamic equations has led to several important applications, for example, in the study of insect population models, neural network, heat transfer, and epidemic models. This special issue will contain new researches and survey articles on Boundary Value Problems on Time Scales. In particular, it will focus on the following topics:

- Existence, uniqueness, and multiplicity of solutions
- Comparison principles
- Variational methods
- Mathematical models
- Biological and medical applications
- Numerical and simulation applications

Before submission authors should carefully read over the journal's Author Guidelines, which are located at http://www .hindawi.com/journals/ade/guidelines.html. Authors should follow the Advances in Difference Equations manuscript format described at the journal site http://www.hindawi .com/journals/ade/. Articles published in this Special Issue shall be subject to a reduced Article Processing Charge of €200 per article. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/ according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	April 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	July 1, 2009
Publication Date	October 1, 2009

Lead Guest Editor

Alberto Cabada, Departamento de Análise Matemática, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, 15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain; alberto.cabada@usc.es

Guest Editor

Victoria Otero-Espinar, Departamento de Análise Matemática, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, 15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain; mvictoria.otero@usc.es