

## TIGHTNESS OF THE STUDENT T-STATISTIC

PHILIP S. GRIFFIN

Department of Mathematics, Syracuse University,

Syracuse, NY 13244-1150, U.S.A.

email: psgriffi@syr.edu

submitted March 19, 2002 Final version accepted September 27, 2002

AMS 2000 Subject classification: 60F05

Tightness, t-statistic, self-normalized sum

*Abstract*

Let  $X, X_1, X_2, \dots$  be a sequence of nondegenerate, independent and identically distributed random variables and set  $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n, V_n^2 = X_1^2 + \dots + X_n^2$ . We answer a question of Götze, Giné and Mason by providing a simple necessary and sufficient condition for tightness of  $S_n/V_n$ .

### 1 Introduction

Let  $X, X_1, X_2, \dots$  be a sequence of nondegenerate, independent and identically distributed random variables and set

$$S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n, \quad V_n^2 = X_1^2 + \dots + X_n^2 \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$t_n = \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}}, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\bar{X} = n^{-1}S_n$ . Then  $t_n$  is the classical Student t-statistic which may be expressed equivalently as

$$t_n = \frac{S_n}{V_n} \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n - (\frac{S_n}{V_n})^2}}. \quad (1.3)$$

In a beautiful paper Götze, Giné and Mason (1997) solved a long standing conjecture of Logan, Mallows, Rice and Shepp (1973), by proving that  $t_n$ , or equivalently the self-normalized sum  $S_n/V_n$  (see Proposition 1), is asymptotically standard normal if and only if  $X$  is in the domain of attraction of the normal law and  $EX = 0$ . A key step in their proof was to show that if

$$\frac{S_n}{V_n} \text{ is tight} \quad (1.4)$$

then it is uniformly subgaussian in the sense that

$$\sup_n E \exp\left(t \frac{S_n}{V_n}\right) \leq 2 \exp(ct^2) \quad (1.5)$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and some  $c > 0$ . This is clearly an important property of the self-normalized sum  $S_n/V_n$  which is not shared by scalar normalized sums, *i.e.* sums of the form  $(S_n - a_n)b_n^{-1}$  for scalar sequences  $a_n$  and  $b_n$ . Thus Giné, Götze and Mason asked for precise conditions under which (1.4) holds. In a subsequent paper, Giné and Mason (1998) gave such a characterization for distributions which are in the Feller class. Here we will solve the problem in general.

To describe the result we need to introduce a little notation. For  $r > 0$  set

$$G(r) = P(|X| > r), \quad K(r) = r^{-2}E(X^2; |X| \leq r), \quad M(r) = r^{-1}E(X; |X| \leq r), \quad (1.6)$$

and

$$Q(r) = G(r) + K(r). \quad (1.7)$$

Each of these functions is right continuous with left limits and tends to 0 as  $r$  approaches infinity. We can now give an analytic characterization of the two classes of random variables mentioned above.  $X$  is in the domain of attraction of the normal law and  $EX = 0$  if and only if

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{G(r) + |M(r)|}{K(r)} = 0, \quad (1.8)$$

while  $X$  is in the Feller class if and only if

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{G(r)}{K(r)} < \infty. \quad (1.9)$$

The result of Giné and Mason is that if  $X$  is in the Feller class, then (1.4) holds if and only if

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|M(r)|}{K(r)} < \infty. \quad (1.10)$$

The main result of this paper is

**Theorem 1** *The following are equivalent:*

$$t_n \text{ is tight,} \quad (1.11)$$

$$\frac{S_n}{V_n} \text{ is tight,} \quad (1.12)$$

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|M(r)|}{Q(r)} < \infty. \quad (1.13)$$

Examples of distributions satisfying (1.13) but not (1.9) and (1.10) are easily found, for example any symmetric distribution for which the tail function  $G$  is slowly varying.

In the course of the proof of Theorem 1 we also answer the question of when does there exist a centering sequence  $\alpha_n$  for which

$$\frac{S_n - \alpha_n}{V_n} \text{ is tight.} \quad (1.14)$$

In the case of scalar normalization this reduces to centering at the median of  $S_n$  since for any scalar sequence  $b_n$ , if  $(S_n - \alpha_n)b_n^{-1}$  is tight for some  $\alpha_n$ , then it is tight with  $\alpha_n = \text{median}(S_n)$ . For self-normalization this is not the case. We illustrate this by giving an example for which (1.12) holds but (1.14) fails when  $\alpha_n = \text{median}(S_n)$ .

In concluding the introduction we would like to mention that there have been several other interesting lines of investigation into the Student t-statistic. These include large deviation results (Shao (1997)), law of the iterated logarithm results (Griffin and Kuelbs (1991), Giné and Mason (1998)) and Berry-Esseen bounds (Bentkus and Götze (1994)). In addition Chistyakov and Götze (2001) have recently confirmed a second conjecture of Logan, Mallows, Rice and Shepp that the Student t-statistic has a non-trivial limiting distribution if and only if  $X$  is in the domain of attraction of a stable law. This last paper contains further references to the literature on self-normalized sums.

## 2 Preliminaries

We begin by showing that for tightness, and indeed for many asymptotic properties, the behavior of  $t_n$  and  $S_n/V_n$  are equivalent. In order that  $S_n/V_n$  always make sense, we define  $S_n/V_n = 0$  if  $V_n = 0$ .

**Proposition 1** *If  $EX^2 < \infty$  and  $EX \neq 0$  then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t_n}{S_n/V_n} = \frac{(EX^2)^{1/2}}{(EX^2 - (EX)^2)^{1/2}} \quad a.s. \quad (2.1)$$

*If  $EX^2 = \infty$  or  $EX = 0$  then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t_n}{S_n/V_n} = 1 \quad a.s. \quad (2.2)$$

*Proof.* If  $EX^2 < \infty$  and  $EX \neq 0$  then (2.1) follows immediately from (1.3) and the strong law. To prove (2.2) it suffices to show

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(n^{-1}S_n)^2}{n^{-1}V_n^2} = 0 \quad a.s. \quad (2.3)$$

If  $EX = 0$  this follows immediately from the strong law. Thus we are left to deal with the case  $EX^2 = \infty$ . Fix  $L > 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |S_n| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n |X_i| I(|X_i| \leq L) + \sum_{i=1}^n |X_i| I(|X_i| > L) \\ &\leq nL + V_n \left( \sum_{i=1}^n I(|X_i| > L) \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Hence

$$\frac{n^{-1}|S_n|}{n^{-1/2}V_n} \leq \frac{L}{n^{-1/2}V_n} + \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n I(|X_i| > L)}{n} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (2.5)$$

Thus again by the strong law

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{-1}S_n}{n^{-1/2}V_n} \leq (P(|X| > L))^{1/2}. \quad (2.6)$$

The result then follows by letting  $L \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

The functions defined in (1.6) and (1.7) are defined for  $r > 0$ . It will be convenient to extend them to  $r = 0$  by continuity. Thus set

$$G(0) = P(|X| > 0), K(0) = M(0) = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

We will be particularly interested in the function  $Q$  of (1.7) which is in fact continuous. This is most easily seen by observing that

$$Q(r) = r^{-2}E(X^2 \wedge r^2) = r^{-2} \int_0^r 2sG(s) ds. \quad (2.8)$$

Taking the right derivative in (2.8) shows that  $Q$  is constant on  $[0, r_0]$  and strictly decreasing on  $[r_0, \infty)$  where

$$r_0 = \inf\{r > 0 : G(r) < G(0)\}. \quad (2.9)$$

Thus for each fixed  $\lambda > 0$ , we can define a sequence  $a_n(\lambda)$  for all  $n > (\lambda Q(0))^{-1}$  by

$$Q(a_n(\lambda)) = \frac{1}{\lambda n}. \quad (2.10)$$

Observe that  $a_n(\lambda)$  is increasing in both  $n$  and  $\lambda$ . For  $n > (\lambda Q(0))^{-1}$  set

$$U_n(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \wedge a_n^2(\lambda). \quad (2.11)$$

**Lemma 1** Fix  $\lambda > 0$ . For any  $\delta \in (0, \lambda^{-1/2})$  and  $n > (\lambda Q(0))^{-1}$

$$P(U_n(\lambda) > \delta^2 a_n^2(\lambda)) \geq \frac{(1 - \lambda \delta^2)^2}{1 + \lambda}.$$

*Proof.* First observe that for any  $n > (\lambda Q(0))^{-1}$

$$EU_n(\lambda) = na_n^2(\lambda)Q(a_n(\lambda)) = \frac{a_n^2(\lambda)}{\lambda} \quad (2.12)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} EU_n(\lambda)^2 &= nE(X^4 \wedge a_n^4(\lambda)) + 2 \binom{n}{2} (E(X^2 \wedge a_n^2(\lambda)))^2 \\ &\leq na_n^4(\lambda)Q(a_n(\lambda)) + n^2(a_n^2(\lambda)Q(a_n(\lambda)))^2 \\ &= a_n^4(\lambda) \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Thus by a reverse Chebyshev inequality, see Durrett (1996) Exercise 3.8 on page 16, for any  $\delta \in (0, \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}})$

$$\begin{aligned} P(U_n(\lambda) > \delta^2 a_n^2(\lambda)) &= P(U_n(\lambda) > \lambda \delta^2 E U_n(\lambda)) \\ &\geq (1 - \lambda \delta^2)^2 \frac{(E U_n(\lambda))^2}{E U_n(\lambda)^2} \\ &\geq \frac{(1 - \lambda \delta^2)^2}{1 + \lambda} \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

by (2.12) and (2.13).  $\square$

**Corollary 1** Fix  $\lambda > 0$ . For any  $\delta \in (0, \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}})$  and  $n > (\lambda Q(0))^{-1}$

$$P(V_n > \delta a_n(\lambda)) \geq \frac{(1 - \lambda \delta^2)^2}{1 + \lambda}. \quad (2.15)$$

*Proof.* This follows immediately from Lemma 1 since  $V_n^2 \geq U_n(\lambda)$  for  $n > (\lambda Q(0))^{-1}$ .  $\square$

Let

$$X_n^* = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |X_i|.$$

**Lemma 2** Fix  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $L > 0$  and  $n > (\lambda Q(0))^{-1}$ , then

$$P(V_n > L a_n(\lambda)) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda L^2} + 1 - (1 - \frac{1}{\lambda n})^n. \quad (2.16)$$

*Proof.* Since  $V_n^2 = U_n(\lambda)$  on  $\{X_n^* \leq a_n(\lambda)\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(V_n > L a_n(\lambda)) &\leq P(V_n > L a_n(\lambda), X_n^* \leq a_n(\lambda)) + P(X_n^* > a_n(\lambda)) \\ &\leq P(U_n(\lambda) > L^2 a_n^2(\lambda)) + P(X_n^* > a_n(\lambda)) \\ &\leq \frac{E U_n(\lambda)}{L^2 a_n^2(\lambda)} + 1 - (1 - G(a_n(\lambda)))^n \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda L^2} + 1 - (1 - \frac{1}{\lambda n})^n. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

$\square$

Now let

$$\begin{aligned} T_n(\lambda) &= \sum_{i=1}^n X_i I(|X_i| \leq a_n(\lambda)), \quad R_n(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i I(|X_i| > a_n(\lambda)) \\ J_n(\lambda) &= \sum_{i=1}^n I(|X_i| > a_n(\lambda)) \end{aligned}$$

and set

$$\alpha_n(\lambda) = E T_n(\lambda) = n a_n(\lambda) M(a_n(\lambda)). \quad (2.18)$$

**Lemma 3** Fix  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $L > 0$  and  $n > (\lambda Q(0))^{-1}$ , then

$$P(|R_n(\lambda)| > L V_n) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda L^2}. \quad (2.19)$$

*Proof.* Observe that by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality

$$|R_n(\lambda)| \leq V_n \sqrt{J_n(\lambda)}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} P(|R_n(\lambda)| > LV_n) &\leq P(J_n(\lambda) > L^2) \\ &\leq \frac{nG(a_n(\lambda))}{L^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda L^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

□

**Lemma 4** Fix  $L > 0$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\delta \in (0, \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ . Then for any  $n > (\lambda Q(0))^{-1}$

$$P(|S_n - \alpha_n(\lambda)| > 2LV_n) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \frac{1}{L^2 \delta^2} + \frac{1}{L} \right) + 1 - \frac{(1 - \lambda \delta^2)^2}{1 + \lambda}.$$

*Proof.* Since

$$S_n = T_n(\lambda) + R_n(\lambda)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{|S_n - \alpha_n(\lambda)| > 2LV_n\} &\subset \{|T_n(\lambda) - \alpha_n(\lambda)| > LV_n\} \cup \{|R_n(\lambda)| > LV_n\} \\ &\subset \{|T_n(\lambda) - \alpha_n(\lambda)| > L\delta a_n(\lambda)\} \\ &\quad \cup \{V_n \leq \delta a_n(\lambda)\} \cup \{|R_n(\lambda)| > LV_n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by Chebyshev's inequality, (2.15) and (2.19)

$$\begin{aligned} P(|S_n - \alpha_n(\lambda)| > 2LV_n) &\leq \frac{na_n^2(\lambda)K(a_n(\lambda))}{L^2 \delta^2 a_n^2(\lambda)} + 1 - \frac{(1 - \lambda \delta^2)^2}{1 + \lambda} + \frac{1}{\lambda L^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \frac{1}{L^2 \delta^2} + \frac{1}{L^2} \right) + 1 - \frac{(1 - \lambda \delta^2)^2}{1 + \lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 2** For any  $\lambda > 0$

$$\limsup_{L \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|S_n - \alpha_n(\lambda)| > LV_n) \leq \frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda}.$$

*Proof.* In Lemma 4, let  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $L \rightarrow \infty$  and finally  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ .

□

### 3 Proofs

We first derive a necessary and sufficient condition for tightness of the centered self-normalized sum then specialize this to the case of centering at 0.

**Theorem 2** Fix a centering sequence  $\alpha_n$ . Then the following are equivalent:

$$\frac{S_n - \alpha_n}{V_n} \text{ is tight,} \quad (3.1)$$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{\alpha_n - na_n(\lambda)M(a_n(\lambda))}{a_n(\lambda)} \right| < \infty \text{ for all } \lambda > 0, \quad (3.2)$$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{\alpha_n - na_n(\lambda)M(a_n(\lambda))}{a_n(\lambda)} \right| < \infty \text{ for all sufficiently small } \lambda > 0. \quad (3.3)$$

*Proof.* First assume (3.1) holds. For any  $n > (\lambda Q(0))^{-1}$

$$\begin{aligned} P(|T_n(\lambda) - \alpha_n| > 2L^2 a_n(\lambda)) \\ \leq P(|T_n(\lambda) - \alpha_n| > 2L^2 a_n(\lambda), La_n(\lambda) \geq V_n) + P(V_n > La_n(\lambda)) \\ \leq P(|T_n(\lambda) - \alpha_n| > 2LV_n) + P(V_n > La_n(\lambda)) \\ \leq P(|S_n - \alpha_n| > LV_n) + P(|R_n(\lambda)| > LV_n) + P(V_n > La_n(\lambda)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Thus by (3.1), (2.16) and (2.19), for any  $\lambda > 0$

$$\limsup_{L \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|T_n(\lambda) - \alpha_n| > 2L^2 a_n(\lambda)) \leq 1 - e^{-\frac{1}{\lambda}}. \quad (3.5)$$

On the other hand by Chebyshev's inequality

$$P(|T_n(\lambda) - \alpha_n(\lambda)| > L^2 a_n(\lambda)) \leq \frac{na_n^2(\lambda)K(a_n(\lambda))}{L^4 a_n^2(\lambda)} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda L^4}. \quad (3.6)$$

Thus for a fixed  $\lambda > 0$ , if  $L^4 > \lambda^{-1} \exp(\lambda^{-1})$ , then by (3.5) and (3.6), for all  $n$  sufficiently large

$$|\alpha_n - \alpha_n(\lambda)| \leq 3L^2 a_n(\lambda).$$

Hence (3.2) holds.

That (3.2) implies (3.3) is trivial. Finally assume (3.3) holds. Fix  $\lambda > 0$  sufficiently small that

$$c(\lambda) =: \sup_{n > (\lambda Q(0))^{-1}} \frac{|\alpha_n - na_n(\lambda)M(a_n(\lambda))|}{a_n(\lambda)} < \infty.$$

Observe that for any  $L > 0$  and any  $n > (\lambda Q(0))^{-1}$

$$\begin{aligned} \{|S_n - \alpha_n| > 2LV_n\} &\subset \{|S_n - \alpha_n(\lambda)| > 2LV_n - c(\lambda)a_n(\lambda)\} \\ &\subset \{|S_n - \alpha_n(\lambda)| > LV_n\} \cup \{LV_n \leq c(\lambda)a_n(\lambda)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Now if  $L$  is large enough that  $c(\lambda)L^{-1} < \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ , then by Corollary 1

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(V_n \leq c(\lambda)L^{-1}a_n(\lambda)) \leq 1 - \frac{(1 - \lambda(c(\lambda)L^{-1})^2)^2}{1 + \lambda}. \quad (3.8)$$

Hence by Corollary 2, (3.7) and (3.8), for all  $\lambda$  sufficiently small

$$\limsup_{L \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|S_n - \alpha_n| > 2LV_n) \leq \frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda} + 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \lambda}.$$

Thus (3.1) follows by letting  $\lambda \downarrow 0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3** *The following are equivalent:*

$$\frac{S_n}{V_n} \text{ is tight,} \quad (3.9)$$

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|M(r)|}{Q(r)} < \infty. \quad (3.10)$$

*Proof.* Assume (3.10). Then with  $\alpha_n = 0$  we have for  $n > (\lambda Q(0))^{-1}$

$$\left| \frac{\alpha_n - na_n(\lambda)M(a_n(\lambda))}{a_n(\lambda)} \right| = \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{|M(a_n(\lambda))|}{Q(a_n(\lambda))},$$

so (3.9) holds by Theorem 2.

Conversely assume (3.10) fails, so

$$\frac{|M(r_k)|}{Q(r_k)} \rightarrow \infty \quad (3.11)$$

for some  $r_k \rightarrow \infty$ . Set

$$n_k = \max\{n : nQ(r_k) \leq 1\}. \quad (3.12)$$

Then for  $n_k > (Q(0))^{-1}$  we have that

$$r_k = a_{n_k}(\lambda_k) \quad (3.13)$$

where  $1 \leq \lambda_k \leq 1 + n_k^{-1}$ . Now for such  $k$

$$\begin{aligned} |n_k a_{n_k}(\lambda_k)M(a_{n_k}(\lambda_k)) - n_k a_{n_k}(1)M(a_{n_k}(1))| &\leq a_{n_k}(\lambda_k)n_k G(a_{n_k}(1)) \\ &\leq a_{n_k}(\lambda_k), \end{aligned}$$

while by (3.11)

$$n_k |M(a_{n_k}(\lambda_k))| = \frac{|M(a_{n_k}(\lambda_k))|}{\lambda_k Q(a_{n_k}(\lambda_k))} = \frac{|M(r_k)|}{\lambda_k Q(r_k)} \rightarrow \infty.$$

Consequently

$$\frac{n_k a_{n_k}(1) |M(a_{n_k}(1))|}{a_{n_k}(\lambda_k)} \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since  $a_{n_k}(1) \leq a_{n_k}(\lambda_k)$  it then follows that  $n_k |M(a_{n_k}(1))| \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus we conclude that (3.2) fails with  $\alpha_n = 0$  and  $\lambda = 1$ . Hence by Theorem 2, (3.9) fails.  $\square$

Theorem 1 follows immediately from Proposition 1 and Theorem 3. We conclude by giving an example showing that it is possible for (1.12) to hold, but for (1.14) to fail with  $\alpha_n = \text{median}(S_n)$ .

**Example 1** *Let  $X > 0$  have distribution given by*

$$G(r) = \frac{1}{\ln r}, \quad r \geq e. \quad (3.14)$$



Since  $G$  is slowly varying, it follows from Darling (1952) that

$$S_n/X_n^* \xrightarrow{P} 1 \text{ and } V_n/X_n^* \xrightarrow{P} 1. \quad (3.15)$$

Consequently  $S_n/V_n^* \xrightarrow{P} 1$  and in particular (1.12) holds. Set

$$b_n(\lambda) = e^{\lambda n}. \quad (3.16)$$

Observe that for any  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > 0$

$$\frac{b_n(\lambda_1)}{b_n(\lambda_2)} \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.17)$$

Now fix  $\lambda_1 < (\ln 2)^{-1}$ . Then

$$P(S_n > b_n(\lambda_1)) \geq P(X_n^* > b_n(\lambda_1)) = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_1 n}\right)^n \rightarrow 1 - e^{-\lambda_1^{-1}} > \frac{1}{2}. \quad (3.18)$$

Hence the median  $m_n$  of  $S_n$  satisfies

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_n}{b_n(\lambda_1)} \geq 1. \quad (3.19)$$

Now fix  $\lambda_2 \in (0, \lambda_1)$ . Then for any  $\lambda_3 \in (0, \lambda_2)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} P(V_n \leq b_n(\lambda_2)) &\geq P(V_n \leq b_n(\lambda_2), \frac{V_n}{X_n^*} \leq \frac{b_n(\lambda_2)}{b_n(\lambda_3)}) \\ &\geq P(X_n^* \leq b_n(\lambda_3)) - P\left(\frac{V_n}{X_n^*} > \frac{b_n(\lambda_2)}{b_n(\lambda_3)}\right) \\ &\rightarrow e^{-\lambda_3^{-1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

by (3.15) and (3.17). Thus by (3.17), (3.19) and (3.20),  $m_n/V_n$  is not tight. Since, as we have already observed, (1.12) holds, it then follows that (1.14) must fail when  $\alpha_n = m_n$ .

## References

- Bentkus, V., and Götze, F., (1994). The Berry-Esseen bound for student's statistic. *Ann. Probab.* 24,491–503.
- Chistyakov, G.P., and Götze, F., (2001). Limit distributions of studentized means. (Preprint)
- Darling, D.A., (1952). The influence of the maximum term in the addition of independent random variables. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 73, 95–107.
- Durrett, R., (1996). *Probability: Theory and Examples*, Second Edition, (Duxbury Press).
- Giné, E., Götze, F., and Mason, D.M., (1997). When is the student t-statistic asymptotically normal? *Ann. Probab.* 25, 1514–1531.
- Giné, E. and Mason, D.M., (1998). On the LIL for self-normalized sums of IID random variables. *J. Theor. Prob.* 11, 351–370.

Griffin, P.S., and Kuelbs, J., (1991). Some extensions of the LIL via self-normalizations. *Ann. Probab.* 19, 380–395.

Logan, B.F., Mallows, C.L., Rice, S.O., and Shepp, L.A., (1973). Limit distributions of self-normalized sums. *Ann. Probab.* 1, 788–809.

Shao, Qi-Man., (1997). Self-normalized large deviations. *Ann. Probab.* 25, 285–328.