



## ACTIONS OF GROUPS ON LATTICES

### Abstract

The aim of this paper is to study the actions of the groups on lattices and to give some connections between the structure of a group and the structure of its subgroup lattice. Moreover, we shall introduce the concept of direct  $\vee$ -sum of  $G$ -sublattices and we shall present a generalization of a result about finite nilpotent groups.

### 1 Preliminaries

Let  $(G, \cdot, e)$  be a monoid and  $L$  be a  $G$ -set (relative to an action  $\rho$  of  $G$  on  $L$ ; for  $(g, \ell) \in G \times L$ , we denote by  $g \circ \ell$  the element  $\rho(g)(\ell) \in L$ ). If  $L$  is a poset (relative to a partial ordering relation " $\leq$ ") and, for  $\ell, \ell' \in L$ ,  $\ell \leq \ell'$  implies  $g \circ \ell \leq g \circ \ell'$ , for any  $g \in G$ , then  $L$  is called a  $G$ -poset. Moreover, if  $(L, \leq)$  is a lattice and, for  $\ell, \ell' \in L$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} g \circ (\ell \wedge \ell') &= (g \circ \ell) \wedge (g \circ \ell'), \\ g \circ (\ell \vee \ell') &= (g \circ \ell) \vee (g \circ \ell'), \end{aligned}$$

for any  $g \in G$ , then  $L$  is called a  $G$ -lattice.

A  $G$ -sublattice of a  $G$ -lattice  $L$  is a sublattice  $L'$  of  $L$  satisfying the property:

$$G \circ L' = \{g \circ \ell' \mid g \in G, \ell' \in L'\} \subseteq L'.$$

Let  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  be two  $G$ -posets (respectively two  $G$ -lattices). A monotone map (respectively a lattice homomorphism)  $f : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$  is called a  $G$ -poset homomorphism (respectively a  $G$ -lattice homomorphism) if  $f(g \circ \ell_1) = g \circ f(\ell_1)$ , for any  $(g, \ell_1) \in G \times L_1$ . Moreover, if  $f$  is one-to-one and onto, then it is called a  $G$ -poset isomorphism (respectively a  $G$ -lattice isomorphism).

A  $G$ -congruence on a  $G$ -lattice  $L$  is a congruence relation " $\sim$ " on  $L$  which has the property that  $\ell \sim \ell'$  ( $\ell, \ell' \in L$ ) implies  $g \circ \ell \sim g \circ \ell'$ , for any  $g \in G$ .

Let  $L$  be a  $G$ -lattice and " $\sim$ " be a  $G$ -congruence on  $L$ . Then the quotient lattice  $L/\sim = \{[\ell] \mid \ell \in L\}$  of  $L$  modulo " $\sim$ " is a  $G$ -lattice, where  $g \circ [\ell] = [g \circ \ell]$ , for any  $(g, \ell) \in G \times L$ .

If  $f : L_1 \longrightarrow L_2$  is a  $G$ -lattice homomorphism, then the sublattice  $\text{Im } f = \{f(\ell_1) \mid \ell_1 \in L_1\}$  of  $L_2$  is a  $G$ -lattice and there exists a  $G$ -congruence " $\sim$ " on  $L_1$  such that the  $G$ -lattices  $L_1/\sim$  and  $\text{Im } f$  are isomorphic.

Let  $L$  be a lattice having the initial element  $0$ . On  $L$  is well defined the *height function*: for  $\ell \in L$ , let  $h_L(\ell)$  denote the length of a longest maximal chain in  $[0, \ell]$  if there is a finite longest maximal chain; otherwise put  $h_L(\ell) = \infty$ . If  $L$  is of finite length, then the following conditions are equivalent:

- i)  $L$  is modular.
- ii) The height function  $h_L$  on  $L$  satisfies the property:  
 $h_L(\ell) + h_L(\ell') = h_L(\ell \wedge \ell') + h_L(\ell \vee \ell')$ , for any  $\ell, \ell' \in L$ .

## 2 Main results

### 2.1 Finite $G$ -lattice

Let  $(G, \cdot, e)$  be a monoid.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $(L, \leq)$  be a complete lattice such that  $L$  is a  $G$ -poset. Then we have:*

$$G = \bigcup_{\ell \in L} \text{Stab}_G(\ell).$$

**Proof.** Let  $g \in G$  and  $L_g = \{\ell \in L \mid g \circ \ell \geq \ell\}$ . We have  $L_g \neq \emptyset$  ( $L_g$  contains the initial element of  $L$ ). Since  $L$  is complete, there exists  $\bar{\ell} = \vee L_g$ . We have  $\ell \leq g \circ \ell \leq g \circ \bar{\ell}$ , for any  $\ell \in L_g$ , therefore:

$$\bar{\ell} \leq g \circ \bar{\ell}. \tag{1}$$

Using the relation (1), we obtain that  $g \circ \bar{\ell} \leq g \circ (g \circ \bar{\ell})$ , thus  $g \circ \bar{\ell} \in L_g$ . Since  $\bar{\ell} = \vee L_g$ , it results:

$$g \circ \bar{\ell} \leq \bar{\ell}. \tag{2}$$

The relations (1) and (2) give us  $g \circ \bar{\ell} = \bar{\ell}$ , so that  $g \in \text{Stab}_G(\bar{\ell})$ . Thus  $G = \bigcup_{\ell \in L} \text{Stab}_G(\ell)$ .

### Corollary. (The Fixed-Point Theorem of complete lattice)

*Any monotone map of a complete lattice  $L$  into itself has a fixed point.*

**Proof.** The set  $G'$  of all monotone maps of  $L$  into itself is a monoid. Moreover,  $L$  is a  $G'$ -poset, where  $f \circ \ell = f(\ell)$ , for any  $(f, \ell) \in G' \times L$ . From

Proposition 1, we obtain  $G' = \bigcup_{\ell \in L} \text{Stab}_{G'}(\ell)$ , therefore, for any  $f \in G'$ , there exists  $\ell \in L$  such that  $f \in \text{Stab}_{G'}(\ell)$ , i.e.  $f(\ell) = f \circ \ell = \ell$ .

In the followings we suppose that  $(G, \cdot, e)$  is a group and we denote by  $L(G)$  (respectively by  $L_0(G)$ ) the lattice of subgroups of  $G$  (respectively the lattice of normal subgroups of  $G$ ).

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $L$  be a complete  $G$ -lattice such that  $\text{Stab}_G(\ell) = \{e\}$ , for any  $\ell \in L$ . Then the group  $G$  is abelian.*

**Proof.** Let  $g_1, g_2$  be two elements of  $G$  and  $f_{g_1, g_2} : L \rightarrow L$  be the map defined by  $f_{g_1, g_2} = [g_1, g_2] \circ \ell$ , for any  $\ell \in L$  (where  $[g_1, g_2]$  is the commutator of  $g_1$  and  $g_2$ ). We have  $f_{g_1, g_2}(\ell \wedge \ell') = [g_1, g_2] \circ (\ell \wedge \ell') = ([g_1, g_2] \circ \ell) \wedge ([g_1, g_2] \circ \ell') = f_{g_1, g_2}(\ell) \wedge f_{g_1, g_2}(\ell')$ , for any  $\ell, \ell' \in L$ , thus  $f_{g_1, g_2}$  is a monotone map. From the above corollary, we obtain that there exists  $\ell_0 \in L$  such that  $f_{g_1, g_2}(\ell_0) = \ell_0$ . It results  $[g_1, g_2] \in \text{Stab}_G(\ell_0)$ , i.e.  $[g_1, g_2] = e$ .

Since any ordered latticelike group  $G$  is a  $G$ -lattice, from Proposition 2 we obtain the following result:

**Corollary.** *Any ordered latticelike group complete as lattice is abelian.*

Let  $L$  be a finite  $G$ -lattice,  $0$  be the initial element of  $L$  and  $1$  be the final element of  $L$ .

**Remark.** If  $L = \{\ell_1 = 0, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_m = 1\}$  and  $H_i = \text{Stab}_G(\ell_i)$ ,  $i = \overline{1, m}$ , then from Proposition 1, we have  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^m H_i$ . Let  $I$  be a maximal subset of  $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  with the property:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} G = \bigcup_{i \in I} H_i \\ H_j \not\subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I \setminus \{j\}} H_i, \text{ for any } j \in I. \end{array} \right.$$

Then, for any  $g \in G$ , there exists  $n_g \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that  $g^{n_g} \in \bigcap_{i \in I} H_i$ . Since, for any  $\ell, \ell' \in L$ ,  $\text{Stab}_G(\ell) \cap \text{Stab}_G(\ell') \subseteq \text{Stab}_G(\ell \wedge \ell')$ , we obtain that there exists  $\ell_0 \in L$  such that every element of  $G$  has a natural power in  $\text{Stab}_G(\ell_0)$ .

We suppose that  $G$  is a finite group,  $\text{Stab}_G(0) = \text{Stab}_G(1) = G$  and let  $f_L : L \rightarrow L$  be the map defined by  $f_L(\ell) = \bigwedge_{g \in G} g \circ \ell$ , for any  $\ell \in L$ .

**Proposition 3.** *The map  $f_L$  is a  $G$ -poset homomorphism which has the following properties:*

- a)  $f_L(\ell) \leq \ell$ , for any  $\ell \in L$ .
- b)  $\text{Im } f_L = \text{Fix}_G(L)$ , where  $\text{Fix}_G(L) = \{\ell \in L \mid g \circ \ell = \ell, \text{ for any } g \in G\}$ .
- c)  $f_L^2 = f_L$ .

**Proof.** a) Since  $e \circ \ell = \ell$ , we obtain  $f_L(\ell) = \ell \wedge \left( \bigwedge_{g \in G \setminus \{e\}} g \circ \ell \right) \leq \ell$ , for any  $\ell \in L$ .

b) Let  $\ell' \in \text{Im } f_L$ . Then there exists  $\ell \in L$  such that  $\ell' = f_L(\ell)$ . For any  $g' \in G'$ , we have:

$$g' \circ \ell' = g' \circ f_L(\ell) = g' \circ \left( \bigwedge_{g \in G} g \circ \ell \right) = \bigwedge_{g \in G} g' \circ (g \circ \ell) = \bigwedge_{g \in G} (g'g) \circ \ell = f_L(\ell) = \ell',$$

therefore  $\ell' \in \text{Fix}_G(L)$ .

Conversely, let  $\ell' \in \text{Fix}_G(L)$ . Then  $g \circ \ell' = \ell'$ , for any  $g \in G$ . It results  $f_L(\ell') = \bigwedge_{g \in G} g \circ \ell' = \bigwedge_{g \in G} \ell' = \ell'$ , thus  $\ell' \in \text{Im } f_L$ .

c) We have  $f_L^2(\ell) = f_L(f_L(\ell)) = \bigwedge_{g \in G} g \circ f_L(\ell) = \bigwedge_{g \in G} f_L(\ell) = f_L(\ell)$ , for any  $\ell \in L$ . Thus  $f_L^2 = f_L$ .

Now, the fact that  $f_L$  is a  $G$ -poset homomorphism is obvious.

**Remark.** If  $L$  is a fully ordered  $G$ -lattice, then  $f_L$  is a  $G$ -lattice homomorphism. Moreover, the binary relation " $\sim$ " on  $L$  defined by  $\ell \sim \ell'$  if and only if  $f_L(\ell) = f_L(\ell')$  is a  $G$ -congruence. Therefore, we obtain the  $G$ -lattice isomorphism:

$$L/\sim \cong \text{Fix}_G(L).$$

Let  $n = |\text{Fix}_G(L)|$  and  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n$  be the equivalence classes modulo " $\sim$ ". If  $(\ell'_i)_{i=1, \overline{n}}$  is a set of representatives for the equivalence classes  $(C_i)_{i=1, \overline{n}}$  then  $C_i = \{\ell \in L \mid f_L(\ell) = f_L(\ell'_i)\} \neq \emptyset$ ,  $i = \overline{1, n}$ ,  $C_i \cap C_j = \emptyset$ , for  $i \neq j$  and  $L = \bigcup_{i=1}^n C_i$ . Moreover, for any  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , we have:

$$G \circ \ell'_i = \{g \circ \ell'_i \mid g \in G\} \subseteq C_i.$$

It results that:

$$|G \circ \ell'_i| = \frac{|G|}{|\text{Stab}_G(\ell'_i)|} \leq |C_i|, \quad i = \overline{1, n}.$$

This implies the following inequality:

$$(*) \quad |G| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{|\text{Stab}_G(\ell'_i)|} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |C_i| = |L|.$$

Let  $C_{i_1}, C_{i_2}, \dots, C_{i_r}$  be the classes having an unique element (i.e.  $c_{i_j} = \{\ell'_{i_j}\}$ ,  $j = \overline{1, r}$ , where  $r \leq n$ ,  $i_r = n$  and  $\ell'_n = 1$ ). Then, for each  $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r\}$ , we can suppose that  $\ell'_s \notin \text{Fix}_G(L)$ . We obtain  $|G \circ \ell'_s| \neq 1$ , therefore

$$\frac{|G|}{|\text{Stab}_G(\ell'_s)|} \geq p,$$

where  $p$  is the smallest prime divisor of  $|G|$ . Using the inequality (\*), it results that:

$$|L| \geq pm - (p-1)r.$$

Taking the particular case  $L = L(G)$ , it obtains the following results:

**Corollary 1.** *If  $G$  is a finite group and  $r$  is the number of equivalence classes modulo " $\sim$ " having a unique element, then:*

$$|L(G)| \geq p|L_0(G)| - (p-1)r,$$

where  $p$  is the smallest prime divisor of  $|G|$ .

**Corollary 2.** *If  $G$  is a nonabelian simple finite group, then:*

$$|L(G)| \geq p + 1,$$

where  $p$  is the smallest prime divisor of  $|G|$ .

**Remark.** Let  $\text{Min}(L)$  be the set of all minimal elements of  $L$  and  $\text{Ker } f_L = \{\ell \in L \mid f_L(\ell) = 0\}$ . Then the following relations hold:

$$(**) \quad \text{Min}(L) \subseteq \text{Ker } f_L \cup \text{Fix}_G(L).$$

Indeed, if  $\ell \in \text{Min}(L)$  and  $f_L(\ell) \neq 0$ , then, from the inequalities  $0 \leq f_L(\ell) \leq \ell$ , we obtain  $f_L(\ell) = \ell$ , i.e.  $\ell \in \text{Fix}_G(L)$ .

Let  $k$  be the length of the finite  $G$ -lattice  $L$ .

**Definition 1.** We say that  $L$  is *regular* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) All maximal chains of  $L$  have the same length.
- (ii) For any  $\ell \in L \setminus (\text{Ker } f_L \cup \{1\})$  with  $h_L(\ell) = p$ , the equivalence class modulo " $\sim$ " of  $\ell$  has at most  $k - p$  elements.

**Definition 2.** A family  $U = (u_i)_{i=\overline{1,k}}$  of elements of  $L$  is called a *k-independent minimal system* if it has the properties:

- (i)  $U \subseteq \text{Min}(L)$ ,  $U \cap \text{Fix}_G(L) \neq \emptyset$ .
- (ii) For any distinct numbers  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |\{u_{i_1} \vee u_j \mid j \neq i_1\}| &= k - 1, \\ |\{u_{i_1} \vee u_{i_2} \vee u_j \mid j \notin \{i_1, i_2\}\}| &= k - 2, \\ &\vdots \\ |\{u_{i_1} \vee u_{i_2} \vee \dots \vee u_{i_{k-2}} \vee u_j \mid j \notin \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-2}\}\}| &= 2. \end{aligned}$$

- (iii) For any distinct numbers  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  (where  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $p \leq k$ ), if  $\{u_{i_1}, u_{i_2}, \dots, u_{i_p}\} \cap \text{Fix}_G(L) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $h_L(u_{i_1} \vee u_{i_2} \vee \dots \vee u_{i_p}) = p$ .

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $L$  be a finite  $G$ -lattice of length  $k$ . If  $L$  is regular and it has a  $k$ -independent minimal system, then there exists a maximal chain of  $L$ :*

$$0 = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_k = 1,$$

with  $a_i \in \text{Fix}_G(L)$ , for any  $i = \overline{0, k}$ .

**Proof.** We prove the statement by induction on  $k$ . If  $k \leq 1$ , the statement is trivial. Let us assume the statement to hold for  $k - 1$  and let  $U = (u_i)_{i=\overline{1,k}}$  be a  $k$ -independent minimal system of  $L$ . Since  $U \cap \text{Fix}_G(L) \neq \emptyset$ , we can suppose that  $u_k \in \text{Fix}_G(L)$ . Let  $L' = [u_k, 1] = \{\ell \in L \mid u_k \leq \ell \leq 1\}$ .  $L'$  is a finite  $G$ -lattice of length  $k - 1$ . For any  $\ell \in L' \setminus (\text{Ker } f_{L'} \cup \{1\})$  with  $h_{L'}(\ell) = p$ , we have  $h_L(\ell) = p + 1$ , therefore the equivalence class modulo " $\sim$ " of  $\ell$  has at most  $k - 1 - p$  elements. It results that  $L'$  is regular.

Now we prove that  $V = (v_i)_{i=\overline{1, k-1}}$ , where  $v_i = u_i \vee u_k$  for any  $i = \overline{1, k-1}$ , is a  $(k - 1)$ -independent minimal system of  $L'$ .

Since  $u_k \in \text{Fix}_G(L)$ , we have  $h_L(v_i) = 2$ ,  $i = \overline{1, k-1}$ , thus  $h_{L'}(v_i) = 1$ ,  $i = \overline{1, k-1}$ , i.e.  $V \subseteq \text{Min}(L')$ . If we suppose  $V \cap \text{Fix}_G(L') = \emptyset$ , then, using the remark (\*\*), we obtain that  $V$  is containing in the equivalence class modulo " $\sim$ " of  $u_k$ . It results that the equivalence class modulo " $\sim$ " of  $u_k$  has at least  $k$  elements ( $u_k$  and  $v_i$ ,  $i = \overline{1, k-1}$ ). This contradicts the assumption that  $L$

is regular. The fact that  $V$  satisfies the property (ii) of Definition 2 is obvious. For the property (iii), let the distinct numbers  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_p \in \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$  (where  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $p \leq k-1$ ). We have  $h_{L'}(v_{i_1} \vee v_{i_2} \vee \dots \vee v_{i_p}) = h_{L'}(u_k \vee u_{i_1} \vee u_{i_2} \vee \dots \vee u_{i_p}) = h_L(u_k \vee u_{i_1} \vee u_{i_2} \vee \dots \vee u_{i_p}) - 1 = (p+1) - 1 = p$ .

From inductive hypothesis, it results that there exists a maximal chain of  $L'$ :

$$u_k = a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_k = 1,$$

with  $a_i \in \text{Fix}_G(L')$ ,  $i = \overline{1, k}$ . Thus

$$0 = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_k = 1$$

is a maximal chain of  $L$ , with  $a_i \in \text{Fix}_G(L)$ ,  $i = \overline{0, k}$ .

**Corollary.** *The symmetric group of degree 3  $\Sigma_3$  and the dihedral group of order 8  $D_8$  have principal series of subgroups.*

**Proof.** We have  $\Sigma_3 = \{e, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \tau, \tau^2\}$  (where  $\sigma_1 = (2\ 3)$ ,  $\sigma_2 = (1\ 3)$ ,  $\sigma_3 = (1\ 2)$  and  $\tau = (2\ 3\ 1)$ ) and  $D_8 = \{1, \rho, \rho^2, \rho^3, \varepsilon, \rho\varepsilon, \rho^2\varepsilon, \rho^3\varepsilon\}$  (where  $\rho^4 = \varepsilon^2 = 1$  and  $\varepsilon\rho = \rho^3\varepsilon$ ). We obtain  $L(\Sigma_3) = \{H_0 = \{e\}, H_1 = \{e, \sigma_1\}, H_2 = \{e, \sigma_2\}, H_3 = \{e, \sigma_3\}, H_4 = \{e, \tau, \tau^2\}, H_5 = \Sigma_3\}$  and  $L(D_8) = \{H'_0 = \{1\}, H'_1 = \{1, \varepsilon\}, H'_2 = \{1, \rho^2\varepsilon\}, H'_3 = \{1, \rho^2\}, H'_4 = \{1, \rho\varepsilon\}, H'_5 = \{1, \rho^3\varepsilon\}, H'_6 = \{1, \rho^3, \rho\varepsilon, \rho^3\varepsilon\}, H'_7 = \{1, \rho, \rho^2, \rho^3\}, H'_8 = \{1, \rho^2, \rho\varepsilon, \rho^3\varepsilon\}, H'_9 = D_8\}$ . It is a simple exercise to verify that the  $\Sigma_3$ -lattice  $L(\Sigma_3)$  (respectively the  $D_8$ -lattice  $L(D_8)$ ) is regular and that  $U = \{H_3, H_4\}$  (respectively  $U' = \{H'_2, H'_3, H'_4\}$ ) is a 2-independent minimal system of  $L(\Sigma_3)$  (respectively a 3-independent minimal system of  $L(D_8)$ ). Now the statement results from Proposition 4.

## 2.2 On a property of finite nilpotent groups

Let  $(G, \cdot, e)$  be a group.

**Definition 1.** Let  $L$  be a  $G$ -lattice having the initial element 0 and  $(L_i)_{i \in I}$  be a finite family of  $G$ -sublattices of  $L$ . We say that  $L$  is the *direct  $\vee$ -sum*

of the family  $(L_i)_{i \in I}$  (and we denote this by  $L = \bigoplus_{i \in I}^{\vee} L_i$ ) if the following two equalities hold:

$$\text{i) } L = \bigvee_{i \in I} L_i.$$

$$\text{ii) } L_j \wedge \left( \bigvee_{\substack{i \in I \\ i \neq j}} L_i \right) = \{0\}, \text{ for any } j \in I.$$

**Examples.** 1) Let  $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_k^{\alpha_k}$ , be the decomposition of the natural number  $n$  as a product of prime factors. If, for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , we denote by  $L_m$  the lattice of all natural divisors of  $m$  and we consider the set  $G = \{\sigma \in \text{Aut}(L_n) \mid \sigma(L_{p_i^{\alpha_i}}) = L_{p_i^{\alpha_i}}, i = \overline{1, k}\}$ , then  $G$  is a group,  $L_n$  is a  $G$ -lattice (where  $\sigma \circ d = \sigma(d)$ , for any  $(\sigma, d) \in G \times L_n$ ) and  $L_{p_i^{\alpha_i}}$  is a  $G$ -sublattice of  $L_n$ ,  $i = \overline{1, k}$ . It is easy to see that  $L_n$  is the direct  $\vee$ -sum of the family  $(L_{p_i^{\alpha_i}})_{i=\overline{1, k}}$ .

2) Let  $m \geq 2$ ,  $n \geq 2$  be two natural numbers,  $f : \mathbb{Z}_m \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$  be a group homomorphism and  $\hat{k}_0 = f(\bar{1})(\hat{1})$ . We denote by  $S$  the semidirect product of  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  with respect to the homomorphism  $f$  and by  $G$ , respectively  $H$  the images of  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ , respectively  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  through the group homomorphisms:

$$\sigma_1 : \mathbb{Z}_m \rightarrow S, \sigma_1(\bar{x}) = (\bar{x}, \hat{0}), \text{ for any } \bar{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_m,$$

respectively

$$\sigma_2 : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow S, \sigma_2(\hat{y}) = (\bar{0}, \hat{y}), \text{ for any } \hat{y} \in \mathbb{Z}_n.$$

If  $L(S)$ ,  $L(G)$  and  $L(H)$  are the subgroup lattices of  $S$ ,  $G$ , respectively  $H$ , then we have  $L(S) = L(G) \overset{\vee}{\oplus} L(H)$  if and only if  $(m, n) = 1$  and  $k_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$  (see [10], Proposition 3).

**Proposition 1.** *If  $L$  is a distributive  $G$ -lattice having the initial element 0 and*

*$(L_i)_{i \in I}$  is a finite family of  $G$ -sublattices of  $L$  such that  $L_j \wedge \left( \bigvee_{\substack{i \in I \\ i \neq j}} L_i \right) = \{0\}$ ,*

*for any  $j \in I$ , then the following two conditions are equivalent:*

$$\text{i) } L = \bigoplus_{i \in I} L_i.$$

ii) *Every element  $\ell \in L$  can be written uniquely as  $\bigvee_{i \in I} \ell_i$ , where  $\ell_i \in L_i$ , for any  $i \in I$ .*

**Proof.** i)  $\implies$  ii) Since  $L = \bigoplus_{i \in I} L_i$ , we have  $L = \bigvee_{i \in I} L_i$ , therefore every element  $\ell \in L$  can be written as  $\bigvee_{i \in I} \ell_i$ , where  $\ell_i \in L_i$ ,  $i \in I$ . If  $\ell = \bigvee_{i \in I} \ell_i = \bigvee_{i \in I} \ell'_i$  with  $\ell_i, \ell'_i \in L_i$ ,  $i \in I$ , then, for any  $j \in I$ , we have  $\ell'_j = \ell'_j \wedge \ell = \ell'_j \wedge \left( \bigvee_{i \in I} \ell_i \right) =$



$\ell'_j \wedge \left[ \ell_j \vee \left( \bigvee_{\substack{i \in I \\ i \neq j}} \ell_i \right) \right] = (\ell'_j \wedge \ell_j) \vee \left[ \ell'_j \wedge \left( \bigvee_{\substack{i \in I \\ i \neq j}} \ell_i \right) \right] = \ell'_j \wedge \ell_j$ , thus  $\ell'_j \leq \ell_j$ . In the same way, we obtain  $\ell_j \leq \ell'_j$ , therefore  $\ell'_j = \ell_j$ ,  $j \in I$ .

ii)  $\implies$  i) Obvious.

Next aim is to establish connections between the direct product of  $G$ -lattices and the direct  $\vee$ -sum of  $G$ -sublattices.

**Proposition 2.** *If  $(L_i)_{i \in I}$  is a finite family of  $G$ -lattices having initial elements (denoted all by 0),  $0 \in \text{Fix}_G(L_i)$ ,  $i \in I$ , and  $L$  is the direct product of the family  $(L_i)_{i \in I}$ , then there exists a family  $(L'_i)_{i \in I}$  of  $G$ -sublattices of  $L$  which satisfies the following properties:*

$$\text{i) } L = \bigoplus_{i \in I}^{\vee} L'_i.$$

ii)  $L'_i \cong L_i$  (isomorphism of  $G$ -lattices), for any  $i \in I$ .

**Proof.** It is easy to see that the sets  $L'_i = \{(a_j)_{j \in I} \in L \mid a_j = 0, \text{ for any } j \in I \setminus \{i\}\}$ ,  $i \in I$ , are  $G$ -sublattices of  $L$  and  $L = \bigoplus_{i \in I}^{\vee} L'_i$ . Moreover, the maps

$$\begin{aligned} f_i : L_i &\longrightarrow L'_i \\ f_i(\ell_i) &= (a_j)_{j \in I}, \text{ where } a_i = \ell_i \text{ and } a_j = 0, \text{ for } j \neq i, \end{aligned}$$

are isomorphism of  $G$ -lattices,  $i \in I$ .

Let  $L$  be a finite  $G$ -lattice with the initial element denoted by 0 such that  $0 \in \text{Fix}_G(L) = \{\ell \in L \mid g \circ \ell = \ell, \text{ for any } g \in G\}$ . If  $(\ell_i)_{i=1, \overline{k}}$  is a family of elements of  $L$ , then we make the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned} L_i &= [0, \ell_i] = \{\ell \in L \mid 0 \leq \ell \leq \ell_i\}, \\ G \circ L_i &= \{g \circ \ell \mid g \in G, \ell \in L_i\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ .

**Definition 2.** The family  $(\ell_i)_{i=1, \overline{k}}$  is called a *maximal system* of  $L$  if it satisfies the properties:

$$\text{i) } L = \bigvee_{i=1}^k G \circ L_i.$$

$$\text{ii) } G \circ L_j \wedge \left( \bigvee_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^k G \circ L_i \right) = \{0\}, \text{ for any } j = \overline{1, k}.$$

**Remark.** If  $(\ell_i)_{i=\overline{1, k}}$  is a maximal system of  $L$ , then, for  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , the sublattice  $L_i$  of  $L$  is not necessarily a  $G$ -sublattice. A sufficient condition for this fact holds is  $\ell_i \in \text{Fix}_G(L)$ . In the case when  $(\ell_i)_{i=\overline{1, k}} \subseteq \text{Fix}_G(L)$ , we have

$$G \circ L_i = L_i \text{ for any } i = \overline{1, k} \text{ and } L = \bigoplus_{i=\overline{1, k}} L_i.$$

**Definition 3.** Let  $U, V \in L(G)$ .

- (i) We say that  $U$  and  $V$  form a *permutable pair* if  $[U \cup V] = UV = VU$  (where  $[U \cup V]$  denotes the subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $U \cup V$ ).
- (ii) We say that  $U$  and  $V$  form a *modular pair* if
 
$$W \cap [U \cup V] = [U \cup (W \cap V)] \text{ for any } W \in L(G) \text{ with } U \subseteq W$$
 and
 
$$W \cap [U \cup V] = [V \cup (W \cap U)] \text{ for any } W \in L(G) \text{ with } V \subseteq W.$$

**Remarks.** 1) Any permutable pair of subgroups is a modular pair (see [7], Theorem 5, page 5).

2) If the group  $G$  is finite and it satisfies the property tht any two subgroups  $U, V \in L(G)$  with  $(|U|, |V|) = 1$  form a permutable pair, then, for any  $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_k \in L(G)$  with  $(|H_i|, |H_j|) = 1, i \neq j$ , we have:

$$H_1 H_2 \dots H_k = \left[ \bigcup_{i=1}^k H_i \right] \in L(G)$$

and

$$|H_1 H_2 \dots H_k| = \prod_{i=1}^k |H_i|.$$

**Proposition 3.** For a finite group  $G$  which satisfies the property that any two subgroups  $U, V \in L(G)$  with  $(|U|, |V|) = 1$  form a permutable pair, the  $G$ -lattice  $L(G)$  has a maximal system.

**Proof.** Let  $n = |G|$ . If  $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_k^{\alpha_k}$ , is the decomposition of  $n$  as a product of prime factors, then, for any  $i = \overline{1, k}$ , let  $H_i$  be Sylow  $p_i$ -subgroup of  $G$ .

We prove that  $\{H_1, H_2, \dots, H_k\}$  is a maximal system for  $L(G)$ . Let  $H \in L(G)$  and  $m = |H|$ . Then  $m/n$ , therefore there exist the numbers  $\beta_i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\beta_i \leq \alpha_i$ ,  $i = \overline{1, k}$ , such that  $m = p_1^{\beta_1} p_2^{\beta_2} \dots p_k^{\beta_k}$ . For any  $i = \overline{1, k}$ , let  $U_i$  be a Sylow  $p_i$ -subgroup of  $H$  and, using the Theorems of Sylow, let  $x_i \in G$  such that  $U_i \leq H_i^{x_i}$ , i.e.  $U_i^{x_i^{-1}} \in [\{e\}, H_i]$  (where  $e$  is the identity of  $G$ ). From Remark 2), we obtain  $H = U_1 U_2 \dots U_k = \left(U_1^{x_1^{-1}}\right)^{x_1} \left(U_2^{x_2^{-1}}\right)^{x_2} \dots \left(U_k^{x_k^{-1}}\right)^{x_k}$ , thus:

$$L(G) = \bigvee_{i=1}^k G \circ [\{e\}, H_i].$$

Let  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  and  $K \in G \circ [\{e\}, H_j] \wedge \left(\bigvee_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^k G \circ [\{e\}, H_i]\right)$ . Then  $K =$

$$V_j^{x_j} \wedge \left(\bigvee_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^k V_i^{x_i}\right) \text{ (where } V_s \leq H_s \text{ and } x_s \in G, \text{ for any } s = \overline{1, k}\text{)}.$$

Since  $\left(|V_j^{x_j}|, \left|\bigvee_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^k V_i^{x_i}\right|\right) = 1$ , it follows that  $K = \{e\}$ , thus:

$$G \circ [\{e\}, H_j] \wedge \left(\bigvee_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^k G \circ [\{e\}, H_i]\right) = \{e\}.$$

**Remark.** Let  $G$  be a finite group of order  $n$ ,  $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_k^{\alpha_k}$  be the decomposition of  $n$  as a product of prime factors and  $H_i$  be a Sylow  $p_i$ -subgroup of  $G$ ,  $i = \overline{1, k}$ . If  $(H_i)_{i=\overline{1, k}}$  is a maximal system of  $L(G)$ , then, for any  $x_i \in G$ ,  $i = \overline{1, k}$ ,  $(H_i^{x_i})_{i=\overline{1, k}}$  is a maximal system of  $L(G)$ .

Let  $L$  be a modular finite  $G$ -lattice with the initial element denoted by 0 and  $(\ell_i)_{i=\overline{1, k}}$  be a maximal system of  $L$ .

**Lemma.** *The following equality holds:*

$$h_L \left(\bigvee_{i=1}^k \ell_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^k h_L(\ell_i).$$

**Proof.** We prove the above equality by induction on  $k$ . For  $k = 2$ , we have  $h_L(\ell_1 \vee \ell_2) = h_L(\ell_1) + h_L(\ell_2) - h_L(\ell_1 \wedge \ell_2) = h_L(\ell_1) + h_L(\ell_2) - h_L(0) = h_L(\ell_1) + h_L(\ell_2)$ . Let us assume the equality to hold for  $k = 1$ . We obtain  $h_L\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^k \ell_i\right) = h_L\left(\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{k-1} \ell_i\right) \vee \ell_k\right) = h_L\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{k-1} \ell_i\right) + h_L(\ell_k) - h_L\left(\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{k-1} \ell_i\right) \wedge \ell_k\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} h_L(\ell_i) + h_L(\ell_k) - h_L(0) = \sum_{i=1}^k h_L(\ell_i)$ .

For any  $i = \overline{1, k}$ , let  $\alpha_i = h_L(G \circ L_i)$ , (i.e.  $\alpha_i = \max\{h_L(g \circ \ell) \mid g \in G, \ell \in L_i\}$ ),  $\mathbb{N}_{\alpha_i} = \{0, 1, \dots, \alpha_i\}$  and  $h_i : G \circ L_i \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{\alpha_i}$  be the restriction of the height function  $h_L$  on the set  $G \circ L_i$ . We suppose that is well defined the function:

$$h' : L \longrightarrow \bigtimes_{i=1}^k \mathbb{N}_{\alpha_i},$$

$$h'\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^k g_i \circ \ell_{ii}\right) = (h_1(\ell_{11}), h_2(\ell_{22}), \dots, h_k(\ell_{kk})),$$

where  $g_i \in G$ ,  $\ell_{ii} \in L_i$ , for any  $i = \overline{1, k}$  (it is easy to see that a sufficient condition for this fact holds is " $L =$  distributive lattice").

**Proposition 4.** *The function  $h'$  is onto. Moreover, for any  $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_k) \in \bigtimes_{i=1}^k \mathbb{N}_{\alpha_i}$ , we have:*

$$(h')^{-1}(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_k) \cap \left\{ \ell \in L \mid h_L(\ell) = \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i \right\} \neq \emptyset.$$

**Proof.** For each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , the function  $h_i$  is onto.

Let  $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_k) \in \bigtimes_{i=1}^k \mathbb{N}_{\alpha_i}$  and  $\ell_{ii} \in G \circ L_i$  such that  $h_i(\ell_{ii}) = \beta_i$ ,  $i = \overline{1, k}$ . Using the above lemma, it is a simple exercise to verify that

$$\bigvee_{i=1}^k \ell_{ii} \in (h')^{-1}(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_k) \cap \left\{ \ell \in L \mid h_L(\ell) = \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i \right\}.$$

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_k^{\alpha_k}$  be the decomposition of the natural number  $n$  as a product of prime factors,  $L_n$  be the lattice of all natural divisors of  $n$  and  $G$  be a finite group of order  $n$  which satisfies the following properties:*

- (i)  $L(G)$  is a modular lattice.
- (ii) There exists a maximal system  $(H_i)_{i=\overline{1,k}}$  of  $L(G)$  such that  $H_i$  is a Sylow  $p_i$ -subgroup of  $G$ ,  $i = \overline{1,k}$ .
- (iii) For any  $H \in L(G)$ ,  $|H| = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{x_i}$  implies  $h_{L(G)}(H) \geq \sum_{i=1}^k x_i$ .

Then the function  $\text{ord} : L(G) \rightarrow L_n$ ,  $\text{ord}(H) = |H|$ , for any  $H \in L(G)$ , is onto.

**Proof.** If  $m \in L_n$ , then  $m = p_1^{\beta_1} p_2^{\beta_2} \dots p_k^{\beta_k}$ , where  $\beta_i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\beta_i \leq \alpha_i$ ,  $i = \overline{1,k}$ . For each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , let  $U_i \subseteq H_i$  be a subgroup of  $G$  having order  $p_i^{\beta_i}$ . Since  $(H_i)_{i=\overline{1,k}}$  is a maximal system of  $L(G)$ , it results that  $h_{L(G)}\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^k U_i\right) = h_{L(G)}\left(\left[\bigcup_{i=1}^k U_i\right]\right) = \sum_{i=1}^k h_{L(G)}(U_i) = \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i$ . This fact implies the equality  $\text{ord}\left(\left[\bigcup_{i=1}^k U_i\right]\right) = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\beta_i} = m$ . Indeed, if we suppose that  $\text{ord}\left(\left[\bigcup_{i=1}^k U_i\right]\right) \neq m$ , then  $\text{ord}\left(\left[\bigcup_{i=1}^k U_i\right]\right) = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\gamma_i}$ , where  $\beta_i \leq \gamma_i \leq \alpha_i$ , for any  $i = \overline{1,k}$  and there exists  $i_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  such that  $\beta_{i_0} < \gamma_{i_0}$  (this fact holds because  $U_q \leq \left[\bigcup_{i=1}^k U_i\right]$  (so that  $|U_q| \mid \left|\left[\bigcup_{i=1}^k U_i\right]\right|$ ) for any  $q = \overline{1,k}$  and  $(|U_q|, |U_{q'}| = 1$  for  $q \neq q'$ ). From property (iii), we obtain  $h_{L(G)}\left(\left[\bigcup_{i=1}^k U_i\right]\right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^k \gamma_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i + 1$ ; contradiction.

**Corollary 1.** For any finite group  $G$  of order  $n$  which satisfies the property that any two subgroups  $U, V \in L(G)$  with  $(|U|, |V|) = 1$  form a permutable pair, the function  $\text{ord} : L(G) \rightarrow L_n$  is onto.

**Proof.** The statement results from Proposition 3 and Proposition 5 or, directly, making the next reasoning.

Let  $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_k^{\alpha_k}$  be the decomposition of  $n$  as a product of prime factors and  $m \in L_n$ . Then  $m/n$ , therefore  $m = p_1^{\beta_1} p_2^{\beta_2} \dots p_k^{\beta_k}$ , where  $\beta_i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\beta_i \leq \alpha_i$ ,  $i = \overline{1,k}$ . For each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , let  $U_i$  be a subgroup of  $G$  having

the order  $p_i^{\beta_i}$ . From hypothesis, it results tht the subgroup  $\left[ \bigcup_{i=1}^k U_i \right] \in L(G)$  has the order  $m$ .

**Corollary 2.** *For any finite nilpotent group  $G$  of order  $n$ , the function  $\text{ord} : L(G) \rightarrow L_n$  is onto.*

**Proof.** The statement results from Corollary 1, using the fact that, for a finite nilpotent group, any two subgroups of relative prime orders form a permutable pair.

**Corollary 3.** *For any finite abelian group  $G$  of order  $n$ , the function  $\text{ord} : L(G) \rightarrow L_n$  is onto.*

**Proof.** Since any abelian group is nilpotent, the statement results from Corollary 2.

## References

- [1] Birkhoff, G., *Lattice theory*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1967.
- [2] Davis, A.C., *A characterization of complete lattices*, Pacific J. Math., n. 5, 1955, 311–319.
- [3] Grätzer, G., *General lattice theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- [4] Ore, O., *Structures and group theory*, I., Duke Math. J., n. 3, 1937, 149–174.
- [5] Ore, O., *Structures and group theory*, II, Duke Math. J., n. 4, 1938, 247–269.
- [6] Suzuki, M., *Group theory*, I, II, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1980, 1985.
- [7] Suzuki, M., *Structure of a group and the structure of its lattice of subgroups*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1956.
- [8] Ștefănescu, M., *Introduction to group theory* (Romanian), Editura Universității "Al.I. Cuza", Iași, 1993.
- [9] Tărnăuceanu, M., *On the subgroup lattice of an abelian finite group*, accepted for publication in Ratio Matematica.
- [10] Tărnăuceanu, M., *On the subgroup lattice of a semidirect product of finite cyclic groups*, accepted for publication in Memoriile Științifice ale Academiei Române.
- [11] Tărnăuceanu, M., *Fundamental group lattices*, accepted for publication in Mathematics and Informatics, Theory and Applications (F. Eugeni, H. Luchian eds.), Editura Panfilus, Iași, 2003.