

ON THE QUADRATIC WIENER FUNCTIONAL ASSOCIATED WITH THE MALLIAVIN DERIVATIVE OF THE SQUARE NORM OF BROWNIAN SAMPLE PATH ON INTERVAL

SETSUO TANIGUCHI¹

Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 812-8581, Japan
email: taniguch@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

Submitted 17 April 2005, accepted in final form 11 January 2006

AMS 2000 Subject classification: 60H07, 60E10, 60E05

Keywords: stochastic oscillatory integral, Brownian sample path, density function

Abstract

Exact expressions of the stochastic oscillatory integrals with phase function $\int_0^T (\int_t^T w(s)ds)^2 dt$, $\{w(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ being the 1-dimensional Brownian motion, are given. As an application, the density function of the distribution of the half of the Wiener functional is given.

1 Introduction and statement of result

The study of quadratic Wiener functionals, i.e., elements in the space of Wiener chaos of order 2, goes back to Cameron-Martin [1, 2] and Lévy [8]. While a stochastic oscillatory integral with quadratic Wiener functional as phase function has a general representation via Carleman-Fredholm determinant ([3, 6, 10]), in our knowledge, a few examples, where the integrals are represented with more concrete functions like the ones used by Cameron-Martin and Lévy, are available. See [1, 2, 8, 6, 10] and references therein. In this paper, we study a new quadratic Wiener functional which admits a concrete expression of stochastic oscillatory integral, and apply the expression to compute the density function of the Wiener functional.

Let $T > 0$, \mathcal{W} be the space of all \mathbf{R} -valued continuous functions w on $[0, T]$ with $w(0) = 0$, and P be the Wiener measure on \mathcal{W} . The Wiener functional investigated in this paper is

$$q(w) = \int_0^T \left(\int_t^T w(s)ds \right)^2 dt, \quad w \in \mathcal{W}.$$

The functional q interests us because it is a key ingredient in the study of asymptotic theory on \mathcal{W} . Namely, recall the Wiener functional

$$q_0(w) = \int_0^T w(t)^2 dt, \quad w \in \mathcal{W},$$

¹RESEARCH SUPPORTED IN PART BY GRANT-IN-AID FOR SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH (A) 14204010, JSPS

which was studied first by Cameron-Martin [1, 2, 8]. As is well-known ([15]), the stochastic oscillatory integral

$$\int_{\mathcal{W}} \exp(\zeta q_0/2) \delta_y(w(T)) dP,$$

where $\delta_y(w(T))$ is Watanabe's pull back of the Dirac measure δ_y concentrated at $y \in \mathbf{R}$ via $w(T)$, relates to the fundamental solution to the heat equation associated with the Schrödinger operator $(1/2)\{(d/dx)^2 + \zeta x^2\}$, which describes the quantum mechanics of harmonic oscillator. If we denote by \mathcal{H} the Cameron-Martin subspace of \mathcal{W} (\equiv the subspace of all absolutely continuous $h \in \mathcal{W}$ with square integrable derivative \dot{h}) and set $\langle h, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_0^T \dot{h}(t) \dot{g}(t) dt$ and $\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \langle h, h \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ for $h, g \in \mathcal{H}$, then it is straightforward to see that

$$q = \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla q_0\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2,$$

where ∇ denotes the Malliavin gradient. Thus q determines the stationary points of q_0 . It should be noted that, in the context of the Malliavin calculus, the set of stationary points of q_0 , i.e. the set $\{\nabla q_0 = 0\} = \{q = 0\}$ is determined uniquely up to equivalence of quasi-surely exceptional sets. On account of the stationary phase method on finite dimensional spaces (cf.[4]), q would play an important role in the study of asymptotic behavior of the stochastic oscillatory integral $\int_{\mathcal{W}} \exp(\zeta q_0) \psi dP$ with amplitude function ψ (cf. [9, 11, 12], in particular [13, 14]).

The aim of this paper is to show

Theorem 1. (i) For sufficiently small $\lambda > 0$, the following identities hold.

$$\int_{\mathcal{W}} \exp(\lambda q/2) dP = \left\{ \frac{1}{\cosh(\lambda^{1/4}T) \cos(\lambda^{1/4}T)} \right\}^{1/2}, \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{W}} \exp(\lambda q/2) \delta_0(w(T)) dP \\ &= \frac{\lambda^{1/8}}{\sqrt{\pi} \{ \sin(\lambda^{1/4}T) \cosh(\lambda^{1/4}T) + \sinh(\lambda^{1/4}T) \cos(\lambda^{1/4}T) \}^{1/2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

(ii) Define $\theta(u; x)$ and $p_T(x)$ for $u \in [0, \pi/2]$ and $x \geq 0$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(u; x) &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{\{u + (2k+1)\pi\}^3 e^{-x\{u+(2k+1)\pi\}^4/T^4}}{\sqrt{\cosh(u + (2k+1)\pi)}}, \\ p_T(x) &= \frac{4}{\pi T^4} \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{\theta(u; x)}{\sqrt{\cos u}} du. \end{aligned}$$

Then p_T is the density function of the distribution of $q/2$ on \mathbf{R} ;

$$P(q/2 \in dx) = p_T(x) \chi_{[0, \infty)}(x) dx, \quad (3)$$

where $\chi_{[0, \infty)}$ denotes the indicator function of $[0, \infty)$.

The assertion (i) of Theorem 1 will be shown in Section 2 and (ii) will be proved in Section 3.

2 Proof of Theorem 1 (i)

In this section, we shall show the identities (1) and (2). The proof is broken into several steps, each being a lemma. We first show

Lemma 1. *Define the Hilbert-Schmidt operator $A : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ by*

$$Ah(t) = \int_0^t ds \int_s^T du \int_0^u dv \int_v^T da h(a), \quad h \in \mathcal{H}, t \in [0, T].$$

Then it holds that

$$q = Q_A + \frac{T^4}{6}, \quad (4)$$

where $Q_A = (\nabla^*)^2 A$, ∇^* being the adjoint operator of the Malliavin gradient ∇ . Moreover, A is of trace class and $\text{tr } A = T^4/6$. In particular, $q = Q_A + \text{tr } A$.

Proof. Due to the integration by parts on $[0, T]$, it is easily seen that

$$\langle \nabla^2 q, h \otimes k \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\otimes 2}} = 2 \int_0^T \left(\int_t^T h(s) ds \right) \left(\int_t^T k(s) ds \right) dt = 2 \langle Ah, k \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \quad (5)$$

for $h, k \in \mathcal{H}$, where $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes 2}$ denotes the Hilbert space of all Hilbert-Schmidt operators on \mathcal{H} , and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\otimes 2}}$ does its inner product. Hence

$$\nabla^2 q = 2A. \quad (6)$$

Let \mathfrak{C}_2 be the space of Wiener chaos of order 2. Since

$$w(s)w(u) - s = w(s)^2 - s + w(s)\{w(u) - w(s)\} \in \mathfrak{C}_2 \quad \text{for } u \geq s,$$

we have that

$$q - \frac{T^4}{6} = 2 \int_0^T \int_t^T \int_s^T (w(s)w(u) - s) dudsdt \in \mathfrak{C}_2.$$

From this and (6), we can conclude the identity (4).

Let $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H} , and define $k_t \in \mathcal{H}$, $t \in [0, T]$, by

$$k_t(s) = \int_0^s (T - \max\{t, u\}) du, \quad s \in [0, T].$$

Since $\int_t^T h_n(s) ds = \langle k_t, h_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$, due to (5), we obtain that

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \langle Ah_n, h_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_0^T \sum_{n=1}^\infty \langle k_t, h_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}^2 dt = \int_0^T \|k_t\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 dt = \frac{T^4}{6}.$$

Thus A is of trace class and $\text{tr } A = T^4/6$. □

We next recall the following assertion achieved in [5, 7].

Lemma 2. Let $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert-Schmidt operator admitting a decomposition $U = U_V + U_F$ with a Volterra operator $U_V : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ and a bounded operator $U_F : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ possessing the finite-dimensional range $R(U_F)$.

(i) For sufficiently small $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, it holds that

$$\int_{\mathcal{W}} \exp(\lambda Q_U/2) dP = \{ \det(I - \lambda U_F (I - \lambda U_V)^{-1}) \}^{-1/2} e^{-(\lambda/2) \text{tr} U_F}. \quad (7)$$

(ii) Let E be a subspace of $R(U_F)$ and $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_d\}$ be a basis of E . Define the Wiener functional $\eta : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^d$ by $\eta = (\nabla^* \eta_1, \dots, \nabla^* \eta_d)$. Then, for sufficiently small $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{W}} \exp(\lambda Q_U/2) \delta_0(\eta) dP \\ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^d \det C(\eta)}} \{ \det(I - \lambda U_1^\natural (I - \lambda U_V)^{-1}) \}^{-1/2} e^{-(\lambda/2) \text{tr} U_F}, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where $U_1^\natural = -\pi_E U_V + (I - \pi_E) U_F$, $\pi_E : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ being the orthogonal projection onto E , and $C(\eta) = ((\eta_i, \eta_j)_\mathcal{H})_{1 \leq i, j \leq d}$.

Proof. The essential part of the proof can be found in [5, 7]. For the completeness, we give the proof.

Due to the splitting property of the Wiener measure, it holds that

$$\int_{\mathcal{W}} \exp(\lambda Q_U/2) dP = \{ \det_2(I - \lambda U) \}^{-1/2},$$

where \det_2 denotes the Carleman-Fredholm determinant. For example, see [3, 7]. Observe that, for Hilbert-Schmidt operators $C, D : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that C is of trace class, it holds that

$$\det_2(I + C)(I + D) = \det(I + C) \det_2(I + D) e^{-\text{tr} C(I+D)}. \quad (9)$$

Since $\det_2(I - \lambda U_V) = 1$, substituting $C = -\lambda U_F (I - \lambda U_V)^{-1}$ and $D = -\lambda U_V$ into (9), we obtain that

$$\det_2(I - \lambda U) = \det(I - \lambda U_F (I - \lambda U_V)^{-1}) e^{\lambda \text{tr} U_F}.$$

Thus (7) has been shown.

Put $U_0 = (I - \pi_E)U(I - \pi_E)$ and $U_1 = \pi_E U \pi_E$. Then it holds ([7, 12]) that

$$\int_{\mathcal{W}} \exp(\lambda Q_U/2) \delta_0(\eta) dP = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^d \det C(\eta)}} \{ \det_2(I - \lambda U_0) \}^{-1/2} e^{-(\lambda/2) \text{tr} U_1}.$$

Setting $U^\natural = (I - \pi_E)U$, and substituting $C = -\lambda U_1^\natural (I - \lambda U_V)^{-1}$ and $D = -\lambda U_V$ into (9), we see that

$$\det_2(I - \lambda U_0) = \det_2(I - \lambda U^\natural) = \det(I - \lambda U_1^\natural (I - \lambda U_V)^{-1}) e^{\lambda \text{tr} U_1^\natural}.$$

Since $\text{tr} U_1^\natural + \text{tr} U_1 = \text{tr} U_F$, we obtain (8). \square

It is not known if, by just watching specific shape of quadratic Wiener functional, one can tell that the associated Hilbert-Schmidt operator admits a decomposition as a sum of a Volterra operator and a bounded operator with finite dimensional range. However, in our situation, we know a priori that the operator A admits such a decomposition. Namely, the Hilbert-Schmidt operator B associated with q_0 admits such a decomposition ([7]). Being equal to the square of B (see Remark 1 below), so does A . The following lemma gives the concrete expression of the decomposition of A .

Lemma 3. Define $\mathcal{I}, A_V, A_F : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ by

$$\mathcal{I}h(t) = \int_0^t h(s)ds, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

$$A_V h = \mathcal{I}^4 h, \quad A_F h = \left\{ \frac{T^2}{2} \mathcal{I}h(T) - \mathcal{I}^3 h(T) \right\} \eta_1 - \frac{1}{6} \mathcal{I}h(T) \eta_2, \quad h \in \mathcal{H},$$

where $\eta_j(t) = t^{2j-1}$, $t \in [0, T]$, $j = 1, 2$. Then (i) $A = A_V + A_F$, (ii) A_V is a Volterra operator, (iii) $R(A_F) = \{a\eta_1 + b\eta_2 \mid a, b \in \mathbf{R}\}$, (iv) $\text{tr } A_F = \text{tr } A$, and (v) for $\lambda > 0$, it holds that

$$(I - \lambda A_V)^{-1} h(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \dot{h}(s) \{ \cosh(\lambda^{1/4}(t-s)) + \cos(\lambda^{1/4}(t-s)) \} ds, \quad h \in \mathcal{H}, t \in [0, T]. \quad (10)$$

Proof. The assertions (i) and (ii) follow from the very definitions of A and A_V . The assertion (iv) is an immediate consequence of these and Lemma 1. By the definition of A_F , the inclusion $R(A_F) \subset \{a\eta_1 + b\eta_2 \mid a, b \in \mathbf{R}\}$ is obvious. To see the converse inclusion, it suffices to notice that $A_F \eta_1 = (5T^4/24)\eta_1 - (T^2/12)\eta_2$ and $A_F \eta_2 = (7T^6/60)\eta_1 - (T^4/24)\eta_2$. Thus (iii) has been verified.

To see (v), let $(I - \lambda A_V)g = h$ and $f = \mathcal{I}^4 g$. It then holds that $f^{(4)} - \lambda f = h$, where $f^{(n)} = (d/dt)^n f$. This leads us to the ordinary differential equation;

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ f^{(1)} \\ f^{(2)} \\ f^{(3)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ f^{(1)} \\ f^{(2)} \\ f^{(3)} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ h \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} f(0) \\ f^{(1)}(0) \\ f^{(2)}(0) \\ f^{(3)}(0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is then easily seen that

$$f^{(3)}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t h(s) \{ \cosh(\lambda^{1/4}(t-s)) + \cos(\lambda^{1/4}(t-s)) \} ds.$$

Since $g = f^{(4)}$, this implies the identity (10). \square

Lemma 4. The identity (1) holds.

Proof. Let $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathcal{H}$ be as described in Lemma 3, and put $f_j = (I - \lambda A_V)^{-1} \eta_j$, $j = 1, 2$. By virtue of Lemma 3, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}f_1(t) &= \frac{\lambda^{-1/2}}{2} \{ \cosh(\lambda^{1/4}t) - \cos(\lambda^{1/4}t) \}, \\ \mathcal{I}^3 f_1(t) &= \frac{\lambda^{-1}}{2} \{ \cosh(\lambda^{1/4}t) + \cos(\lambda^{1/4}t) - 2 \}, \\ \mathcal{I}f_2(t) &= 3\lambda^{-1} \{ \cosh(\lambda^{1/4}t) + \cos(\lambda^{1/4}t) - 2 \}, \\ \mathcal{I}^3 f_2(t) &= 3\lambda^{-3/2} \{ \cosh(\lambda^{1/4}t) - \cos(\lambda^{1/4}t) \} - 3\lambda^{-1}t^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if we set $\alpha_\lambda = \cosh(\lambda^{1/4}T)$ and $\beta_\lambda = \cos(\lambda^{1/4}T)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & (I - \lambda A_F(I - \lambda A_V)^{-1})\eta_1 \\ &= \left\{ -\frac{T^2\lambda^{1/2}}{4}(\alpha_\lambda - \beta_\lambda) + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_\lambda + \beta_\lambda) \right\} \eta_1 + \frac{\lambda^{1/2}}{12}(\alpha_\lambda - \beta_\lambda)\eta_2, \\ & (I - \lambda A_F(I - \lambda A_V)^{-1})\eta_2 \\ &= \left\{ -\frac{3T^2}{2}(\alpha_\lambda + \beta_\lambda) + 3\lambda^{-1/2}(\alpha_\lambda - \beta_\lambda) \right\} \eta_1 + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_\lambda + \beta_\lambda)\eta_2, \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by virtue of (iii), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \det(I - \lambda A_F(I - \lambda A_V)^{-1}) \\ &= \det \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{T^2\lambda^{1/2}}{4}(\alpha_\lambda - \beta_\lambda) + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_\lambda + \beta_\lambda) & \frac{\lambda^{1/2}}{12}(\alpha_\lambda - \beta_\lambda) \\ -\frac{3T^2}{2}(\alpha_\lambda + \beta_\lambda) + 3\lambda^{-1/2}(\alpha_\lambda - \beta_\lambda) & \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_\lambda + \beta_\lambda) \end{pmatrix} = \alpha_\lambda\beta_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

This implies the identity (1), because Lemmas 1, 2, and 3 yield that

$$\int_{\mathcal{W}} \exp(\lambda q/2) dP = \{\det(I - \lambda A_F(I - \lambda A_V)^{-1})\}^{-1/2}.$$

□

Lemma 5. *The identity (2) holds.*

Proof. Let η_j , $j = 1, 2$, be as in Lemma 3 (iii), and $E = \{c\eta_1 \mid c \in \mathbf{R}\}$. Define A_1^\natural as described in Lemma 2 with $U = A$, $U_V = A_V$, and $U_F = A_F$. Since $\pi_E h = (h(T)/T)\eta_1$ for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$, we have that

$$A_1^\natural h = \left\{ -\frac{1}{T}\mathcal{I}^4 h(T) + \frac{T^2}{6}\mathcal{I}h(T) \right\} \eta_1 - \frac{1}{6}\mathcal{I}h(T)\eta_2.$$

Let f_1, f_2 be as in the proof of Lemma 4. Then we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}^4 f_1(t) &= \frac{\lambda^{-5/4}}{2} \{ \sinh(\lambda^{1/4}t) + \sin(\lambda^{1/4}t) \} - \lambda^{-1}t, \\ \mathcal{I}^4 f_2(t) &= 3\lambda^{-7/4} \{ \sinh(\lambda^{1/4}t) - \sin(\lambda^{1/4}t) \} - \lambda^{-1}t^3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if we put $\sigma_\lambda = \sinh(\lambda^{1/4}T)$ and $\tau_\lambda = \sin(\lambda^{1/4}T)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & (I - \lambda A_1^\natural(I - \lambda A_V)^{-1})\eta_1 \\ &= \left\{ \frac{\lambda^{-1/4}}{2T}(\sigma_\lambda + \tau_\lambda) - \frac{T^2\lambda^{1/2}}{12}(\alpha_\lambda - \beta_\lambda) \right\} \eta_1 + \frac{\lambda^{1/2}}{12}(\alpha_\lambda - \beta_\lambda)\eta_2, \\ & (I - \lambda A_1^\natural(I - \lambda A_V)^{-1})\eta_2 \\ &= \left\{ \frac{\lambda^{-3/4}}{T}(\sigma_\lambda - \tau_\lambda) - \frac{T^2}{2}(\alpha_\lambda + \beta_\lambda) \right\} \eta_1 + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_\lambda + \beta_\lambda)\eta_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $R(A_1^\natural) \subset R(A_F)$, by Lemma 3 (ii), this yields that

$$\begin{aligned} & \det(I - \lambda A_1^\natural(I - \lambda A_V)^{-1}) \\ &= \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\lambda^{-1/4}}{2T}(\sigma_\lambda + \tau_\lambda) - \frac{T^2\lambda^{1/2}}{12}(\alpha_\lambda - \beta_\lambda) & \frac{\lambda^{1/2}}{12}(\alpha_\lambda - \beta_\lambda) \\ \frac{\lambda^{-3/4}}{T}(\sigma_\lambda - \tau_\lambda) - \frac{T^2}{2}(\alpha_\lambda + \beta_\lambda) & \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_\lambda + \beta_\lambda) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{\lambda^{-1/4}}{2T} \{ \sigma_\lambda\beta_\lambda + \tau_\lambda\alpha_\lambda \}. \end{aligned}$$

The identity (2) follows from this, because Lemmas 1, 2, and 3 imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{W}} \exp(\lambda q/2) \delta_0(w(T)) dP &= \int_{\mathcal{W}} \exp(\lambda Q_A/2) \delta_0(\nabla^* \eta_1) dP e^{(\lambda/2)\text{tr}A} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} \{\det(I - \lambda A_1^{\sharp}(I - \lambda A_V)^{-1})\}^{-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 1. It may be interesting to see that (1) is also shown by using the infinite product expression. Namely, define $B : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ by

$$Bh(t) = \int_0^t \int_s^T h(u) du ds, \quad h \in \mathcal{H}, t \in [0, T].$$

Then there exists an orthonormal basis $\{h_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of \mathcal{H} so that

$$B = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{T}{(n + \frac{1}{2})\pi} \right)^2 h_n \otimes h_n.$$

See [10]. Since $A = B^2$, it holds that

$$A = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{T}{(n + \frac{1}{2})\pi} \right)^4 h_n \otimes h_n. \quad (11)$$

In conjunction with Lemma 1, this implies that

$$q = Q_A + \text{tr} A = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{T}{(n + \frac{1}{2})\pi} \right)^4 (\nabla^* h_n)^2.$$

Due to the splitting property of the Wiener measure, we then obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{W}} \exp(\lambda q/2) dP &= \left(\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ 1 - \lambda \left(\frac{T}{(n + \frac{1}{2})\pi} \right)^4 \right\} \right)^{-1/2} \\ &= \left(\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ 1 + \lambda^{1/2} \left(\frac{T}{(n + \frac{1}{2})\pi} \right)^2 \right\} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ 1 - \lambda^{1/2} \left(\frac{T}{(n + \frac{1}{2})\pi} \right)^2 \right\} \right)^{-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Due to the infinite product expressions of $\cosh x$ and $\cos x$, this implies (1).

3 Proof of Theorem 1 (ii)

In this section, we shall show Theorem 1 (ii).

We first describe how we realize $\{\cosh z \cos z\}^{1/2}$ for complex number z . Represent $z \in \mathbf{C}$ as $z = re^{i\theta}$ with $r \geq 0$ and $-\frac{1}{2}\pi \leq \theta < \frac{3}{2}\pi$ to define $\sqrt{z} = r^{1/2}e^{i\theta/2}$, where $i^2 = -1$. The

Riemann surface of the 2-valued function $z^{1/2}$ is realized by switching \sqrt{z} and $-\sqrt{z}$ on the half line consisting of $i\xi$, $\xi < 0$. Set

$$G(z) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\cos z}, & \text{if } a) |\operatorname{Re} z| < \frac{\pi}{2}, \text{ or} \\ & b) \operatorname{Im} z > 0, -\frac{3\pi}{2} + 4k\pi \leq \operatorname{Re} z < \frac{\pi}{2} + 4k\pi (k \in \mathbf{Z}), \text{ or} \\ & c) \operatorname{Im} z < 0, -\frac{\pi}{2} + 4k\pi \leq \operatorname{Re} z < \frac{3\pi}{2} + 4k\pi (k \in \mathbf{Z}), \\ -\sqrt{\cos z}, & \text{if } a) \operatorname{Im} z > 0, \frac{\pi}{2} + 4k\pi \leq \operatorname{Re} z < \frac{5\pi}{2} + 4k\pi (k \in \mathbf{Z}), \text{ or} \\ & b) \operatorname{Im} z < 0, \frac{3\pi}{2} + 4k\pi \leq \operatorname{Re} z < \frac{7\pi}{2} + 4k\pi (k \in \mathbf{Z}). \end{cases}$$

Then G is holomorphic on $\mathbf{C} \setminus \{\xi \mid \xi \in \mathbf{R}, |\xi| \geq \pi/2\}$, and realizes $\{\cos z\}^{1/2}$. Hence $G(z)G(iz)$ is holomorphic on $D_0 \equiv \mathbf{C} \setminus \{\xi, i\xi \mid \xi \in \mathbf{R}, |\xi| \geq \pi/2\}$ and does not vanish in D_0 . Recalling that $\cosh z = \cos(iz)$, we write $\{\cosh z \cos z\}^{1/2}$ for $G(z)G(iz)$.

We next extend the identity (1) holomorphically. Since there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\exp(\delta q/2)$ is integrable with respect to P and $q \geq 0$, the mapping

$$\{z \in \mathbf{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} z < \delta\} \ni z \mapsto \int_{\mathcal{W}} \exp(zq/2) dP$$

is holomorphic. $\{\cosh(zT) \cos(zT)\}^{-1/2}$ being holomorphic in D_0 , we can find a domain $D \subset \mathbf{C}$ such that

$$D \supset \left\{ r e^{i\theta} \mid r \geq 0, \theta \in \bigcup_{k=0}^3 \left[\frac{\pi}{8} + \frac{k\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{8} + \frac{k\pi}{2} \right] \right\}, \quad \text{and} \\ \int_{\mathcal{W}} \exp(z^4 q/2) dP = \frac{1}{\{\cosh(zT) \cos(zT)\}^{1/2}} \quad \text{for every } z \in D. \quad (12)$$

By (11) and Lemma 1, as an easy application of the Malliavin calculus, we see that the distribution of $q/2$ on \mathbf{R} admits a smooth density function $p_T(x)$ ([14, Lemma 3.1]). Since $q \geq 0$, $p_T(x) = 0$ for $x \leq 0$. Hence, in what follows, we always assume that $x > 0$. By the inverse Fourier transformation, we have that

$$p_T(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{R}} e^{-ixt} I(t) dt, \quad \text{where } I(t) = \int_{\mathcal{W}} \exp(itq/2) dP. \quad (13)$$

For $R > 0$, let $\Gamma_+(R)$ (resp. $\Gamma_-(R)$) be the directed line segment in \mathbf{C} starting at the origin and ending at $Re^{i\pi/8}$ (resp. $Re^{-i\pi/8}$). Then, parameterizing $\Gamma_{\pm}(R)$ by $t^{1/4} e^{\pm i\pi/8}$, $t \in [0, R^4]$, we have that

$$\int_{\Gamma_{\pm}(R)} f(z^4) z^3 dz = \pm \frac{i}{4} \int_0^{R^4} f(\pm it) dt$$

for any piecewise continuous function f on $i\mathbf{R}$, where and in the sequel, the symbol \pm takes + or - simultaneously. Plugging this into (13), and then substituting (12), we obtain that

$$2\pi p_T(x) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ 4i \int_{\Gamma_-(R)} \frac{z^3 e^{-xz^4}}{\{\cosh(zT) \cos(zT)\}^{1/2}} dz - 4i \int_{\Gamma_+(R)} \frac{z^3 e^{-xz^4}}{\{\cosh(zT) \cos(zT)\}^{1/2}} dz \right\}. \quad (14)$$

Thanks to the estimation that

$$|\cosh(u + iv) \cos(u + iv)|^2 \geq \sinh^2 u \max\{\cos^2 u, \sinh^2 v\},$$

it is a routine exercise of complex analysis to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma_{\pm}(R)} \frac{z^3 e^{-xz^4}}{\{\cosh(zT) \cos(zT)\}^{1/2}} dz \\ = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{u^3 e^{-xu^4}}{\lim_{h \downarrow 0} \{\cosh(uT \pm ih) \cos(uT \pm ih)\}^{1/2}} du. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Moreover, by the definition of $\{\cosh z \cos z\}^{1/2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \{\cosh(uT \pm ih) \cos(uT \pm ih)\}^{1/2} \\ = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\cosh(uT) \cos(uT)}, & \text{if } -\pi - (\pm \frac{\pi}{2}) + 4k\pi \leq uT < \pi - (\pm \frac{\pi}{2}) + 4k\pi, \\ -\sqrt{\cosh(uT) \cos(uT)}, & \text{if } \pi - (\pm \frac{\pi}{2}) + 4k\pi \leq uT < 3\pi - (\pm \frac{\pi}{2}) + 4k\pi, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Substitute this and (15) into (14) to see that

$$2\pi p_T(x) = 8i \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{\{(\pi/2)+2k\pi\}/T}^{\{(3\pi/2)+2k\pi\}/T} \frac{(-1)^k u^3 e^{-xu^4}}{\sqrt{\cosh(uT) \cos(uT)}} du.$$

This implies Theorem 1 (ii), because

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\{(\pi/2)+2k\pi\}/T}^{\{(3\pi/2)+2k\pi\}/T} \frac{u^3 e^{-xu^4}}{\sqrt{\cosh(uT) \cos(uT)}} du \\ &= \frac{1}{iT^4} \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{\{v + (2k+1)\pi\}^3 e^{-x\{v+(2k+1)\pi\}^4/T^4}}{\sqrt{\cosh\{v + (2k+1)\pi\} \cos v}} dv \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{iT^4} \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{\{v - (2k+1)\pi\}^3 e^{-x\{v-(2k+1)\pi\}^4/T^4}}{\sqrt{\cosh\{v - (2k+1)\pi\} \cos v}} dv. \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] R. H. Cameron and W. T. Martin, The Wiener measure of Hilbert neighborhoods in the space of real continuous functions, *Jour. Math. Phys. Massachusetts Inst. Technology*, **23** (1944), 195 – 209.
- [2] R. H. Cameron and W. T. Martin, Transformations of Wiener integrals under a general class of linear transformations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **58** (1945), 184–219.
- [3] T. Hida, Quadratic functionals of Brownian motion, *Jour. Multivar. Anal.* **1** (1971), 58–69.
- [4] L. Hörmander, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators I*, 2nd ed., Springer, Berlin, 1990.
- [5] N. Ikeda, S. Kusuoka, and S. Manabe, Lévy's stochastic area formula for Gaussian processes, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **47** (1994), 329–360.

-
- [6] N. Ikeda and S. Manabe, Asymptotic formulae for stochastic oscillatory integrals, in “Asymptotic Problems in Probability Theory: Wiener Functionals and Asymptotics”, 136–155, Ed. by K.D.Elworthy and N.Ikeda, Longman, 1993.
 - [7] N. Ikeda and S. Manabe, Van Vleck-Pauli formula for Wiener integrals and Jacobi fields, in “Itô’s stochastic calculus and probability theory”, Ed. by N. Ikeda, S. Watanabe, M. Fukushima, and H. Kunita, pp.141–156, Springer-Verlag, 1996.
 - [8] P. Lévy, Wiener’s random function, and other Laplacian random functions, in “Proc. Second Berkeley Symp. Math. Stat. Prob. II”, pp.171–186, U.C. Press, Berkeley, 1950.
 - [9] Malliavin, P. and Taniguchi, S., Analytic functions, Cauchy formula and stationary phase on a real abstract Wiener space, *J. Funct. Anal.* **143** (1997), 470–528.
 - [10] H. Matsumoto and S. Taniguchi, Wiener functionals of second order and their Lévy measures, *Elec. Jour. Prob.* **7**, No. 7, 1–30.
 - [11] H. Sugita and S. Taniguchi, Oscillatory integrals with quadratic phase function on a real abstract Wiener space, *J. Funct. Anal.* **155** (1998), 229–262.
 - [12] H. Sugita and S. Taniguchi, A remark on stochastic oscillatory integrals with respect to a pinned Wiener measure, *Kyushu J. Math.* **53** (1999), 151 – 162.
 - [13] S. Taniguchi, Lévy’s stochastic area and the principle of stationary phase, *J. Funct. Anal.* **172** (2000), 165–176.
 - [14] S. Taniguchi, Stochastic oscillatory integrals: Asymptotics and exact expressions for quadratic phase function , in ”Stochastic analysis and mathematical physics (SAMP/ANESTOC 2002)”, ed. R. Rebolledo, J. Resende, and J.-C. Zambrini, pp.165-181, World Scientific, 2004.
 - [15] S. Watanabe, Analysis of Wiener functionals (Malliavin calculus) and its applications to heat kernels, *Ann. Prob.* **15** (1987), 1–39.