



THE EXISTENCE OF CRITICAL VALUES IN AN ABSTRACT PERTURBATION PROBLEM

G. Cicortas

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to obtain conditions for the existence of a critical value for the perturbed function $f^\varepsilon = f + \varepsilon g$ in a given interval, in the presence of Palais-Smale condition.

1 Introduction

It is well-known and often applied the fact that, in the presence of Palais-Smale type conditions, important information concerning the critical points of a function can be obtained. In some problems it is difficult to study the function directly and we need to perturb it.

Let M be a C^2 -Finsler manifold and let $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be of C^1 class, bounded from below. Perturb it with a function $g : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of C^1 class, such that $g > 0$. Define $f^\varepsilon = f + \varepsilon g$, with $\varepsilon > 0$ enough small. Assume that f and f^ε satisfy the Palais-Smale condition on M and the set of critical values of f has the form $\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\}$. A basic result in critical point theory is the second deformation theorem, which shows that, in the above conditions, the sublevel set $M_{s_{p-1}}(f)$ is a strong deformation retract of $M_{s_p}(f)$, for $p = \overline{2, k}$. Then the n^{th} relative homology group $H_n(M_{s_p}(f), M_{s_{p-1}}(f)) = 0$, for $p = \overline{2, k}$ and for any n .

With suitable assumptions on the function g , we can obtain conditions such that the n^{th} relative homology group of some sublevel sets of the perturbed

Key Words: homology group, Palais-Smale condition, critical point, deformation retract.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 57R70, 58E05, 46M18.

Received: May, 2009

Accepted: January, 2010

function is nontrivial. This fact implies the existence of a critical value of f^ε in a given interval.

We mention that the use of relative homology of sublevel sets in some perturbation problems comes back to A. Marino and G. Prodi, see [2].

2 Preliminaries

Let M be a C^1 -Finsler manifold and $f \in C^1(M, \mathbb{R})$. A point $p \in M$ is critical for f if $df(p) = 0$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is a critical value of f if there exists $p \in M$ such that $df(p) = 0$ and $f(p) = c$. The critical set of f is $C[f] = \{p \in M \mid df(p) = 0\}$ and $C_c[f] = C[f] \cap f^{-1}(c)$ is the critical set of level c of f . We call $f^{-1}(c)$ the set of level c of f and $M_c(f) = \{p \in M \mid f(p) \leq c\}$ the set of sublevel c . Recall that f satisfies the Palais-Smale condition on M if any sequence (x_n) in M such that $(f(x_n))$ is bounded and $df(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ has a convergent subsequence.

In this paper we need the second deformation lemma, see R. Palais [4] and Kc. Chang [1].

Theorem 2.1. *Let M be a C^2 -Finsler manifold and let $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be of C^1 class. Suppose that f satisfies the Palais-Smale condition on M and the interval $[a, b]$ does not contain critical values of f . Then $M_a(f)$ is a strong deformation retract of $M_b(f)$.*

Denote by $H_n(B, A)$ the n^{th} relative singular homology group of the pair (B, A) with real coefficients, where $A \subset B$. Recall that for a deformation retract A' of A we have, for any n , $H_n(A, A') = 0$. See, for instance, W. Massey [3].

In this section we prove the following:

Lemma 2.1. *Let A, X, B, A', Y, B' be topological spaces such that $A \subset X \subset B \subset A' \subset Y \subset B'$ and $H_n(A', X) = 0$, $H_n(B', A') = 0$, for any n .*

- (i) *There exists an injective homomorphism $H_n(X, A) \rightarrow H_n(B, A)$.*
- (ii) *There exists an injective homomorphism $H_n(A', B) \rightarrow H_n(Y, B)$.*
- (iii) *There exists an injective homomorphism $H_n(Y, X) \rightarrow H_n(Y, B)$.*
- (iv) *There exists an injective homomorphism $H_n(A', A) \rightarrow H_n(Y, A)$.*

Proof. (i) We use the exact sequence of the triple (A', X, A) and the inclusions $X \subset B \subset A'$ and we obtain the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & H_n(X, A) & \xrightarrow{\quad \tilde{i}_n \quad} & H_n(A', A) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \searrow \alpha_n & & \nearrow \beta_n & & \\
 & & & & H_n(B, A) & &
 \end{array}$$

Because $\beta_n \circ \alpha_n = i_n$ and i_n is an isomorphism, it follows that α_n is injective.

(ii) The conclusion follows by using the exact sequence of (B', A', B) and the inclusions $A' \subset Y \subset B'$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & H_n(A', B) & \longrightarrow & H_n(B', B) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \searrow & & \nearrow & & \\
 & & & & H_n(Y, B) & &
 \end{array}$$

(iii) We use the exact sequence of the triple (Y, A', X) and the inclusions $X \subset B \subset A'$, which give the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & H_n(Y, X) & \longrightarrow & H_n(Y, A') & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \searrow & & \nearrow & & \\
 & & & & H_n(Y, B) & &
 \end{array}$$

(iv) We use the exact sequence of (B', A', A) and the inclusions $X \subset B \subset A'$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & H_n(A', A) & \longrightarrow & H_n(B', A) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \searrow & & \nearrow & & \\
 & & & & H_n(Y, A) & &
 \end{array}$$

□

3 The main result

Let $f^\varepsilon = f + \varepsilon g$, where M is a C^2 -Finsler manifold, $f, g : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are of C^1 class, f is bounded from below, $g > 0$ on M and $\varepsilon > 0$ is enough small. Assume that f and f^ε satisfy the Palais-Smale condition on M and $f(C[f]) = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\}$, $a < s_1 < \dots < s_k < b$.

It is obvious that $M_a(f) \subseteq M_{s_1}(f) \subseteq \dots \subseteq M_{s_k}(f) \subseteq M_b(f)$ and any interval (s_{p-1}, s_p) , $p = \overline{2, k}$, is non-critical for f , i.e. it does not contain critical values. By using Theorem 2.1, the sublevel set $M_{s_{p-1}}(f)$ is a strong deformation retract of $M_{s_p}(f)$, for $p = \overline{2, k}$. Then we get that $H_n(M_{s_p}(f), M_{s_{p-1}}(f)) = 0$, for $p = \overline{2, k}$ and for any n .

In order to simplify the problem, we consider that there exists only one critical value of f into the interval (a, b) and denote it by s . Then $H_n(M_s(f), M_a(f)) = 0$ and $H_n(M_b(f), M_s(f)) = 0$, for any n .

In the sequel, we want to obtain information about $M_a(f^\varepsilon)$, $M_s(f^\varepsilon)$ and $M_b(f^\varepsilon)$.

We work in the presence of the following hypothesis:

$$a + \varepsilon g(x) \leq s \leq b - \varepsilon g(x), \quad x \in M.$$

It is easy to see that the following inclusions hold:

$$M_a(f^\varepsilon) \subseteq M_a(f) \subseteq M_s(f^\varepsilon) \subseteq M_s(f) \subseteq M_b(f^\varepsilon) \subseteq M_b(f).$$

Lemma 2.1 implies the following statements:

Proposition 3.1. *There exist the following injective homomorphisms:*

- (i) $H_n(M_a(f), M_a(f^\varepsilon)) \rightarrow H_n(M_s(f^\varepsilon), M_a(f^\varepsilon))$;
- (ii) $H_n(M_s(f), M_s(f^\varepsilon)) \rightarrow H_n(M_b(f^\varepsilon), M_s(f^\varepsilon))$;
- (iii) $H_n(M_b(f^\varepsilon), M_a(f)) \rightarrow H_n(M_b(f^\varepsilon), M_s(f^\varepsilon))$;
- (iv) $H_n(M_s(f), M_a(f^\varepsilon)) \rightarrow H_n(M_b(f^\varepsilon), M_a(f^\varepsilon))$.

We give now the main result of the paper:

Theorem 3.1. *The following statements hold:*

- (i) *If there exists m such that $H_m(M_a(f), M_a(f^\varepsilon)) \neq 0$, then $H_m(M_s(f^\varepsilon), M_a(f^\varepsilon)) \neq 0$; consequently $C[f^\varepsilon] \cap (f^\varepsilon)^{-1}([a, s]) \neq \emptyset$.*
- (ii) *If there exists m such that $H_m(M_s(f), M_s(f^\varepsilon)) \neq 0$, then $H_m(M_b(f^\varepsilon), M_s(f^\varepsilon)) \neq 0$; consequently $C[f^\varepsilon] \cap (f^\varepsilon)^{-1}([s, b]) \neq \emptyset$.*
- (iii) *If there exists m such that $H_m(M_b(f^\varepsilon), M_a(f)) \neq 0$, then $H_m(M_b(f^\varepsilon), M_s(f^\varepsilon)) \neq 0$; consequently $C[f^\varepsilon] \cap (f^\varepsilon)^{-1}([s, b]) \neq \emptyset$.*
- (iv) *If there exists m such that $H_m(M_s(f), M_a(f^\varepsilon)) \neq 0$, then $H_m(M_b(f^\varepsilon), M_a(f^\varepsilon)) \neq 0$; consequently $C[f^\varepsilon] \cap (f^\varepsilon)^{-1}([a, b]) \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. (i) Assume that $C[f^\varepsilon] \cap (f^\varepsilon)^{-1}([a, s]) = \emptyset$; then $[a, s]$ is a noncritical interval for f^ε and Theorem 2.1 implies that $H_n(M_s(f^\varepsilon), M_a(f^\varepsilon)) = 0$ for any n . But Proposition 3.1 gives $H_m(M_s(f^\varepsilon), M_a(f^\varepsilon)) \neq 0$.

(ii), (iii) and (iv) follows by the same argument. \square

4 An auxiliary result

The following lemma, which was obtained by the author during the preparation of this paper, can be useful in the study of other perturbation problems.

Lemma 4.1. *Let A, X, B, A', Y, B' be topological spaces such that $A \subset X \subset B \subset A' \subset Y \subset B'$. If $H_n(A', A) = H_n(Y, X) = 0$, then there exists an injective homomorphism*

$$H_n(B', A) \rightarrow H_n(B', B).$$

Proof. We have the following diagram, which follows from the exact sequence of (B', A', A) and the inclusions $A \subset X \subset A'$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & H_n(B', A) & \xrightarrow{i_n} & H_n(B', A') & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \searrow \alpha_n & & \nearrow \beta_n & & \\
 & & & & H_n(B', X) & &
 \end{array}$$

We conclude that i_n is an isomorphism. On the other hand, we can write $i_n = \beta_n \circ \alpha_n$. Then α_n is injective. The same argument can be used for the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & H_n(B', X) & \xrightarrow{j_n} & H_n(B', Y) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \searrow \gamma_n & & \nearrow \delta_n & & \\
 & & & & H_n(B', B) & &
 \end{array}$$

which follows from the exact sequence of (B', Y, X) and the inclusions $X \subset B \subset Y$. Then $j_n = \delta_n \circ \gamma_n$ and the fact that j_n is an isomorphism assure the injectivity of δ_n .

We obtain that $h_n = \gamma_n \circ \alpha_n$ is injective. □

Remark 4.1. The idea of this lemma is related to the following result of [2]: if $A \subset X \subset B \subset A' \subset Y \subset B'$ and $H_n(B, A) = H_n(B', A') = 0$, then there exists an injective homomorphism $H_n(A', A) \rightarrow H_n(Y, X)$.

The authors use this result in order to prove some stability properties of critical values in presence of Palais-Smale type conditions.

References

- [1] KC. CHANG, *Infinite dimensional Morse theory and multiple solution problems*, Birkhauser, 1993.
- [2] A. MARINO, G. PRODI, *Metodi perturbativi nella teoria di Morse*, Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. Sez. B Artic. Ric. Mat. 11 (Suppl.) (1975), 1–32.
- [3] W. MASSEY, *A Basic Course in Algebraic Topology*, Springer, 1991.
- [4] R. PALAIS, *Morse theory on Hilbert manifolds*, Topology, 2 (1963), 299–340.

University of Oradea, Faculty of Sciences,
University Street 1, 410087, Oradea, Romania
e-mail: cicortas@uoradea.ro